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INTRODUCTION

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Project Goals

This *2005 PRC Community Health Assessment* is a systematic, data-driven approach to identifying the health status, behaviors and needs of community members in LaSalle Parish, Central Louisiana, as a follow-up to a similar survey conducted by PRC in 2002. Throughout the report, comparisons will also be made to the entire nine-parish *Rapides Foundation Service Area (RFSA)*.

The following map describes this geographical definition.

*For the purposes of this report, the nine-parish service area of The Rapides Foundation will be referred to as the “RFSA.”*
METHODOLOGY

2005 PRC Community Health Survey

A precise and carefully executed methodology is critical in asserting the validity of the results gathered in the PRC Community Health Survey. Thus, to ensure the best representation of the population surveyed, a telephone interview methodology was employed. The primary advantages of telephone interviewing are timeliness, efficiency and random selection capabilities.

Sample Design

The sample design used for this effort consisted of a random sample of 400 individuals aged 18 and older in LaSalle Parish in Central Louisiana. Once these data were collected, the sample was weighted in proportion to the population distribution at the ZIP Code level. Population estimates were based on census projections of adults aged 18 and over provided in the latest Business Information Systems Demographic Portfolio from Environmental Systems Research Institute, Inc. (ESRI)

All administration of the surveys, data collection and data analysis was conducted by Professional Research Consultants, Inc. (PRC).

Sampling Error

For statistical purposes, the maximum rate of error associated with a sample size of 400 respondents is ±4.9% at the 95 percent level of confidence.

In addition, for further analysis, keep in mind that each percentage point recorded among the total sample of survey respondents is representative of approximately 111 LaSalle Parish adults aged 18 and older (based on current population estimates). Thus, in a case where 3.4% of the
total sample gives a particular response to a survey question, this is representative of nearly 378 adults and therefore must not be dismissed as too small to be significant.

**Sample Characteristics**

To accurately represent the population studied, PRC strives to minimize bias through application of a proven telephone methodology and random-selection techniques. And, while this random sampling of the population produces a highly representative sample, it is a common and preferred practice to “weight” the raw data to improve this representativeness even further. This is accomplished by adjusting the results of a random sample to match the demographic characteristics of the population surveyed (poststratification), so as to eliminate any naturally occurring bias. Specifically, once the raw data are gathered, respondents are examined by key demographic characteristics (namely gender, age, race, ethnicity, and poverty status) and a statistical application package applies weighting variables that produce a sample which more closely matches the population for these characteristics. Thus, while the integrity of each individual’s responses is maintained, one respondent’s responses may contribute to the whole the same weight as, for example, 1.1 respondents. Another respondent, whose demographic characteristics may have been slightly oversampled, may contribute the same weight as 0.9 respondents.

The following chart outlines the characteristics of the sample for key demographic variables, compared to actual population characteristics revealed in census data. [Note that the sample consisted solely of area residents aged 18 and older; data on children were given by proxy by the person most responsible for that child’s healthcare needs, and these children are not represented demographically in this chart.]

Further note that the poverty descriptions and segmentation used in this report are based on 2005 administrative poverty thresholds determined by the U.S. Department of Health & Human Services. These guidelines define poverty status by household income level and number of persons in the household (e.g., the 2005 guidelines place the poverty threshold for a family of four at $19,350 annual household income or lower). In sample segmentation: “Very Low Income” includes community members living in a household with defined poverty status (below poverty); “Low Income” includes those living between 100% and 200% of poverty (i.e., just
above the poverty level, earning up to twice the poverty threshold); and “Middle/High Income” refers to households with incomes more than twice the poverty threshold (>200% of poverty) defined for their household size.

The sample design and the quality control procedures used in the data collection ensure that the sample is representative. Thus, the findings may be generalized to the total population of LaSalle Parish adults with a high degree of confidence.

**Public Health, Vital Statistics & Other Data**

Various existing (secondary) data sources were consulted to complement the research quality of this Community Health Assessment. Data were obtained from the following sources (specific citations are included in the graphs throughout this report):

- Centers for Disease Control & Prevention (CDC)
- ESRI BIS Demographic Portfolio (Estimates Based on Census 2000)
- Louisiana Commission on Law Enforcement
- Louisiana Department of Health & Hospitals
- National Center for Health Statistics

**Benchmark Data**

**Statewide Risk Factor Data**

Statewide risk factor data are provided where available as an additional benchmark against which to compare local findings. These data are published online by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the U.S. Department of Health & Human Services.

**National Risk Factor Data**

National risk factor data provided in comparison charts are taken from the *2005 PRC National Health Survey*. The methodological approach for the national study is identical to that employed in this assessment, and these data may be generalized to the U.S. population with a high degree of confidence.
Healthy People 2010

*Healthy People 2010: Understanding and Improving Health* is part of the Healthy People 2010 initiative that is sponsored by the U. S. Department of Health & Human Services. Healthy People 2010 outlines a comprehensive, nationwide health promotion and disease prevention agenda. It is designed to serve as a roadmap for improving the health of all people in the United States during the first decade of the 21st century.

“With [specific] health objectives in 28 focus areas, Healthy People 2010 will be a tremendously valuable asset to health planners, medical practitioners, educators, elected officials, and all of us who work to improve health. Healthy People 2010 reflects the very best in public health planning—it is comprehensive, it was created by a broad coalition of experts from many sectors, it has been designed to measure progress over time, and, most important, it clearly lays out a series of objectives to bring better health to all people in this country.”

— Donna E. Shalala, (Former) Secretary of Health & Human Services

Like the preceding Healthy People 2000 initiative—which was driven by an ambitious, yet achievable, 10-year strategy for improving the nation’s health by the end of the 20th century—Healthy People 2010 is committed to a single, overarching purpose: promoting health and preventing illness, disability and premature death.

Trends In Survey Data

Throughout this report, for survey-derived indicators, comparisons with prior year data (2002, or in some cases, 1997) will also be provided where available. The statistical significance of the difference between trend year data is noted in the text of this report.

NOTE: Tests for statistical significance take into account (and error rates vary according to) variables such as the number of persons responding to a specific question and where a particular response rate falls between 0% and 100%. In other words, trend comparisons may be found to be statistically significant for one indicator but not for another, even though the net difference found for each is the same.
Healthy People 2010 & The Nation’s Leading Health Indicators*

A major challenge throughout the history of Healthy People has been to balance a comprehensive set of health objectives with a smaller set of health priorities. Thus, Healthy People 2010 has identified the following health issues as the Leading Health Indicators for the Nation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Healthy People 2010: Nation’s Leading Health Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight &amp; Obesity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance Abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Sexual Behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury &amp; Violence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to Healthcare</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Leading Health Indicators reflect the major public health concerns in the United States and were chosen based on their ability to motivate action, the availability of data to measure their progress, as well as their relevance as broad public health issues. The Leading Health Indicators illuminate individual behaviors, physical and social environmental factors, and important health system issues that greatly affect the health of individuals and communities. Underlying each of these indicators is the significant influence of income and education.

The process of selecting the Leading Health Indicators mirrored the collaborative and extensive efforts undertaken to develop Healthy People 2010. The process was led by an interagency work group within the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Individuals and organizations provided comments at national and regional meetings or via mail and the Internet. A report by the Institute of Medicine, National Academy of Sciences, provided several scientific models on which to support a set of indicators. Focus groups were used to ensure that the indicators are meaningful and motivating to the public.

For each of the Leading Health Indicators, specific objectives derived from Healthy People 2010 will be used to track progress. This small set of measures will provide a snapshot of the health of the Nation. Tracking and communicating progress on the Leading Health Indicators through national- and State-level report cards will spotlight achievements and challenges in the next decade. The Leading Health Indicators serve as a link to the 467 objectives in Healthy People 2010 and can become the basic building blocks for community health initiatives.

The Leading Health Indicators are intended to help everyone more easily understand the importance of health promotion and disease prevention and to encourage wide participation in improving health in the next decade. Developing strategies and action plans to address one or more of these indicators can have a profound effect on increasing the quality of life and the years of healthy life and on eliminating health disparities—creating healthy people in healthy communities.

**Americans’ Perceptions Of The Leading Health Indicator Areas**

In the 2005 PRC National Health Survey, respondents were presented with problems associated with these 10 “Leading Health Indicators” and were asked to evaluate each as a “major problem,” “moderate problem,” “minor problem,” or “not a problem” in their own community. As shown in the following chart:

- **Obesity/overweight** is perceived to be a “major” or “moderate” problem by more than three-fourths of Americans.
- Roughly two-thirds also view alcohol/drug abuse, poor access to healthcare, and tobacco use as “major/moderate” problems in their communities.

### Perceived Severity Of Healthy People 2010's Nation's Leading Health Indicator Areas

(United States, 2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Indicator</th>
<th>Major Problem</th>
<th>Moderate Problem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Obesity/Overweight</td>
<td>76.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol/Drug Abuse</td>
<td>67.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor Access to Healthcare</td>
<td>66.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco Use</td>
<td>66.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teen Pregnancy/STDs</td>
<td>61.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Physical Activity</td>
<td>59.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Concerns</td>
<td>47.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health Problems</td>
<td>46.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury/Violence</td>
<td>43.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunization Levels</td>
<td>33.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 151-160]
## SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT FINDINGS

### COMPARISON WITH BENCHMARK DATA

The following charts summarize LaSalle Parish findings for key indicators, and visually depict comparison with benchmark data, where available, for The Rapides Foundation Service Area, Louisiana, and the United States. Trend comparisons, where available, are also depicted.

Note the following key used for benchmark comparisons: ♦️ (denotes a favorable comparison or trend), 🍊 (denotes an unfavorable comparison or trend), and ♉️ (denotes statistically similar findings, or no clear trend). A “blank” cell means that no data is available to make a comparison or view a trend for this indicator.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACCESS TO HEALTHCARE</th>
<th>LaSalle</th>
<th>TREND*</th>
<th>vs. RFSA</th>
<th>vs. LA</th>
<th>vs. US</th>
<th>vs. HP2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Barriers To Access</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Difficulty Accessing Healthcare In The Past Year</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Cost Prevented Physician Visit In The Past Year</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Cost Prevented Getting Prescription In The Past Year</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Transportation Prevented Doctor Visit In The Past Year</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Inconvenient Hours Prevented Doctor Visit In The Past Year</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Difficulty Getting Appointment In The Past Year</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Difficulty Finding Physician In The Past Year</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Difficulty Getting Child's Healthcare In The Past Year</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emergency Room Services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Gone To ER More Than Once In The Past Year</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health Insurance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Lack Health Insurance (18-64)</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oral Health Services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Have Visited Dentist In The Past Year (18+)</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vision Services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Had An Eye Exam In The Past Year (18+)</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary Care Services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Have A Specific Source Of Ongoing Care</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Have Had A Routine Checkup In The Past Year</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Child Has Had Checkup In The Past Year</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
<td>♉️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY:** ♦️ = Favorable comparison or trend    🍊 = Unfavorable comparison or trend    ♉️ = Statistically similar, or no clear trend  Blank = No data is available to make a comparison or view a trend

* Trends for survey data represent changes from the 2002 to the 2005 surveys; trends for secondary data represent overall trends over the past decade (or time period for which data were available).
** Death rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 Standard Population.
## DEATH & DISABILITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cancer</th>
<th>LaSalle</th>
<th>TREND*</th>
<th>vs. RFSA</th>
<th>vs. LA</th>
<th>vs. US</th>
<th>vs. HP2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cancer Deaths**</td>
<td>193.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung Cancer Deaths**</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast Cancer Deaths**</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate Cancer Deaths**</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal Cancer Deaths**</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Mammogram In The Past Two Years (Women 40+)</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Pap Smear In The Past Three Years (Women)</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Prostate Exam In The Past Two Years (Men 50+)</td>
<td>69.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Sigmoid/Colonoscopy Ever (Men/Women 50+)</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Blood Stool Test In The Past Two Years (Men/Women 50+)</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cardiovascular Disease</th>
<th>LaSalle</th>
<th>TREND*</th>
<th>vs. RFSA</th>
<th>vs. LA</th>
<th>vs. US</th>
<th>vs. HP2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heart Disease Deaths**</td>
<td>364.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Chronic Heart Disease</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke Deaths**</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Stroke</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% 1 or More Cardiovascular Risk Factors</td>
<td>90.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Blood Pressure Checked In The Past Two Years</td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Told Have High Blood Pressure</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Taking Action To Control High Blood Pressure</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Cholesterol Checked In The Past Five Years</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Told Have High Cholesterol</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Taking Action To Control High Cholesterol</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chronic Pain</th>
<th>LaSalle</th>
<th>TREND*</th>
<th>vs. RFSA</th>
<th>vs. LA</th>
<th>vs. US</th>
<th>vs. HP2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Arthritis/Rheumatism</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diabetes</th>
<th>LaSalle</th>
<th>TREND*</th>
<th>vs. RFSA</th>
<th>vs. LA</th>
<th>vs. US</th>
<th>vs. HP2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes Mellitus Deaths**</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Diabetes/High Blood Sugar</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HIV/AIDS</th>
<th>LaSalle</th>
<th>TREND*</th>
<th>vs. RFSA</th>
<th>vs. LA</th>
<th>vs. US</th>
<th>vs. HP2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS Deaths**</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Death rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 Standard Population.

* TRENDS for survey data represent changes from the 2002 to the 2005 surveys; TRENDS for secondary data represent overall trends over the past decade (or time period for which data were available).

** Trends for survey data represent changes from the 2002 to the 2005 surveys; trends for secondary data represent overall trends over the past decade (or time period for which data were available).

### KEY:
-  = Favorable comparison or trend
-  = Unfavorable comparison or trend
-  = Statistically similar, or no clear trend
-  = No data is available to make a comparison or view a trend

- Trends for survey data represent changes from the 2002 to the 2005 surveys; trends for secondary data represent overall trends over the past decade (or time period for which data were available).
- Death rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 Standard Population.
### DEATH & DISABILITY (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injury &amp; Violence</th>
<th>LaSalle</th>
<th>TREND* vs. RFSA vs. LA vs. US vs. HP2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unintentional Injury Deaths**</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicle Accident Deaths**</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% “Always” Wear Seat Belt</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Child (&lt;5) “Always” Uses Auto Child Restraint</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Child (5-17) “Always” Uses Seat Belt</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violent Crime Rate Per 100,000 Population</td>
<td>157.5</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Victim Of Violent Crime In The Past Five Years</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Victim Of Domestic Violence In The Past Five Years</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homicide Deaths**</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide Deaths**</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kidney Disease</th>
<th>LaSalle</th>
<th>TREND* vs. RFSA vs. LA vs. US vs. HP2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kidney Disease Deaths**</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Kidney Disease</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respiratory Disease</th>
<th>LaSalle</th>
<th>TREND* vs. RFSA vs. LA vs. US vs. HP2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia/Influenza Deaths**</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease Deaths**</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Chronic Lung Disease</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Asthma</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Child Has Asthma</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vision &amp; Hearing</th>
<th>LaSalle</th>
<th>TREND* vs. RFSA vs. LA vs. US vs. HP2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Blindness/Trouble Seeing</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Deafness/Trouble Hearing</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Death rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 Standard Population.**

---

**KEY:**
- 🌟 = Favorable comparison or trend
- 🌡 = Unfavorable comparison or trend
- 🌙 = Statistically similar, or no clear trend
- Blank = No data is available to make a comparison or view a trend

* Trends for survey data represent changes from the 2002 to the 2005 surveys; trends for secondary data represent overall trends over the past decade (or time period for which data were available).
## MODIFIABLE HEALTH RISKS

### Nutrition & Overweight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>LaSalle</th>
<th>TREND*</th>
<th>vs. RFSA</th>
<th>vs. LA</th>
<th>vs. US</th>
<th>vs. HP2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Eat 5+ Servings Of Fruit Or Vegetables/Day</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Child Eats 3+ Fast Food Meals Per Week</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Overweight (Body Mass Index = 25+)</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Obese (Body Mass Index = 30+)</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Overweight Trying To Lose</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Children (6-17) Overweight</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Physical Activity & Fitness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>LaSalle</th>
<th>TREND*</th>
<th>vs. RFSA</th>
<th>vs. LA</th>
<th>vs. US</th>
<th>vs. HP2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% No Leisure-Time Physical Activity</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Participate In Moderate Physical Activity</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Participate In Vigorous Physical Activity</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Participate In Strengthening Activity</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Child Watches 3+ Hours Of TV Per School Day</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Child Exercises 5+ Days Per Week For 20+ Minutes</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Substance Abuse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>LaSalle</th>
<th>TREND*</th>
<th>vs. RFSA</th>
<th>vs. LA</th>
<th>vs. US</th>
<th>vs. HP2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cirrhosis/Liver Disease Deaths**</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Current Drinker</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Chronic Drinker</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Binge Drinker</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Drinking &amp; Driving In The Past Month</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Riding With Drunk Driver In The Past Month</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Sought Help For Alcohol Or Drug Problem</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Illicit Drug Use In The Past Month</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tobacco Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>LaSalle</th>
<th>TREND*</th>
<th>vs. RFSA</th>
<th>vs. LA</th>
<th>vs. US</th>
<th>vs. HP2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Current Smoker</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Received Advice To Quit Smoking (Smokers)</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Have Quit 1+ Days In The Past Year (Smokers)</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Use Smokeless Tobacco</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Someone Smokes At Home</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Children &lt;7 Exposed To Smoke At Home</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
<td>🌧️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY:** 🌟 = Favorable comparison or trend  🌧️ = Unfavorable comparison or trend  🌧️ = Statistically similar, or no clear trend  Blank = No data is available to make a comparison or view a trend

- Trends for survey data represent changes from the 2002 to the 2005 surveys; trends for secondary data represent overall trends over the past decade (or time period for which data were available).
- **Death rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 Standard Population.**
### SELF-REPORTED HEALTH STATUS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Health</th>
<th>LaSalle</th>
<th>TREND*</th>
<th>vs. RFSA</th>
<th>vs. LA</th>
<th>vs. US</th>
<th>vs. HP2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% “Fair” Or “Poor” Physical Health</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Activity Limitations</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mental Health</th>
<th>LaSalle</th>
<th>TREND*</th>
<th>vs. RFSA</th>
<th>vs. LA</th>
<th>vs. US</th>
<th>vs. HP2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% “Fair” Or “Poor” Mental Health</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Feel Sad, Blue, Depressed On 3+ Days Per Month</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Prolonged Depression (2+ Years)</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s Disease Deaths**</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Child Takes Medication for ADD/ADHD</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY:** 🌿 = Favorable comparison or trend 🍇 = Unfavorable comparison or trend 🌿 = Statistically similar, or no clear trend Blank = No data is available to make a comparison or view a trend

* Trends for survey data represent changes from the 2002 to the 2005 surveys; trends for secondary data represent overall trends over the past decade (or time period for which data were available).

** Death rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 Standard Population.

---

### BIRTHS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family Planning</th>
<th>LaSalle</th>
<th>TREND*</th>
<th>vs. RFSA</th>
<th>vs. LA</th>
<th>vs. US</th>
<th>vs. HP2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Births To Teenagers</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Births To Unwed Mothers</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>🍇</td>
<td>🍇</td>
<td>🍇</td>
<td>🍇</td>
<td>🍇</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maternal, Infant &amp; Child Health</th>
<th>LaSalle</th>
<th>TREND*</th>
<th>vs. RFSA</th>
<th>vs. LA</th>
<th>vs. US</th>
<th>vs. HP2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Mothers Not Receiving Adequate Prenatal Care</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Of Low Birthweight Births</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal Death Rate Per 1,000 Live Births</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant Death Rate Per 1,000 Live Births</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
<td>🌿</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY:** 🌿 = Favorable comparison or trend 🍇 = Unfavorable comparison or trend 🌿 = Statistically similar, or no clear trend Blank = No data is available to make a comparison or view a trend

* Trends for survey data represent changes from the 2002 to the 2005 surveys; trends for secondary data represent overall trends over the past decade (or time period for which data were available).

** Death rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 Standard Population.

---
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### Infectious & Chronic Diseases

#### Immunization & Infectious Diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>LaSalle</th>
<th>TREND* vs. RFSA vs. LA vs. US vs. HP2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Flu Shot In The Past Year (65+)</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Flu Shot In The Past Year (High-Risk 18-64)</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Pneumonia Vaccine Ever (65+)</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Pneumonia Vaccine Ever (High-Risk 18-64)</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis C Incidence Per 100,000 Population</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Sexually Transmitted Diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>LaSalle</th>
<th>TREND* vs. RFSA vs. LA vs. US vs. HP2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chlamydia Incidence Per 100,000 Population</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonorrhea Incidence Per 100,000 Population</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary &amp; Secondary Syphilis Incidence Per 100,000 Population</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis B Incidence Per 100,000 Population</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Tuberculosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>LaSalle</th>
<th>TREND* vs. RFSA vs. LA vs. US vs. HP2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis Incidence Per 100,000 Population</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Enteric Diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>LaSalle</th>
<th>TREND* vs. RFSA vs. LA vs. US vs. HP2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salmonellosis Incidence Per 100,000 Population</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shigellosis Incidence Per 100,000 Population</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campylobacteriosis Incidence Per 100,000 Population</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis A Incidence Per 100,000 Population</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺 🌺</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY:**
- 🌺 = Favorable comparison or trend
- 🌺 = Unfavorable comparison or trend
- 🌺 = Statistically similar, or no clear trend
- Blank = No data is available to make a comparison or view a trend

* Trends for survey data represent changes from the 2002 to the 2005 surveys; trends for secondary data represent overall trends over the past decade (or time period for which data were available).

** Death rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 Standard Population.
### OTHER

#### Housing

| | LaSalle | TREND* vs. RFSA vs. LA vs. US vs. HP2010 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| % Had To Go Live With A Friend Or Relative | 9.1 | | | |
| % View Condition Of Neighborhood Homes As "Fair/Poor" | 15.2 | | | |
| % View Affordability Of Neighborhood Homes As "Fair/Poor" | 38.9 | | | |

#### Perceptions Of Teen Issues

| | LaSalle | TREND* vs. RFSA vs. LA vs. US vs. HP2010 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| % View Teen Drug Use As A “Major Problem” | 63.0 | HIGHER | similar | HIGHER | |
| % View Teen Alcohol Use As A “Major Problem” | 63.7 | similar | HIGHER | | |
| % View Teen Tobacco Use As A “Major Problem” | 63.7 | similar | HIGHER | | |
| % View Teen Drinking/Driving As A “Major Problem” | 60.7 | similar | HIGHER | | |
| % View Teen Pregnancy Use As A “Major Problem” | 35.1 | | | | |

**KEY:**
- 🌟 = Favorable comparison or trend
- 🌡 = Unfavorable comparison or trend
- 🌞 = Statistically similar, or no clear trend
- 🕒 = Blank = No data is available to make a comparison or view a trend

* Trends for survey data represent changes from the 2002 to the 2005 surveys; trends for secondary data represent overall trends over the past decade (or time period for which data were available).

** Death rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 Standard Population.
SIGNIFICANT TRENDS

The following section highlights both positive and negative trends observed in health indicators for LaSalle Parish.

- **Survey Data Indicators**: Trends for survey-derived indicators represent significant changes measured between the 2002 and 2005 PRC Community Health Surveys.

- **Other Data Indicators**: Trends for other indicators (e.g., public health indicators) represent point-to-point changes between the most current reporting period and the earliest presented in this report (typically representing the span of a decade).

Positive Trends For LaSalle Parish

Health status and risk indicators have *improved* for the following:

**Access To Healthcare Services**
- Barriers To Healthcare Access
  (Specifically: Appointment Availability)

**Cancer**
- Cancer Deaths

**Cardiovascular Disease**
- Stroke Deaths
- Taking Action To Control High Blood Pressure
- Taking Action To Control High Cholesterol

**Diabetes**
- Diabetes Mellitus Deaths

**Enteric Diseases**
- Shigellosis Incidence

**HIV/AIDS**
- HIV/AIDS Deaths

**Injury & Violence**
- Unintentional Injury Deaths
- Motor Vehicle Deaths
- Violent Crime Rate
- Suicide Deaths

**Maternal, Infant & Child Health**
- Adequate Prenatal Care
- Infant Death Rate
Mental Health
- Prolonged Depression
- Alzheimer’s Disease Deaths

Nutrition
- Fruits And Vegetables Consumption

Physical Activity
- Moderate Activity

Respiratory Disease
- Pneumonia/Influenza Deaths

Sexually Transmitted Diseases
- Primary And Secondary Syphilis Incidence

Tobacco Use
- Smoking Cessation

**Negative Trends For LaSalle Parish**

Health status and risk indicators have *gotten worse* for the following:

Cardiovascular Disease
- Prevalence Of High Blood Cholesterol

Enteric Diseases
- Salmonellosis Incidence

Family Planning
- Births To Unwed Mothers

Hepatitis C
- Hepatitis C Incidence

Injury & Violence
- Homicide Deaths

Maternal, Infant & Child Health
- Neonatal Death Rate

Physical Activity
- Leisure-time Activity
  - Strengthening Activity

Respiratory Disease
- Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease Deaths
Sexually Transmitted Diseases
- Chlamydia Incidence
- Gonorrhea Incidence
- Hepatitis B Incidence

Substance Abuse
- Cirrhosis/Liver Disease Deaths
- Chronic Drinking

Tobacco Use
- Smokeless Tobacco Usage

Tuberculosis
- Tuberculosis Incidence

Significant Changes In Perceptions
LaSalle Parish respondents noted a statistically significant change in perception between 2002 and 2005 with regard to:

Perceptions Of Teen Issues
- Perceptions Of Teen Drug Use As A "Major Problem"
Access to quality care is important to eliminate health disparities and increase the quality and years of healthy life for all persons in the United States... Limitations in access to care extend beyond basic causes, such as a shortage of healthcare providers or a lack of facilities. Individuals also may lack a usual source of care or may face other barriers to receiving services, such as financial barriers (having no health insurance or being underinsured), structural barriers (no facilities or healthcare professionals nearby), and personal barriers (sexual orientation, cultural differences, language differences, not knowing what to do, or environmental challenges for people with disabilities).


HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE

Healthcare Coverage

A total of 80.8% of LaSalle Parish adults aged 18 to 64 report having some type of health insurance coverage.

- A total of 62.8% of LaSalle Parish adults aged 18 to 64 report having healthcare coverage through private insurance.
- Another 18.0% report coverage through a government-sponsored plan, including Medicaid, Medicare, military benefits, and/or “other” (unspecified) government programs.

Healthcare Insurance Coverage
(Among Adults Age 18 To 64; LaSalle Parish, 2005)

- Insured/Employer-Based: 58.6%
- Insured/Self-Purchased: 3.5%
- Other Private Ins: 0.7%
- Medicaid: 3.6%
- Medicare: 7.3%
- Both Medicare/Medicaid: 2.1%
- VA/Military: 5.0%
- No Insurance/Self-Pay: 19.2%

Source: • 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 164]
Note: • Reflects respondents age 18 to 64.
Among Medicare recipients, 56.9% have supplemental healthcare coverage.

- Lower than the 78.3% reported nationally.

### Have Additional Supplemental Coverage

(Among Recipients Of Medicare; LaSalle Parish, 2005)

- **Yes**: 56.9%
- **No**: 43.1%

vs. **78.3% Nationwide**

Source: • 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 79]
• 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Note: • Reflects those respondents who currently receive Medicare.

### Healthcare Benefits

Among adults with healthcare coverage, nearly all report coverage for both physician visits and hospital visits; however more than one out of 10 has no coverage for prescriptions.

### Aspects Of Healthcare Coverage

(Among Those With Health Insurance Coverage; By Region, 2005)

- **Dr Visits**
  - LaSalle Parish 2005: 85.3%
  - RFSAs 2005: 90.3%
  - US 2005: 90.6%

- **Hospital Stays**
  - LaSalle Parish 2005: 85.3%
  - RFSAs 2005: 90.3%
  - US 2005: 90.6%

- **Both**
  - LaSalle Parish 2005: 85.3%
  - RFSAs 2005: 90.3%
  - US 2005: 90.6%

- **Neither**
  - LaSalle Parish 2005: 14.7%
  - RFSAs 2005: 9.7%
  - US 2005: 9.4%

- **Coverage Pays At Least Partial Costs**
  - Yes: 96.3%
  - No: 1.0%
  - N/A: 0.0%

Source: • 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Items 80-81]
• 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Note: • Reflects those respondents who have health insurance coverage.
Uninsured Population

One in five LaSalle Parish adults between the ages of 18 and 64 (19.2%) has no insurance coverage for healthcare expenses.

- Similar to the 23.8% reported throughout The Rapides Foundation Service Area (RFSA).
- Similar to the 20.0% reported nationwide.
- The Healthy People 2010 target is universal coverage (0% uninsured).

**TREND:** The prevalence of uninsured adults in LaSalle Parish is statistically similar to 2002 findings.

Further, note the following:

- Over 40% of persons living at very low incomes and 33.4% of persons living at low incomes (including the “working poor”) report being uninsured.

  *Note that the sample of Black/African American respondents was relatively small; therefore, differences which seem notable may not be statistically different. [Note also that, because the parish sample was random and conducted in proportion to the actual population, other races were not sampled in numbers large enough to allow for segmentation.]*
### Impact Of Poor Access

Persons without health insurance coverage are much less likely to have a regular medical care provider, receive routine care, or receive preventive healthcare screenings.

### Preventive Healthcare

(By Insured Status, 18+; LaSalle Parish, 2005)

```plaintext
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Type</th>
<th>Uninsured (%)</th>
<th>Insured (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood Pressure Test in Past 2 Yrs</td>
<td>91.6%</td>
<td>95.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol Test in Past 5 Yrs</td>
<td>79.9%</td>
<td>81.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Checkup in Past Year</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
<td>69.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pap Smear in Past 3 Yrs</td>
<td>52.3%</td>
<td>79.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Source of Ongoing Care</td>
<td>52.1%</td>
<td>67.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental Care in Past Yr</td>
<td>43.6%</td>
<td>57.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammogram in Past 2 Yrs (W 40+)</td>
<td>36.3%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever Sigmoid/Colon. 50+</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>46.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

Source: • 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Items 27,29,30,49,52,85,156,160]

Note: • Reflects all respondents.
• Insured respondents include those with either private or government-sponsored insurance plans.
DIFFICULTIES ACCESSING HEALTHCARE

Difficulties Accessing Services

In all, 35.3% of LaSalle Parish adults report some type of difficulty or delay in obtaining healthcare services in the past year.

- Statistically similar to the 37.4% reported across the RFSA.
- Statistically similar to the 35.4% reported nationwide.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target (7% or lower).

**TREND:** Statistically unchanged from the 36.7% reported in 2002.

**Experienced Difficulties Or Delays Of Some Kind In Receiving Needed Healthcare In The Past Year**  
(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy People 2010 Objective is 7% or lower</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
<td>37.4%</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
<td>36.7%</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source:  
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 170]  
- 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.  

Note:  
- Asked of all respondents.  
- Includes difficulties related to availability, cost, office hours, transportation or other unspecified troubles/delays.  
- State data not available.

The following chart further examines access difficulties by respondent demographics.

- LaSalle Parish women are more affected by access difficulties than are men (44.2% vs. 26.3%, respectively).
- Middle-aged adults are more likely to report delays or difficulties in accessing care.
- Persons living at lower incomes report greater difficulty accessing healthcare.
- Further, persons without health insurance coverage much more often report difficulties or delays in accessing healthcare than do insured respondents.
Barriers To Healthcare Access

Adults

Specifically, survey participants were asked whether any of six types of barriers to access prevented them from seeing a physician or obtaining a prescription in the past year.

Of the six tested barriers, cost of prescription medicines impacted the greatest share of adults in the parish (19.8% say they were unable to obtain a needed prescription in the past year because of the cost).

- Cost of the doctor visit and inconvenient office hours were the second and third most common barriers to healthcare services (affecting 14.9% and 11.7% of respondents, respectively).

In the following chart, note that:

- Availability of transportation is more favorable than regional findings.
- Appointment availability is more favorable than regional and national findings.
**TREND:** In comparison to 2002 findings, one statistically significant decrease was reported, signifying a positive change for LaSalle Parish: appointment availability.

---

### Barriers To Access Have Prevented Medical Care In The Past Year

**By Region, 2005**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Barriers</th>
<th>LaSalle Parish 2005</th>
<th>RFSA 2005</th>
<th>US 2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost (Prescriptions)</td>
<td>19.8%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost (Doctor Visit)</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconvenient Office Hours</td>
<td>19.8%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finding a Doctor</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getting Appointment</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:**
- 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Items 18-23]
- 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

**Note:**
- Asked of all respondents.
- State data not available.

---

### Barriers To Access Have Prevented Medical Care In The Past Year

**LaSalle Parish, 2002-2005**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Barriers</th>
<th>LaSalle Parish 2002</th>
<th>LaSalle Parish 2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost (Prescriptions)</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
<td>19.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost (Doctor Visit)</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconvenient Office Hours</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finding a Doctor</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getting Appointment</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:**
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Items 18-23]

**Note:**
- Asked of all respondents.
Uninsured Adults

LaSalle Parish residents without health insurance coverage are more likely to experience specific barriers to healthcare access, particularly as related to cost.

Barriers To Healthcare Access
(By Insured Status, 18+; LaSalle Parish, 2005)

- **Cost of Doctor Visit**: 48.6% uninsured, 47.3% insured
- **Cost of Prescriptions**: 10.1% uninsured, 6.4% insured
- **Difficulty Finding Doctor**: 25.0% uninsured, 6.4% insured
- **Rely on ER for Healthcare**: 21.7% uninsured, 11.4% insured
- **Difficulty Getting Appointment**: 16.8% uninsured, 8.5% insured
- **Lack of Transportation**: 10.8% uninsured, 4.3% insured

Source: 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Items 18-26,30]
Note: Reflects all respondents.
Insured respondents include those with either private or government-sponsored insurance plans.

Children

Surveyed parents were also asked if, within the past year, they experienced any trouble in receiving medical care for a randomly selected child in their household.

A total of 8.0% of surveyed parents say there was a time in the past year when they needed medical care for their child, but were unable to get it.

- Similar to the 4.7% prevalence found throughout the RFSA.
- Similar to the 6.1% reported nationwide.

Specific types of difficulties encountered included references to cost/lack of insurance, transportation, and long waits.

Have Had Trouble Obtaining Medical Care For Child In The Past Year
(By Region, 2005)

- **LaSalle Parish 2005**: 8.0%
- **RFSA 2005**: 4.7%
- **US 2005**: 6.1%

Source: 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 122-123]
2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.
Note: Asked of respondents with children under the age of 18.
PRIMARY CARE SERVICES

A majority (80.6%) of LaSalle Parish adults say they have a particular place where they usually go for healthcare; this is predominantly a doctor’s office.

- Similar to the 83.2% reported across the RFSA.
- Note, however, that 15.9% of people with a source of medical care say that this is a hospital emergency room.

### Source Of Medical Care

(LaSalle Parish, 2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Facility</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr’s Office</td>
<td>68.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinic/Health Ctr</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital ER</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/VA</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Asked of all respondents.*

**Specific Source Of Ongoing Care**

Having a “specific source of ongoing care” includes having a doctor’s office, clinic, urgent care center, walk-in clinic, health center facility, hospital outpatient clinic, HMO or prepaid group, military/VA clinic, or some other kind of place to go if one is sick or needs advice about his or her health. A hospital emergency room is **not** considered a source of ongoing care in this instance.

**Only 67.8% of LaSalle Parish adults were determined to have a specific source of ongoing medical care.**

- Similar to the 72.2% found across the RFSA.
- Less favorable than the 79.9% reported nationally.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target (96% or higher).
Although no key demographic segment satisfies the Healthy People 2010 objective, the following adults are less likely to report a source for ongoing medical care:

- Men.
- Adults aged 18 to 39.
- Uninsured adults.
 Utilization Of Primary Care Services

Adults

In the past year, 71.4% of LaSalle Parish adults visited a physician for a routine checkup.

- Similar to the 70.8% reported across the RFSA.
- More favorable than the 65.6% reported nationwide.

**TREND:** Statistically unchanged from the 71.1% reported in LaSalle Parish in 2002.

### Have Visited A Physician For A Routine Checkup Within The Past Year
(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>71.5%</td>
<td>71.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>70.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>65.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 27]
• 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Note: • Asked of all respondents.
• State data not available.

Note the following demographic findings:

- Women more often report routine physician visits than do men.
- As might be expected, there is a strong correlation with age: 87.7% of LaSalle Parish adults aged 65 and older have had a checkup in the past year, compared to 63.6% of those aged 18 to 39.
- Those at very low income levels are less likely to report routine physician visits.
Children

A total of 81.8% of surveyed parents report that their child had a routine checkup in the past year.

- Similar to the 85.5% reported across the RFSA and the 76.6% reported nationwide.

**TREND:** Statistically unchanged from the 77.7% reported in LaSalle Parish in 2002.
Health Professional Shortage Areas

Health professional shortage areas (HPSAs) are designated by the federal Shortage Designation Branch (SDB) in the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) based on the shortage/underserved criteria established by regulation (e.g., the ratio of population to available health providers).

Types Of HPSA Designations & Sub-Categories

- Primary Care Designations
- Dental Designations
- Mental Health Designations

For each of the three HPSA Designation types, there are three sub-categories, which include:

- **Geographic designations** - these take into account the entire population of the requested area to all available primary care physicians.

- **Population Group designations** - these are special groups. The most common of these are Low Income and Medicaid Eligible designations. Low income designations use a ratio built upon the low income population of the area and the physicians providing services to this population. Medicaid eligible designations are based on the number of Medicaid eligible people in the area and the physicians that accept Medicaid.

- **Facility designations** look at a facility's outpatient census, waiting times, patients' residences and in-house faculty to evaluate a facility's designation eligibility.

Maps of the most current designations of parishes in The Rapides Foundation Service Area are presented on the following pages.

---

1 Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals, Office of the Secretary. Primary Care & Rural Health Website. http://www.dhh.state.la.us/offices/page.asp?id=88&detail=3814.
**Primary Care**

**Primary care designations** pertain to an area's access to physicians that principally practice in one of the following: family practice, general practice, internal medicine, pediatrics and OB/GYN. A ratio is used to measure the level of primary care access. To be considered underserved, most areas in the state are considered to be high needs areas; therefore, a ratio of $\geq$3,000 possible patients to one primary care physician full-time equivalent (FTE) is usually required. Provider FTEs are determined by taking the number of hours per week the physician spends in primary care services, either in-office or on- rounds at a hospital, divided by 40. The total of these FTEs is divided by the total resident/civilian population of the area.

---

**Dental Care**

Dental designations are also approved by the Shortage Designation Branch. These are designated on a similar ratio scheme. Dental FTEs are calculated by starting with the number of hours of patient care provided by a dentist per week. The FTE is then weighted according to the dentist's age and the number of assistants the dentist employs. A ratio of ≥4,000 possible patients to one dentist FTE is usually required (in high needs areas).  

---

**HEALTH PROFESSIONAL SHORTAGE AREAS (HPSAs)**

**DENTAL**

---

**Legend**

- Currently Designated Dental HPSA
- Designated Facility

*Degree of shortage is based on the ratio of the relevant population to one (1) full-time equivalency (FTE) dentist.

DHH/Bureau of Primary Care and Rural Health, January 2006

---

3 Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals, Office of the Secretary. Primary Care & Rural Health Website. [http://www.dhh.state.la.us/offices/page.asp?id=88&detail=3814](http://www.dhh.state.la.us/offices/page.asp?id=88&detail=3814).
Mental Health Care

Mental health designations are also approved by the Shortage Designation Branch. There are several ways to figure an area’s mental health ratio that include looking at the number of psychiatrists and/or that number plus the other core mental health providers in the area.⁴

Medically Underserved Areas

Medically Underserved Areas (MUAs) identify areas or populations with a shortage of healthcare services. Documentation of shortage for MUAs includes several indicators in addition to the availability of healthcare providers. These factors include infant mortality rate, poverty rate, and percentage of population aged 65 or over.

LaSalle Parish, as well as all parishes throughout the RFSA, is designated as an MUA.

---

A total of 54.3% of LaSalle Parish adults rely on family physicians as their primary source of healthcare information.

- Friends/relatives, the Internet, books/magazines, hospital publications, and work are also important sources of healthcare information.

**TREND:** When comparing primary sources for healthcare information among residents of LaSalle Parish, adults this year are more likely to rely on a family physician and less likely to rely on books/magazines and hospital publications when compared with 2002 findings.

### Primary Source Of Healthcare Information

(By Region, 2002-2005 Trend Data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>LaSalle Parish 2002</th>
<th>LaSalle Parish 2005</th>
<th>RFSA 2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family Doctor</td>
<td>39.1%</td>
<td>46.8%</td>
<td>54.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends/Relatives</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Books/Magazines</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital Publications</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Experience</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Television</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newspaper</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Receive Any</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncertain</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 108]

Note: • Asked of all respondents.
• State and national data not available.
EMERGENCY ROOM SERVICES

A total of 15.0% of LaSalle Parish adults have gone to a hospital emergency room more than once in the past year about their own health.

- Similar to the 12.7% reported throughout the RFSA.
- Nearly three times the U.S. finding (5.9%).

**TREND:** Statistically unchanged from the 15.6% reported locally in 2002.

Have Used A Hospital Emergency Room More Than Once In The Past Year
(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

![Chart showing ER usage by region and year](chart)

Among LaSalle Parish respondents who used a hospital emergency room in the past year, 50.8% reportedly used the ER because of an emergency or life-threatening situation. Another 25.0% indicated that the visit was during after-hours or on the weekend.

Note the dramatic variation (by age, income, race and insured status) when examining ER utilization by demographic characteristics.

Have Used A Hospital Emergency Room More Than Once In The Past Year
(LaSalle Parish, 2005)

![Chart showing ER usage by demographic categories](chart)

Source: • 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.
• State data not available.

Note: • Asked of all respondents.
• Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size:
  - "very low income" = below poverty;
  - "low income" = 100% to 200% of poverty;
  - "middle/high income" = over 200% of poverty.
ORAL HEALTH

Just under one-half (48.3%) of LaSalle Parish adults visited a dentist or dental clinic (for any reason) in the past year.

- Less favorable than the RFSA prevalence (55.6%) and the 65.4% found nationwide.
- Does not satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target (56% or higher).
- **TREND**: Statistically unchanged from the 54.7% reported in 2002.

### Have Visited A Dentist Or Dental Clinic Within The Past Year
(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Healthy People 2010 Objective is 56% or higher</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>48.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA 2005</td>
<td>55.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 2005</td>
<td>65.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>54.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>48.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 29]
• 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.
• Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC); 2004 Louisiana data.

Note: • Asked of all respondents.
• State data not available.

Note the following:

- There is a strong negative correlation of dental care with age— young adults report much higher utilization of oral health services (66.5%, compared to 23.3% of older adults).
- There is a strong relationship of dental care with income — persons living at low and very low incomes report much lower utilization of oral health services.
Have Visited A Dentist Or Dental Clinic Within The Past Year
(LaSalle Parish, 2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Healthy People 2010 Objective is 56% or higher</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>46.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>49.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 39</td>
<td>66.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 to 64</td>
<td>43.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Low Income</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Income</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle/High Income</td>
<td>59.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>49.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/Afr Am</td>
<td>43.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>48.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 29]

Note: • Asked of all respondents.
• Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size:
  "very low income" = below poverty; "low income" = 100% to 200% of poverty; "middle/high income" = over 200% of poverty.
**VISION HEALTH**

Just over one-third (39.7%) of LaSalle Parish respondents had an eye exam in the past year during which their pupils were dilated.

- Similar to the 43.4% reported regionally and the 42.2% reported nationally.

**TREND**: Statistically unchanged since 2002.

![Bar chart showing eye exam rates for different regions and time periods.](chart)

**Recent vision care is more prevalent among:**

- Adults aged 65 and older.
- Those living at the low income level.
- Blacks/African Americans.

![Bar chart showing eye exam rates by demographic groups.](chart)
DEATH & DISABILITY

LEADING CAUSES OF DEATH

Leading Causes Of Death

Together, the top five causes of death account for nearly three-fourths of all 2002 deaths in LaSalle Parish.

- **Heart disease** is the leading cause of death, accounting for 35.6% of all deaths.
- **Cancers** (malignant neoplasms) are the second leading cause of death, accounting for 18.8% of all deaths.
- Cerebrovascular disease (*stroke*) is the third leading cause of death, accounting for 8.8% of all deaths.
- **Chronic lower respiratory disease** and **unintentional injuries** are the fourth and fifth leading causes of death, each accounting for 5.0% of deaths.
- Other leading causes include pneumonia/influenza, diabetes, kidney disease, and Alzheimer’s disease.

Note the following distribution of deaths in LaSalle Parish in comparison to regional, state and national distributions.

**Leading Causes Of Death**
*(By Region, 2002)*

![Bar chart showing death distribution by region for various causes.]


Note: Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
- CLRD is chronic lower respiratory disease.
In order to compare data among regions, it is necessary to look at rates of death — these are figures which represent the number of deaths in relation to the population size, such as deaths per 100,000 population, as is used here.

Furthermore, in order to compare localities without undue bias toward younger or older populations, the common convention is to adjust the data to a common baseline age distribution (e.g., the 2000 U.S. population, as is used here). Use of these “age-adjusted” rates provides the most valuable means of gauging mortality against normative or benchmark data, as well as Healthy People 2010 targets.

**Between 2000-2002, LaSalle Parish experienced an overall annual average age-adjusted death rate of 1,067.4 per 100,000 population for deaths due to all causes.**

- Similar to the RFSA rate (1,051.4).
- Slightly higher than the state rate (1,005.1).
- Higher than the overall U.S. rate (856.3).
- Higher among LaSalle Parish Blacks/African Americans than among Whites.

### Age-Adjusted Mortality: All Causes

(By Region And Race; 2000-2002 Deaths Per 100,000 Population)

Source: • CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2005.

Note: • Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
- Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
- Parish, state and national data are simple three-year averages, the RFSA three-year averages are weighted by population.
**TREND:** Age-adjusted mortality (all causes) remained decreased steadily in the parish over the past decade, similar to Louisiana and U.S. death rates during this timeframe.

### Age-Adjusted Mortality: All Causes

**Age-Adjusted Death Rates For Selected Causes**

The following chart outlines 2000-2002 annual average age-adjusted death rates per 100,000 population for selected causes of death.

- **Versus Healthy People 2010:** LaSalle Parish age-adjusted death rates fail to satisfy the outlined Healthy People 2010 targets for the following conditions: heart disease, cancer, stroke, diabetes, motor vehicle accidents, cirrhosis/liver disease, suicide and homicide.

- **Versus United States:** Further, LaSalle Parish death rates exceed those reported across the nation for each cause listed, with the exception of cancer, Alzheimer’s disease, influenza/pneumonia, and suicide.

- **Versus RFSA:** Parish rates also exceed those reported throughout the RFSA, with the exception of cancer, diabetes, Alzheimer’s disease, influenza/pneumonia, homicide and suicide.
### Age-Adjusted Death Rates For Selected Causes

*(2000-2002 Deaths Per 100,000 Population)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>LaSalle Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
<th>United States</th>
<th>HP2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diseases of the Heart</td>
<td>364.3</td>
<td>310.4</td>
<td>279.7</td>
<td>248.7</td>
<td>213.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant Neoplasms (Cancers)</td>
<td>193.5</td>
<td>230.1</td>
<td>226.1</td>
<td>196.4</td>
<td>159.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular Disease (Stroke)</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>48.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Lower Respiratory Diseases</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes Mellitus</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>15.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer's Disease</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza/Pneumonia</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicle Accidents</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cirrhosis/Liver Disease</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homicide/Legal Intervention</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intentional Self-Harm (Suicide)</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:**

**Note:**
- Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population and coded using ICD-10 codes.
- "The Healthy People 2010 Heart Disease target is adjusted to account for all diseases of the heart; the Healthy People 2010 target for Diabetes is adjusted to account for only diabetes mellitus coded deaths.
- Parish, state and national data are simple three-year averages, the RFSA three-year averages are weighted by population.

(For infant mortality data, see “Maternal, Infant & Child Health.”)
Heart disease and stroke—the principal components of cardiovascular disease—are the first and third leading causes of death in the United States, accounting for more than 40% of all deaths.

- About 950,000 Americans die of heart disease or stroke each year, which amounts to one death every 33 seconds.
- Although heart disease and stroke are often thought to affect men and older people primarily, it is also a major killer of women and people in the prime of life. More than half of those who die of heart disease or stroke each year are women.
- Each year, about 63 of every 100,000 deaths are due to stroke.

Looking at only deaths due to heart disease or stroke, however, understates the health effects of these two conditions:

- About 61 million Americans (almost one-fourth of the population) live with the effects of stroke or heart disease.
- Heart disease is a leading cause of disability among working adults.
- Stroke alone accounts for the disability of more than 1 million Americans.
- Almost 6 million hospitalizations each year are due to heart disease or stroke.
- About 4.5 million stroke survivors are alive today.

The economic effects of heart disease and stroke on the U.S. healthcare system grow larger as the population ages. In 2001, for example, the [nationwide] cost for all cardiovascular diseases was $300 billion: for heart disease the cost was $105 billion; for stroke, $28 billion. Lost productivity due to stroke and heart disease cost more than $129 billion.

– National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

### Age-Adjusted Heart Disease & Stroke Deaths

#### Heart Disease

The greatest share of cardiovascular deaths are attributed to heart disease.

**Between 2000 and 2002, there was an annual average age-adjusted heart disease death rate of 364.3 deaths per 100,000 population in LaSalle Parish.**

- Less favorable than the rates reported regionally (310.4), statewide (279.7) and nationwide (248.7).
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target (213.7 or lower).
- Ranging from 338.6 among Black/African Americans to 369.7 among Whites.
TREND: LaSalle Parish age-adjusted heart disease death rates has not shown a clear trend between 1993 and 2002, while regional, state and national rates decreased.
Stroke Deaths

Between 2000 and 2002, there was an annual average age-adjusted stroke death rate of 76.8 deaths per 100,000 population in LaSalle Parish.

- Higher than regional (69.3), state (63.8) and national (58.3) rates.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target (48.0 or lower).
- Higher (77.7) among Whites than among Blacks/African Americans (53.3).

**Trend:** Age-adjusted mortality due to stroke ranged from 73.3 to 99.7 in LaSalle Parish in recent years, showing an overall downward trend.

**Age-Adjusted Mortality: Stroke**

(By Region And Race; 2000-2002 Deaths Per 100,000 Population)


Note: Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
- Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
- Parish, state and national data are simple three-year averages, the RFSA three-year averages are weighted by population.

**Age-Adjusted Mortality: Stroke**

(By Region; 1993-2002)

Source: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. Health, United States.

Note: Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
- Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
- Data for 1999 and subsequent years are not fully comparable to data from 1998 and prior years, due to changes in coding of causes of deaths resulting from the switch from the ninth revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD9) to the tenth revision (ICD10).
- Parish, state and national data are simple three-year averages, the RFSA three-year averages are weighted by population.
Prevalence Of Heart Disease

A total of 13.7% of LaSalle Parish adults report that they suffer from or have been diagnosed with heart disease, such as coronary heart disease, angina or heart attack.

- Less favorable than the 8.9% reported throughout the RFSA and the 8.2% reported nationwide.

**TREND:** This year’s prevalence of heart disease is statistically similar to the 10.1% reported in 2002.

Prevalence Of Stroke

A total of 4.3% of LaSalle Parish adults report that they have suffered from or been diagnosed with cerebrovascular disease (stroke).

- Comparable to the 3.6% reported across the RFSA and the percentage noted nationwide.

**TREND:** Statistically similar to the 4.9% reported in 2002.
Cardiovascular Risk Factors

Hypertension (High Blood Pressure)

High blood pressure is known as the “silent killer” and remains a major risk factor for coronary heart disease, stroke, and heart failure. About 50 million adults in the United States have high blood pressure.


High Blood Pressure Testing

95.6% of LaSalle Parish adults have had their blood pressure tested within the past two years.

- Similar percentages were recorded across the RFSA, as well as throughout the U.S. overall.
- Statistically similar to the Healthy People 2010 target (95% or higher).

TREND: Statistically unchanged from the 96.9% reported three years ago.

Have Had Blood Pressure Checked Within The Past Two Years
(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

Healthy People 2010 Objective is 95% or higher

Source: • PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 49]
• 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Note: • Reflects the total sample of respondents.
• State data not available.
Prevalence Of Hypertension

36.1% of adults nationwide have been told at some point by a health professional that their blood pressure was high.

- Comparable to the 38.2% reported across the RFSA.
- Higher than the statewide prevalence of hypertension (29.0%).
- Statistically similar to the 34.2% reported nationally.
- More than twice the Healthy People 2010 target (16% or lower).

Note also that 81.3% of persons reporting hypertension report that they have been told their blood pressure was high on more than one occasion.

TREND: Statistically unchanged from the 38.0% reported in 2002.

Prevalence Of High Blood Pressure
(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

Source: • PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 46-47]
- 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Note: • Reflects the total sample of respondents.
Prevalence is particularly high among adults 40 and over and among very low income respondents.

**Prevalence Of High Blood Pressure**
(LaSalle Parish, 2005)

![Bar chart showing prevalence of high blood pressure by gender, age group, income level, and race/ethnicity.](image)

Source:
- 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 46]

Note:
- Reflects the total sample of respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: "very low income" = below poverty; "low income" = 100% to 200% of poverty; "middle/high income" = over 200% of poverty.
**Hypertension Management**

More than 9 in 10 adults (93.2%) with high blood pressure (multiple high readings) are currently taking action to control their hypertension (such as taking medication, changing diet, exercising).

- Similar to the 91.0% reported across the RFSA.
- Nationwide, a similar percentage of hypertensive adults is taking action to control their levels.
- Close to satisfying the Healthy People 2010 target (95% or higher).

**TREND**: Marks a statistically significant increase since 2002.

### Taking Action To Control High Blood Pressure

(Among Respondents With High BP Readings; By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>93.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>93.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source**: • PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 48]
• 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

**Note**: • Asked of respondents who have been told that their blood pressure was high.
• In this case, the term “action” includes medication, change in diet, and/or exercising.
• State data not available.
High Blood Cholesterol

High blood cholesterol is a major risk factor for coronary heart disease that can be modified. More than 50 million U.S. adults have blood cholesterol levels that require medical advice and treatment. More than 90 million adults have cholesterol levels that are higher than desirable. Experts recommend that all adults aged 20 years and older have their cholesterol levels checked at least once every 5 years to help them take action to prevent or lower their risk of coronary heart disease. Lifestyle changes that prevent or lower high blood cholesterol include eating a diet low in saturated fat and cholesterol, increasing physical activity, and reducing excess weight.


Blood Cholesterol Testing

83.2% of surveyed adults have had their blood cholesterol checked within the past five years.

- Comparable to the 83.3% reported regionally and the 86.8% reported nationwide.
- Much higher than the statewide prevalence.
- Satisfies the Healthy People 2010 target (80% or higher).

**TREND:** Statistically unchanged from the 79.0% reported in 2002.

Have Had Blood Cholesterol Level Checked Within The Past 5 Years

(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

![Graph showing blood cholesterol testing by region]

Demographic groups which fail to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target for cholesterol screening include:

- Young adults (aged 18 to 39).
- Respondents living at the low income level.
Prevalence Of High Blood Cholesterol

30.2% of adults throughout LaSalle Parish have been told by a health professional that their cholesterol level was high.

- Similar to that found regionally (30.1%), nationwide (32.9%).
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target (17% or lower).

Note that another 12.5% of LaSalle Parish adults have never had their blood cholesterol tested, meaning that the true prevalence of high blood cholesterol is likely higher still.

**TREND:** Statistically higher than the prevalence reported in 2002.
• There is a strong positive correlation with age.

• Note that the sample of very low income respondents was relatively small; therefore, differences which seem notable may not be statistically different.

Cholesterol Management

Among adults who have been diagnosed with high cholesterol levels, 87.0% are currently taking action to control their cholesterol (such as medication, change in diet, and/or exercising).

• Similar to the prevalence reported throughout the RFSA and the U.S. overall.

TREND: Marks a statistically significant increase since 2002.
Total Cardiovascular Risk

Individual level risk factors which put people at increased risk for cardiovascular diseases include:

- High Blood Pressure
- High Blood Cholesterol
- Tobacco Use
- Physical Inactivity
- Poor Nutrition
- Overweight/Obesity
- Diabetes

– National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

More than 9 out of 10 LaSalle Parish adults exhibit one or more cardiovascular risk factors, such as being overweight, smoking cigarettes, being physically inactive, or having high blood pressure or cholesterol.

- Similar to the 92.4% found throughout the RFSA and the 88.5% reported nationwide.

**TREND:** Statistically unchanged since 2002.

**Present One Or More Cardiovascular Risk Factors Or Behaviors**
(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

Source: • PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 142]
• 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Note: • Includes respondents reporting any of the following: overweight, cigarette smoking, high blood pressure, high cholesterol, or physical inactivity.
• State data not available.

By LaSalle Parish demographics:

- Adults age 40 and older are at much greater risk than younger adults.
- Adults living at very low income levels more often report one or more cardiovascular risk factors.
Three health-related behaviors contribute markedly to cardiovascular disease:

**Poor nutrition.** People who are overweight have a higher risk for cardiovascular disease. Almost 60% of U.S. adults are overweight or obese. To maintain a proper body weight, experts recommend a well-balanced diet which is low in fat and high in fiber, accompanied by regular exercise.

**Lack of physical activity.** People who are not physically active have twice the risk for heart disease of those who are active. More than half of U.S. adults do not achieve recommended levels of physical activity.

**Tobacco use.** Smokers have twice the risk for heart attack of nonsmokers. Nearly one-fifth of all deaths from cardiovascular disease, or about 190,000 deaths a year nationally, are smoking-related. Every day, more than 3,000 young people become daily smokers in the U.S.

Modifying these behaviors is critical both for preventing and for controlling cardiovascular disease. Other steps that adults who have cardiovascular disease should take to reduce their risk of death and disability include adhering to treatment for high blood pressure and cholesterol, using aspirin as appropriate, and learning the symptoms of heart attack and stroke.

– National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

(Related Issues: see also “Nutrition & Overweight,” “Physical Activity & Fitness” and “Tobacco Use” in the Modifiable Health Risk section.)
CANCER

Cancer, the second leading cause of death among Americans, is responsible for one of every four deaths in the United States. In 2005, over half a million Americans—or more than 1,500 people a day—will die of cancer. Black Americans are more likely to die from cancer than people of any other racial or ethnic group.

The financial costs of cancer are staggering. According to the National Institutes of Health, cancers cost the United States more than $170 billion in 2002. This includes more than $110 billion in lost productivity and over $60 billion in direct medical costs.

The number of new cancer cases can be reduced substantially, and many cancer deaths can be prevented. Healthier lifestyles can significantly reduce a person’s risk for cancer—for example, avoiding tobacco use, increasing physical activity, improving nutrition, and avoiding sun exposure. Making cancer screening and information services available and accessible to all Americans is also essential for reducing the high rates of cancer and cancer deaths. Screening tests for breast, cervical, and colorectal cancers reduce the number of deaths from these diseases by finding them early, when they are most treatable. Screening tests for cervical and colorectal cancers can actually prevent these cancers from developing by detecting treatable precancerous conditions.

— National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Age-Adjusted Cancer Deaths

All Cancer Deaths

Between 2000 and 2002, there was an annual average age-adjusted cancer death rate of 193.5 deaths per 100,000 population in LaSalle Parish.

- More favorable than regional or statewide rates.
- Similar to the 196.4 reported nationwide.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target (159.9 or lower).
- Higher among Blacks/African Americans than among Whites in LaSalle Parish.
**TREND:** Cancer death rates in LaSalle Parish have shown the similar downward trend seen across Louisiana and the United States.

**Age-Adjusted Mortality: Cancer**
(By Region And Race; 2000-2002 Deaths Per 100,000 Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td></td>
<td>226.0</td>
<td>260.8</td>
<td>273.5</td>
<td>253.0</td>
<td>236.7</td>
<td>199.7</td>
<td>217.1</td>
<td>193.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td></td>
<td>231.4</td>
<td>228.9</td>
<td>233.1</td>
<td>228.4</td>
<td>233.7</td>
<td>230.7</td>
<td>232.1</td>
<td>230.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td></td>
<td>239.5</td>
<td>237.8</td>
<td>237.6</td>
<td>234.7</td>
<td>232.4</td>
<td>230.2</td>
<td>228.7</td>
<td>226.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td>211.7</td>
<td>209.4</td>
<td>206.7</td>
<td>203.6</td>
<td>201.6</td>
<td>200.4</td>
<td>198.8</td>
<td>196.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note: • Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10). • Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population. • Parish, state and national data are simple three-year averages, the RFSA three-year averages are weighted by population.
**Leading Cancer Diagnoses By Site**

**Cancer Diagnoses By Site**

Prostate cancer and lung cancer are the leading cancer diagnoses across LaSalle Parish.

- Other leading sites include colorectal cancer and breast cancer.

---

**Cancer Diagnoses By Leading Sites**

(LeSalle Parish; 1997-2001 Diagnoses As A Percentage Of All Cancer Diagnoses)

- **Prostate Cancer (Men Only)**
  - LaSalle Parish: 17.0%
  - RFSA: 14.3%
  - Louisiana: 15.3%

- **Lung Cancer**
  - LaSalle Parish: 16.5%
  - RFSA: 18.2%
  - Louisiana: 17.0%

- **Colorectal Cancer**
  - LaSalle Parish: 14.0%
  - RFSA: 13.7%
  - Louisiana: 12.3%

- **Breast Cancer (Men and Women)**
  - LaSalle Parish: 11.8%
  - RFSA: 13.2%
  - Louisiana: 14.4%

---

Source: • Louisiana Department of Health & Hospitals; 1997-2001 data.

Note: • Numbers represent percentage of diagnoses as a ratio of all cancer diagnoses.
• National data not available.
LUNG CANCER

Lung cancer is the most common cause of cancer death among both females and males in the United States. Cigarette smoking is the most important risk factor for lung cancer, accounting for 68 to 78 percent of lung cancer deaths among females and 88 to 91 percent of lung cancer deaths among males. Other risk factors include occupational exposures (radon, asbestos) and indoor and outdoor air pollution (radon, environmental tobacco smoke). One to two percent of lung cancer deaths are attributable to air pollution. After 10 years of abstinence, smoking cessation decreases the risk of lung cancer to 30 to 50 percent of that of continuing smokers.

PROSTATE CANCER

Prostate cancer is the most commonly diagnosed form of cancer (other than skin cancer) in males and the second leading cause of cancer death among males in the United States. Prostate cancer is most common in men aged 65 years and older, who account for approximately 80 percent of all cases of prostate cancer.

Digital rectal examination (DRE) and the prostate-specific antigen (PSA) test are two commonly used methods for detecting prostate cancer. Although several treatment alternatives are available for prostate cancer, their impact on reducing death from prostate cancer when compared with no treatment in patients with operable cancer is uncertain. Efforts aimed at reducing deaths through screening and early detection remain controversial because of the uncertain benefits and potential risks of screening, diagnosis, and treatment.

FEMALE BREAST CANCER

Breast cancer is the most common cancer among women in the United States. Death from breast cancer can be reduced substantially if the tumor is discovered at an early stage. Mammography is the most effective method for detecting these early malignancies. Clinical trials have demonstrated that mammography screening can reduce breast cancer deaths by 20 to 39 percent in women aged 50 to 74 years and about 17 percent in women aged 40 to 49 years. Breast cancer deaths can be reduced through increased adherence with recommendations for regular mammography screening.

Many breast cancer risk factors, such as age, family history of breast cancer, reproductive history, mammographic densities, previous breast disease, and race and ethnicity, are not subject to intervention. However, being overweight is a well-established breast cancer risk for postmenopausal women that can be addressed. Avoiding weight gain is one method by which older women may reduce their risk of developing breast cancer.

COLORECTAL CANCER

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the second leading cause of cancer-related deaths in the United States. When cancer-related deaths are estimated separately for males and females, however, CRC becomes the third leading cause of cancer death behind lung and breast cancers for females and behind lung and prostate cancers for males.

Risk factors for CRC may include age, personal and family history of polyps or colorectal cancer, inflammatory bowel disease, inherited syndromes, physical inactivity (colon only), obesity, alcohol use, and a diet high in fat and low in fruits and vegetables. Detecting and removing precancerous colorectal polyps and detecting and treating the disease in its earliest stages will reduce deaths from CRC. Fecal occult blood testing and sigmoidoscopy are widely used to screen for CRC, and barium enema and colonoscopy are used as diagnostic tests.

Lung Cancer Deaths

Between 2000 and 2002, there was an annual average age-adjusted lung cancer death rate of 64.5 deaths per 100,000 population in LaSalle Parish.

- Just below the 72.6 found across the RFSA and similar to the 67.7 recorded statewide.
- Less favorable than the 55.4 recorded across the United States.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target (44.8 or lower).

Prostate Cancer Deaths

Between 2000 and 2002, there was an annual average age-adjusted prostate cancer death rate of 22.0 deaths per 100,000 population in LaSalle Parish.

- The rate across the RFSA was 32.0; nationally, the prostate cancer death rate was 29.1.
- Statewide, prostate cancer claimed 34.7 lives per 100,000 population.
- Satisfies the Healthy People 2010 objective (28.8 or lower).
Female Breast Cancer Deaths
Between 2000 and 2002, there was an annual average age-adjusted female breast cancer death rate of 27.0 deaths per 100,000 female population in LaSalle Parish.

- Similar to the RFSA (27.3) and national (26.1) rates.
- Lower than the state rate (30.2).
- Fails to satisfy the related Healthy People 2010 objective of 22.3 or lower.

Age-Adjusted Mortality: Female Breast Cancer
(By Region; 2000-2002 Deaths Per 100,000 Female Population)

Colorectal Cancer Deaths
Between 2000 and 2002, there was an annual average age-adjusted colorectal cancer death rate of 20.8 deaths per 100,000 population in LaSalle Parish.

- The rate across the RFSA was 23.3, similar to the 23.5 reported across Louisiana.
- The U.S. rate was a similar 20.2 for the same time period.
- Fails to satisfy the related Healthy People 2010 objective of 13.9 or lower.

Age-Adjusted Mortality: Colorectal Cancer
(By Region; 2000-2002 Deaths Per 100,000 Population)
Prevalence Of Cancer

A total of 6.3% of surveyed adults report having been diagnosed with cancer.

- Similar to the 5.6% reported across the RFSA.
- Most common types of cancers reported include breast, prostate, skin, and cervix.

![Prevalence Of Cancer Graph](LaSalle Parish, 2005)

Source: 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 38]

Note: Asked of all respondents.

Reducing the nation’s cancer burden requires reducing the prevalence of behavioral and environmental factors that increase cancer risk.

- All cancers caused by cigarette smoking could be prevented. At least one-third of cancer deaths that occur in the United States are due to cigarette smoking.
- According to the American Cancer Society, about one-third of cancer deaths that occur in the United States each year are due to nutrition and physical activity factors, including obesity.

– National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

(Related Issues: see also “Nutrition & Overweight,” “Physical Activity & Fitness” and “Tobacco Use” in the Modifiable Health Risk section.)

Cancer Screenings

The American Cancer Society recommends that both men and women get a cancer-related checkup as part of a routine doctor’s checkup. It should include examination for cancers of the thyroid, testicles, ovaries, lymph nodes, oral cavity, and skin, as well as health counseling about tobacco, sun exposure, diet and nutrition, risk factors, sexual practices, and environmental and occupational exposures.

Screening levels in the parish were measured in the survey relative to four cancers: colorectal cancer (sigmoidoscopy and fecal occult blood testing); female breast cancer (mammography); cervical cancer (Pap smear testing); and prostate cancer (prostate-specific antigen testing and digital rectal examination).
Colorectal Cancer Screenings

Beginning at age 50, both men and women should follow one of these five testing schedules:
- Yearly fecal occult blood test (FOBT)*
- Flexible sigmoidoscopy every 5 years
- Yearly fecal occult blood test plus flexible sigmoidoscopy every 5 years**
- Double-contrast barium enema every 5 years
- Colonoscopy every 10 years

*For FOBT, the take-home multiple sample method should be used.
**The combination of FOBT and flexible sigmoidoscopy is preferred over either of these two tests alone.

All positive tests should be followed up with colonoscopy. People should begin colorectal cancer screening earlier and/or undergo screening more often if they have certain colorectal cancer risk factors.

– American Cancer Society
Note that other organizations (e.g., American Academy of Family Physicians, American College of Physicians, National Cancer Institute, US Preventive Services Task Force) may have slightly different screening guidelines.

Sigmoidoscopy/Colonoscopy

49.3% of adults aged 50 and older have had a sigmoidoscopy (or colonoscopy) at some point in their lives.

- Similar to the 52.9% reported throughout the RFSA.
- Much less favorable than the U.S. prevalence of 65.4%.
- Close to the Healthy People 2010 target (50% or higher).
- Includes 47.9% of LaSalle Parish men 50+ and 50.6% of LaSalle Parish women 50+.

** TREND: Unchanged from the 44.0% reported across the parish in 2002.


**Blood Stool Testing**

27.7% of surveyed adults aged 50 and older have had a blood stool test (a.k.a. fecal occult blood test) within the past two years.

- Less favorable than the 35.4% reported across the RFSA and the 36.7% found nationwide.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target (50% or higher).
- Includes 32.6% of men 50+ and 23.0% of women 50+.

**TREND:** Statistically unchanged from the 32.6% recorded in 2002.

---

**Have Had A Blood Stool Test In The Past Two Years**

(Among Persons Aged 50 And Older; By Region And Gender; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Men</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Women</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>36.7%</td>
<td>36.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Healthy People 2010 Objective is 50% or higher

---

**Source:**
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 161]
- 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

**Note:**
- Asked of all respondents aged 50 or over.
**Female Breast Cancer Screening**

Screenings for female breast cancer are recommended as outlined below:

- Baseline mammogram at age 40, then yearly thereafter, continuing for as long as a woman is in good health.
- Clinical breast exams (CBE) should be part of a periodic health exam, about every three years for women in their 20s and 30s and every year for women 40 and over.
- Women should report any breast change promptly to their healthcare providers. Breast self-exam (BSE) is an option for women starting in their 20s.
- Women at increased risk (e.g., family history, genetic tendency, past breast cancer) should talk with their doctors about the benefits and limitations of starting mammography screening earlier, having additional tests (e.g., breast ultrasound or MRI), or having more frequent exams.

— American Cancer Society

*Note that other organizations (e.g., American Academy of Family Physicians, American College of Physicians, National Cancer Institute, US Preventive Services Task Force) may have slightly different screening guidelines.*

**Mammography**

67.7% of women aged 40 and older have had a mammogram within the past two years.

- Less favorable than the 74.9% recorded across the RFSA.
- Similar to the national prevalence of 70.2%.
- Close to the Healthy People 2010 target (70% or higher).

**TREND:** This year’s proportion is not statistically different from 2002 data.

---

**Have Had A Mammogram In The Past Two Years**

(Among Women Aged 40 And Older; By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

![Graph showing mammogram rates by region from 2002 to 2005](image)

Source:  
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 156]  
- 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.  

*Note:* Reflects women aged 40 and over.
Cervical Cancer Screenings

Screenings for cervical cancer are recommended as outlined below:

- All women should begin cervical cancer screening about 3 years after they begin having vaginal intercourse, but no later than when they are 21 years old. Screening should be done every year with the regular Pap test or every 2 years using the newer liquid-based Pap test.

- Beginning at age 30, women who have had 3 normal Pap test results in a row may get screened every 2 to 3 years with either the conventional (regular) or liquid-based Pap test. Women who have certain risk factors such as diethylstilbestrol (DES) exposure before birth, HIV infection, or a weakened immune system due to organ transplant, chemotherapy, or chronic steroid use should continue to be screened annually.

- Another reasonable option for women over 30 is to get screened every 3 years (but not more frequently) with either the conventional or liquid-based Pap test, plus the HPV DNA test.

- Women 70 years of age or older who have had 3 or more normal Pap test results in a row and no abnormal Pap test results in the last 10 years may choose to stop having cervical cancer screening. Women with a history of cervical cancer, DES exposure before birth, HIV infection or a weakened immune system should continue to have screening as long as they are in good health.

- Women who have had a total hysterectomy (with removal of the uterus and cervix) may also choose to stop having cervical cancer screening, unless the surgery was done as a treatment for cervical cancer or precancer.

— American Cancer Society

Note that other organizations (e.g., American Academy of Family Physicians, American College of Physicians, National Cancer Institute, US Preventive Services Task Force) may have slightly different screening guidelines.

Pap Smear Testing

69.4% of women aged 18 and older have had a Pap smear within the past three years.

- Less favorable than that seen regionally (78.6%), statewide (85.2%) and nationally (79.2%).
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target (90% or higher).

TREND: Statistically unchanged from 2002.

Have Had A Pap Smear Within The Past Three Years
(Among Women Aged 18 And Older; By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

[Graph showing trend data for Pap smear testing across different regions and years, with 2005 data and trends indicated.]
Prostate Cancer Screenings

Both prostate-specific antigen (PSA) testing and digital rectal examination (DRE) should be offered annually, beginning at age 50, to men who have at least a 10-year life expectancy. Men at high risk should begin testing at age 45. Information should be provided to men regarding potential risks and benefits of early detection and treatment of prostate cancer. Men at even higher risk, due to multiple first-degree relatives affected at an early age, could begin testing at age 40. Depending on the results of this initial test, no further testing might be needed until age 45. Information should be provided to men regarding potential risks and benefits of early detection and treatment of prostate cancer.

- Men who choose to undergo testing should begin at age 50 years. However, men in high-risk groups, such as Black Americans and men who have a first-degree relative diagnosed with prostate cancer at a young age, should begin testing at 45 years. Note: a first-degree relative is defined as a father, brother, or son.
- Men who ask their doctor to make the decision on their behalf should be tested. Discouraging testing is not appropriate. Also not offering testing is not appropriate.
- Testing for prostate cancer in asymptomatic men can detect tumors at a more favorable stage (anatomic extent of disease). There has been a reduction in mortality from prostate cancer, but it has not been established that this is a direct result of screening.
- An abnormal Prostate-Specific Antigen (PSA) test result has been defined as a value of above 4.0 ng/ml. Some elevations in PSA may be due to benign conditions of the prostate.
- The Digital Rectal Examination (DRE) of the prostate should be performed by healthcare workers skilled in recognizing subtle prostate abnormalities, including those of symmetry and consistency, as well as the more classic findings of marked induration or nodules. DRE is less effective in detecting prostate carcinoma compared with PSA.

— American Cancer Society

Note that other organizations (e.g., American Academy of Family Physicians, American College of Physicians, National Cancer Institute, US Preventive Services Task Force) may have slightly different screening guidelines.

PSA Testing And/Or Digital Rectal Examination

69.1% of surveyed men aged 50 and older had a PSA (prostate-specific antigen) test and/or a digital rectal exam to check for prostate cancer within the past two years.

- Similar to the 75.1% recorded throughout the RFSA.
- Less favorable than the 85.1% reported among men 50+ across the nation.

**TREND:** This year’s finding is statistically unchanged from 2002.
Have Had A Prostate-Specific Antigen (PSA) Test And/Or A Digital Rectal Exam In Past Two Years
(Among Men Aged 50 And Older; By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

Source: • PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 158]
• 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.
Note: • Reflects male respondents aged 50 and older.
RESPIRATORY DISEASE

Asthma and COPD (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) are among the 10 leading chronic conditions causing restricted activity [in Americans]. After chronic sinusitis, asthma is the most common cause of chronic illness in children. Methods are available to treat these respiratory diseases and promote respiratory health.

- Asthma is a serious and growing health problem. An estimated 14.9 million persons in the United States have asthma. Asthma is responsible for about 500,000 hospitalizations, 5,000 deaths, and 134 million days of restricted activity a year. Yet most of the problems caused by asthma could be averted if persons with asthma and their healthcare providers managed the disease according to established guidelines.

- Inflammation of the airways is the common finding in all asthma patients. Recent studies indicate that this inflammation is virtually always causative in the asthmatic condition. This inflammation is produced by allergy, viral respiratory infections, and airborne irritants among others. Childhood asthma is a disorder with genetic predispositions and a strong allergic component. Approximately 75% to 80% of children with asthma have significant allergies.

- COPD includes chronic bronchitis and emphysema—both of which are characterized by irreversible airflow obstruction and often exist together. Similar to asthma, COPD may be accompanied by an airway hyperresponsiveness. Most patients with COPD have a history of cigarette smoking. COPD worsens over time with continued exposure to a causative agent—usually tobacco smoke or sometimes a substance in the workplace or environment. COPD occurs most often in older people.


[Note: Chronic lower respiratory disease (CLRD) was called chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) prior to 1999 with the issuance of the International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (ICD-10). Healthy People 2010 refers to COPD rather than CLRD.]

Age-Adjusted Respiratory Disease Deaths

Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease (CLRD) Deaths

Between 2000 and 2002, there was an annual average age-adjusted CLRD death rate of 61.9 deaths per 100,000 population in LaSalle Parish.

- Less favorable than the 51.4 rate reported regionally, the 41.9 rate reported statewide, and the 43.8 nationwide.

- Note that there were no chronic lower respiratory disease deaths among blacks/African Americans between 2000 and 2002.
**TREND:** Age-adjusted mortality due to CLRD trended upward in recent years.

- Note: Death rates before and after 1998 are not fully comparable due to changes in the death coding system beginning in 1999.
Pneumonia/Influenza Deaths

Between 2000 and 2002, there was an annual average age-adjusted pneumonia/influenza death rate of 22.2 deaths per 100,000 population in LaSalle Parish.

- Much lower than reported across the RFSA and Louisiana.
- Higher among Blacks/African Americans than among Whites.

**TREND:** Pneumonia/influenza death rates trended downward in recent years.

---

(For prevalence of vaccinations for pneumonia and influenza, see also “Immunization & Infectious Disease.”)
Prevalence Of Asthma

11.4% of LaSalle Parish adults report having been diagnosed with asthma.

- Statistically comparable to the 11.9% reported across the RFSA.
- Similar to the 10.4% reported nationwide.

**TREND:** Statistically unchanged from the 9.1% in 2002.

Asthma In Children

While the number of adults with asthma is greater than the number of children with asthma, the asthma rate is rising more rapidly in preschool-aged children than in any other group.


In all, 12.8% of surveyed parents report that their child (aged 0 to 17) has been diagnosed with asthma.

- Statistically similar to the 15.5% found throughout the RFSA and the 11.1% reported nationwide.

**TREND:** Has not changed significantly since 2002.
Prevalence Of Chronic Lung Disease

A total of 11.8% of survey respondents report suffering from chronic lung disease.

- Comparable throughout the RFSA (10.1%).
- Similar to the 8.6% reported nationwide.

**TREND:** Statistically unchanged from the 13.5% found in LaSalle Parish in 2002.
The risk of injury is so great that most persons sustain a significant injury at some time during their lives. Nevertheless, this widespread human damage too often is taken for granted, in the erroneous belief that injuries happen by chance and are the result of unpreventable “accidents.” In fact, many injuries are not “accidents,” or random, uncontrollable acts of fate; rather, most injuries are predictable and preventable.

For ages 1 through 44 years, [U.S.] deaths from injuries far surpass those from cancer—the overall leading natural cause of death at these ages—by about three to one. Injuries cause more than two out of five deaths (43 percent) of children aged 1 through 4 years and result in four times the number of deaths due to birth defects, the second leading cause of death for this age group. For ages 15 to 24 years, injury deaths exceed deaths from all other causes combined from ages 5 through 44 years. For ages 15 to 24 years, injuries are the cause of nearly four out of five deaths. After age 44 years, injuries account for fewer deaths than other health problems, such as heart disease, cancer, and stroke. However, despite the decrease in the proportion of deaths due to injury, the death rate from injuries is actually higher among older persons than among younger persons.


Unintentional Injury

Leading Causes Of Accidental Deaths

Motor vehicle crashes accounted for 50.0% of all accidental deaths in LaSalle Parish in 2002.

- Poisoning (including accidental poisonings, overdoses, and drug interactions), drowning, smoke/fire and falls are also leading causes of accidental death throughout LaSalle Parish.
- “Other” includes a variety of less common causes, such as medical/surgical complications, firearm-related accidental deaths, non-motor vehicle transportation accidents, etc.

Leading Causes Of Accidental Death
(By Region, 2002)

Source: • CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2005.
Age-Adjusted Unintentional Injury Deaths

Between 2000 and 2002, there was an annual average age-adjusted unintentional injury death rate of 49.4 deaths per 100,000 population in LaSalle Parish.

- Similar to the 48.6 found throughout the RFSA during the same time period.
- Less favorable than the 46.8 reported statewide and the 35.8 reported nationwide.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target (17.5 or lower).
- Similar among Whites and Blacks/African Americans.

**TREND:** Death rates due to unintentional injuries decreased in LaSalle Parish.

### Age-Adjusted Mortality: Unintentional Injuries

**Trend:** Death rates due to unintentional injuries decreased in LaSalle Parish.

### Age-Adjusted Mortality: Unintentional Injuries

**Trend:** Death rates due to unintentional injuries decreased in LaSalle Parish.

### Age-Adjusted Mortality: Unintentional Injuries

**Trend:** Death rates due to unintentional injuries decreased in LaSalle Parish.
**Age-Adjusted Motor-Vehicle Related Deaths**

Between 2000 and 2002, there was an annual average age-adjusted motor vehicle accident death rate of 29.1 deaths per 100,000 population in LaSalle Parish.

- Above RFSA (24.6) and state (22.0) rates.
- Significantly higher than the rate reported nationwide (15.5).
- Note that there were no motor-vehicle related deaths among blacks/African Americans between 2000 and 2002.

**Age-Adjusted Mortality: Motor Vehicle Accidents**

(By Region And Race; 2000-2002 Deaths Per 100,000 Population)

![Age-Adjusted Mortality: Motor Vehicle Accidents](chart)

**Motor Vehicle Accidents**

(LaSalle Parish; By Age; 1993-2002 Crude Death Rate)

![Motor Vehicle Accidents](chart)

**By age, motor vehicle accident death rates in LaSalle Parish (1993-2002) are highest in the 20 to 24 age group, and second-highest in the 15 to 19 age group.**
TREND: LaSalle Parish motor vehicle accident death rates decreased in recent years.
- State and national trends remained stable.

### Seat Belt Use

**Adults**

More than 6 in 10 LaSalle Parish adults (62.2%) report “always” wearing a seat belt when driving or riding in an automobile.

- Less favorable than the 77.1% reported throughout the RFSA and the 78.3% reported nationwide.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target (92% or higher).

TREND: Statistically unchanged from the 59.6% reported in LaSalle Parish in 2002.
The following chart illustrates differences among key demographic groups. Note:

- Female respondents are more likely to report seat belt use than are male respondents.
- There is a positive correlation of seat belt use with age: 56.6% of young adults (aged 18 to 39) “always” wear a seat belt, compared to 69.7% among those aged 65 and older.
**Children**

Eight out of 10 LaSalle Parish parents (79.0%) report that their child (aged 0 to 17) “always” wears an appropriate seat belt or child restraint (e.g., safety seat) when riding in an automobile.

- Less favorable than the overall RFSA prevalence (87.7%).
- Similar to U.S. findings (81.3%).
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 targets (100% for children under 5; 92% or higher for those aged 5 through 17).

**TREND:** Statistically unchanged in LaSalle Parish since 2002.

---

**Child "Always" Wears A Seat Belt Or Appropriate Restraint When Riding In An Automobile**

(Reflects Children Aged 0 To 17; By Age and Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

Source:  
- PRC National Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 129]  
- 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.  

Note:  
- Reflects respondents with children aged 0 to 17.  
- State data not available.
Intentional Injury (Violence)

Age-Adjusted Intentional Injury Deaths

Homicide

Between 2000 and 2002, there was an annual average age-adjusted homicide death rate of 7.1 deaths per 100,000 population in LaSalle Parish.

- Lower than the regional and statewide rate, but much higher than the national rate.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target (3.0 or lower).
- Higher among Blacks/African Americans than among Whites for each geographical region shown.

Age-Adjusted Mortality: Homicide
(By Region And Race; 2000-2002 Deaths Per 100,000 Population)

Source: • CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2005.

Note: • Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
• Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
• Parish, state and national data are simple three-year averages, the RFSA three-year averages are weighted by population.
**TREND:** Mortality due to homicide has trended upward in recent years.

### Age-Adjusted Mortality: Homicide

*By Region; 1993-2002*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>LaSalle Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1993-1995</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994-1996</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995-1997</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996-1998</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997-1999</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998-2000</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999-2001</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-2002</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source:
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. Health, United States.

Note:
- Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
- Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
- Data for 1999 and subsequent years are not fully comparable to data from 1998 and prior years, due to changes in coding of causes of deaths resulting from the switch from the ninth revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD9) to the tenth revision (ICD10).
- Parish, state and national data are simple three-year averages, the RFSA three-year averages are weighted by population.

### Suicide

**Between 2000 and 2002, there was an annual average age-adjusted suicide death rate of 10.4 deaths per 100,000 population in LaSalle Parish.**

- More favorable than the rates reported regionally and statewide, and similar to rates reported nationwide.
- Much higher (27.1) among Blacks/African Americans than among Whites (9.1).
Suicide rates in LaSalle Parish (1993-2002) are highest in the 65 to 74 age group.

### Suicide

(LaSalle Parish; By Age; 1993-2002 Crude Death Rate)

![Graph showing Suicide rates in LaSalle Parish by age group from 1993-2002.]

- **TREND**: Suicide death rates across the parish decreased sharply in the mid-1990s.

### Age-Adjusted Mortality: Suicide

(By Region; 1993-2002)

![Graph showing Age-Adjusted Mortality: Suicide by region from 1993-2002.]

*(See also “Mental Health.”)*
Violent Crime Rates

Violence claims the lives of many of the Nation’s young persons and threatens the health and well-being of many persons of all ages in the United States. On an average day in America, 53 persons die from homicide, and a minimum of 18,000 persons survive interpersonal assaults, 84 persons complete suicide, and as many as 3,000 persons attempt suicide.

Youth continue to be involved as both perpetrators and victims of violence. Elderly persons, females, and children continue to be targets of both physical and sexual assaults, which are frequently perpetrated by individuals they know.


The 2001-2003 LaSalle Parish annual average violent crime rate (including homicide, forcible rape, robbery and aggravated assault) was 157.5 per 100,000 population.

- Significantly more favorable than the other three demographic regions.

**TREND**: The violent crime rate in LaSalle Parish appears to have decreased in recent years, similar to the downward trend reported across the nation.

- It is important to note that, although uniform crime reporting is mandatory in Louisiana, not all agencies within each parish reported for all years.

### Violent Crime Rates

(Violent Crimes Per 100,000 Population; By Region, 1994-2003)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year-Range</th>
<th>LaSalle Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1994-1996</td>
<td>224.7</td>
<td>669.5</td>
<td>972.8</td>
<td>678.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995-1997</td>
<td>290.2</td>
<td>602.1</td>
<td>930.8</td>
<td>644.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996-1998</td>
<td>283.9</td>
<td>573.0</td>
<td>854.8</td>
<td>605.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997-1999</td>
<td>301.6</td>
<td>538.1</td>
<td>789.4</td>
<td>567.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998-2000</td>
<td>296.5</td>
<td>518.0</td>
<td>731.1</td>
<td>532.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999-2001</td>
<td>212.3</td>
<td>495.0</td>
<td>700.3</td>
<td>511.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-2002</td>
<td>154.5</td>
<td>515.6</td>
<td>676.8</td>
<td>501.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-2003</td>
<td>157.5</td>
<td>551.9</td>
<td>665.2</td>
<td>491.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Louisiana Commission on Law Enforcement.

Note: • Rates are per 100,000 population.
- Includes only agencies reporting. Although uniform crime reporting is mandatory in Louisiana, not all agencies within each parish reported for some or all years.
- 1997 and 1998 rates exclude Catahoula Parish for which reporting was not available at the time rates were calculated.
**Violent Crime Victimization**

Just 1.5% of LaSalle Parish adults report having been the victim of a violent crime in the past five years.

- Similar to the prevalence reported throughout the RFSA.
- Identical to the 1.5% prevalence found nationwide.
- Represents approximately 167 adults in LaSalle Parish.

**TREND:** Statistically unchanged since 2002.

**Domestic Violence**

A total of 1.7% of LaSalle Parish adults acknowledge being the victim of domestic violence in the past five years.

- Statistically similar among the other two geographical regions depicted.

**TREND:** Statistically similar to the reported domestic violence in 2002.
**DIABETES**

Diabetes affects nearly 16 million Americans and contributes to about 200,000 deaths a year. Diabetes can cause heart disease, stroke, blindness, kidney failure, leg and foot amputations, pregnancy complications, and deaths related to influenza and pneumonia. About 5.4 million Americans are unaware they have the disease.

- Among U.S. adults, diagnosed diabetes (including gestational diabetes) increased 49% from 1990 to 2000. The largest increase was among people aged 30–39. Type 2 affects 90%–95% of people with diabetes and is linked to obesity and physical inactivity.

- More than 18% of U.S. adults older than age 65 have diabetes.

- Diabetes affects more women than men — in particular, women are prone to gestational diabetes during (and potentially ongoing diabetes after) pregnancy.

The direct and indirect costs of diabetes in America are nearly $100 billion a year.

– National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

### Age-Adjusted Diabetes Deaths

Between 2000 and 2002, there was an annual average age-adjusted diabetes death rate of 29.7 deaths per 100,000 population in LaSalle Parish.

- Lower than the regional rate (34.0) and the Louisiana rate (41.8), but higher than the national rate (25.2).

- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 objective of 15.1 or lower for diabetes mellitus.

- Higher (57.0) among Blacks/African Americans than Whites (28.0) in LaSalle Parish.

### Age-Adjusted Mortality: Diabetes Mellitus

*(By Region And Race; 2000-2002 Deaths Per 100,000 Population)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black/African American</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Healthy People 2010 Objective is 15.1* or lower</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Healthy People 2010 Objective is 15.1* or lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Healthy People 2010 Objective is 15.1* or lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Healthy People 2010 Objective is 15.1* or lower</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2005.


Note: • Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).

- Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.

- Parish, state and national data are simple three-year averages, the RFSA three-year averages are weighted by population.

- *The Healthy People 2010 target for Diabetes is adjusted to account for only diabetes mellitus coded deaths.*
**TREND:** In LaSalle Parish, the diabetes death rate decreased sharply before rising in the late 1990's. Diabetes is on an upward trend across the entire RFSA; regional trends are also increasing more sharply than those seen nationally.

### Age-Adjusted Mortality: Diabetes Mellitus

*(By Region; 1993-2002)*

![Graph showing age-adjusted mortality rates for diabetes mellitus by region from 1993 to 2002.](image)

LaSalle Parish: 32.5, 24.0, 21.4, 12.7, 8.4, 13.4, 21.0, 29.7

RFSA: 27.3, 27.7, 29.3, 29.6, 29.3, 31.1, 30.8, 34.0

Louisiana: 39.0, 39.8, 41.4, 43.6, 43.5, 43.0, 41.7, 41.8

United States: 22.7, 23.4, 23.8, 24.1, 24.4, 24.7, 25.1, 25.2

Source: • Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. Health, United States.
• CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2005.
• *The Healthy People 2010 target for Diabetes is adjusted to account for only diabetes mellitus coded deaths.

### Prevalence Of Diabetes

A total of 9.6% of LaSalle Parish adults report having been diagnosed with diabetes.

- More favorable than the 12.7% reported regionally.
- Similar to the 8.2 reported in Louisiana and the 10.2% prevalence recorded across the U.S.

**TREND:** Statistically unchanged in LaSalle Parish over the past few years.

![Graph showing prevalence of diabetes by region from 2002 to 2005.](image)

LaSalle Parish 2005: 9.6%

RFSA 2005: 12.7%

Louisiana 2004: 8.2%

US 2005: 10.2%

LaSalle Parish 2002: 9.4%

LaSalle Parish 2005: 9.6%

Source: • PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 43]
• 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Note: • Asked of all respondents. Excludes gestational diabetes.
A higher prevalence of diabetes in LaSalle Parish is reported among:

- Adults aged 40 and older.
- Persons living at low and very low income levels.
- Note also that diabetes is highly correlated with weight status: in particular, obese adults report a prevalence of diabetes three times that found among persons of healthy weight.

**Prevalence Of Diabetes**

*(LaSalle Parish, 2005)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>18 to 39</th>
<th>40 to 64</th>
<th>65+</th>
<th>Very Low Income</th>
<th>Low Income</th>
<th>Middle/High Income</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black/Afr Am</th>
<th>Healthy Weight</th>
<th>Overwt/Not Obese</th>
<th>Obese</th>
<th>LaSalle Parish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 43]

Note: Asked of all respondents. Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: *very low income* = below poverty; *low income* = 100% to 200% of poverty; *middle/high income* = over 200% of poverty.
Diabetes Treatment

The majority (86.7%) of adults who have been diagnosed with diabetes are currently taking insulin or other medication for their diabetes.

- Similar to the 78.9% reported throughout the RFSA.
- Similar to the 78.1% reported nationwide.

### Currently Taking Insulin Or Other Medicine For Diabetes
(LaSalle Parish, 2005; Among Reported Diabetics)

![Pie chart showing the percentage of diabetics currently taking insulin or other medicine.]

- **Yes**: 86.7%
- **No**: 13.3%

vs. 78.1% Nationwide and 78.9% RFSA

Source: • 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 44]
• 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.
Note: • Asked of those respondents who have been diagnosed with diabetes.

Among reported diabetics, most (63.4%) report not having any problem controlling their blood sugar.

- Examples of some of the problems mentioned among diabetics include changing eating habits.

### Problems In Controlling Blood Sugar
(LaSalle Parish, 2005; Among Reported Diabetics)

![Pie chart showing the percentage of diabetics reporting various problems in controlling blood sugar.]

- **Nothing**: 63.4%
- **Eating Habits**: 24.8%
- **Don’t Know**: 5.8%
- **Controlling It**: 2.9%
- **Insulin Shots**: 3.1%

Source: • 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 45]
Note: • Asked of those respondents who have been diagnosed with diabetes.
KIDNEY DISEASE

Age-Adjusted Kidney Disease Deaths

Between 2000 and 2002, there was an annual average age-adjusted kidney disease death rate of 11.4 deaths per 100,000 population in LaSalle Parish.

- Lower than the RFSA rate (26.2), the statewide rate (21.6), and the national rate (13.9)
- Note also that there were no kidney disease deaths among Blacks/African Americans between 2000 and 2002 in LaSalle Parish.

**TREND:** Between the 1999-2001 and 2000-2002 reporting periods, LaSalle Parish kidney disease mortality remained fairly stable.

***Age-Adjusted Mortality: Kidney Disease (By Region And Race; 2000-2002 Deaths Per 100,000 Population)***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black/African American</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2005.

Note: • Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
• Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
• Parish, state and national data are simple three-year averages, the RFSA three-year averages are weighted by population.

---

***Age-Adjusted Mortality: Kidney Disease (By Region; 1993-2002)***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. Health, United States.
• CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2005.

Note: • Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
• Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
• Data for 1999 and subsequent years are not fully comparable to data from 1998 and prior years, due to changes in coding of causes of deaths resulting from the switch from the ninth revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD9) to the tenth revision (ICD10).
• Parish, state and national data are simple three-year averages, the RFSA three-year averages are weighted by population.
Prevalence Of Kidney Disease

A total of 2.8% of LaSalle Parish adults report having kidney disease.

- Similar to the RFSA proportion (3.7%).
- **TREND:** The currently reported prevalence is statistically similar to that reported in 2002.

### Prevalence Of Kidney Disease

(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 40]
Note: Asked of all respondents.
- State and national data not available.
The current and projected growth in the number of people aged 65 years and older in the United States has focused attention on preserving quality of life as well as length of life. Chief among the factors involving preserving quality of life are the prevention and treatment of musculoskeletal conditions—the major causes of disability in the United States. Among musculoskeletal conditions, arthritis and other rheumatic conditions, osteoporosis, and chronic back conditions have the greatest impact on public health and quality of life.


A total of 33.4% of LaSalle Parish adults (aged 18 and over) report suffering from arthritis or rheumatism.

- Less favorable than the 22.7% reported across the United States.
- Note: 67.8% of parish adults aged 65 and older have arthritis or rheumatism.

**TREND:** Statistically unchanged from the 31.9% reported in 2002.

![Prevalence Of Arthritis/Rheumatism](image)

**Prevalence Of Arthritis/Rheumatism**

(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

Source: • PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 35]  
• 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Note: • Asked of all respondents.  
• State data not available.
An estimated 54 million persons in the United States, or nearly 20 percent of the population, currently live with disabilities. The increase in disability among all age groups indicates a growing need for public health programs serving people with disabilities.

The direct medical and indirect annual costs associated with disability [in the U.S.] are more than $300 billion, or 4 percent of the gross domestic product. This total cost includes $160 billion in medical care expenditures (1994 dollars) and lost productivity costs approaching $155 billion.

The health promotion and disease prevention needs of people with disabilities are not nullified because they are born with an impairing condition or have experienced a disease or injury that has long-term consequences. People with disabilities have increased health concerns and susceptibility to secondary conditions. Having a long-term condition increases the need for health promotion that can be medical, physical, social, emotional, or societal.


21.7% of LaSalle Parish adults report that they are limited in some way in some activities due to a physical, mental or emotional problem.

- Similar to the 19.8% reported nationwide.
- Represents nearly 2410 adults in LaSalle Parish.

**TREND:** Statistically unchanged since the 21.8% reported in LaSalle Parish in 2002.

**Limited In Activities In Some Way Due To A Physical, Mental Or Emotional Problem**

(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

Source:
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 106]
- 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Note:
- Asked of all respondents.
- State data not available.
In looking at responses by key demographic characteristics, note the following:

- There is a strong correlation with age, with 36.2% of older adults (65+) limited in activities.
- There is a strong negative correlation with income, with 31.8% of very low income and 30.0% of low-income respondents reporting activity limitations.

**Limited In Activities In Some Way**
**Due To A Physical, Mental Or Emotional Problem**
(LaSalle Parish, 2005)

Among persons reporting activity limitations, these are most often attributed to back/neck problems or fractures/joint injuries.

**Type Of Problem That Limits Activities**
(Among Those Reporting Activity Limitations; LaSalle Parish, 2005)
Among the five senses, people depend on vision and hearing to provide the primary cues for conducting the basic activities of daily life. At the most basic level, vision and hearing permit people to navigate and to stay oriented within their environment. These senses provide the portals for language, whether spoken, signed, or read. They are critical to most work and recreation and allow people to interact more fully. For these reasons, vision and hearing are defining elements of the quality of life. Either, or both, of these senses may be diminished or lost because of heredity, aging, injury, or disease. Such loss may occur gradually, over the course of a lifetime, or traumatically in an instant.

Conditions of vision or hearing loss that are linked with chronic and disabling diseases pose additional challenges for patients and their families. From the public health perspective, the prevention of either the initial impairment or additional impairment from these environmentally orienting and socially connecting senses requires significant resources. Prevention of vision or hearing loss or their resulting disabling conditions through the development of improved disease prevention, detection, or treatment methods or more effective rehabilitative strategies must remain a priority.


Prevalence Of Vision Problems

A total of 9.5% of LaSalle Parish adults are blind, or have trouble seeing even when wearing corrective lenses.

- Significantly lower than the 12.6% prevalence reported regionally.

**TREND**: The 2005 proportion is comparable to the 10.8% reported in LaSalle Parish in 2002.

Source: • PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 33]
• 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Note: • Asked of all respondents.
• State data not available.
Prevalence Of Hearing Problems

A total of 15.0% of parish adults report being deaf or having difficulty hearing.

- Less favorable than the 9.5% reported nationwide.
- Note: 32.0% of LaSalle Parish adults aged 65 and older have partial or complete hearing loss.

**TREND:** Unchanged from the 12.5% reported in LaSalle Parish in 2002.

### Prevalence Of Hearing Problems
(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 34]
• 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Note: • Asked of all respondents.
• State data not available.
A landmark 1993 study estimated that as many as one-half of all premature deaths in the United States were attributed to social and behavioral factors, and in theory, were preventable.

The most prominent contributors to mortality in the United States in 1990 were tobacco (an estimated 400,000 deaths), poor diet and inactivity (300,000), alcohol (100,000), microbial agents (90,000), toxic agents (60,000), firearms (35,000), sexual behavior (30,000), motor vehicles (25,000), and illicit use of drugs (20,000). Socioeconomic status and access to medical care are also important contributors, but difficult to quantify independent of the other factors cited. Because the studies reviewed used different approaches to derive estimates, the stated numbers should be viewed as first approximations...

Approximately half of all deaths that occurred among U.S. residents in 1990 could be attributed to the [social and behavioral risk] factors identified...

There can be no illusions about the difficulty of the challenges in changing the impact these factors have on health status. Of those identified here, the three leading causes of death — tobacco, diet and activity patterns, and alcohol — are rooted in behavioral choices. Behavioral change is motivated not by knowledge alone, but also by a supportive social environment and the availability of facilitative services... The central public health focus for each of these factors must be the possibility for improvement. Change can occur... If the nation is to achieve its full potential for better health, public policy must focus directly and actively on those factors that represent the root determinants of death and disability.

Further, the following table outlines the relationship that exists among these behavioral factors and leading causes of death, such as cancer and heart disease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEADING CAUSES OF DEATH¹</th>
<th>HEART DISEASE</th>
<th>CANCER</th>
<th>UNINTENTIONAL INJURIES</th>
<th>SUICIDE</th>
<th>LIVER DISEASE</th>
<th>STROKE</th>
<th>DIABETES</th>
<th>COPD</th>
<th>HOMICIDE</th>
<th>HIV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco Use Prevention</td>
<td>Tobacco Use Prevention of various cancers</td>
<td>Tobacco Use Prevention</td>
<td>Tobacco Use Control</td>
<td>Tobacco Use Prevention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet ² Prevention</td>
<td>Diet ² Prevention of various cancers</td>
<td>Diet ² Prevention</td>
<td>Diet ² Prevention, Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Activity ² Prevention, Control</td>
<td>Physical Activity ² Prevention of colon cancer</td>
<td>Physical Activity ² Control of depression</td>
<td>Physical Activity ² Prevention, Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol Use Can be beneficial at low doses</td>
<td>Alcohol Use Prevention of various cancers</td>
<td>Alcohol Use Prevention</td>
<td>Alcohol Use Prevention</td>
<td>Alcohol Use Prevention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firearms Prevention</td>
<td>Firearms Prevention</td>
<td>Firearms Prevention</td>
<td>Firearms Prevention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventive Medical Care Screening for risk factors such as blood pressure² and cholesterol</td>
<td>Preventive Medical Care Screening for early detection</td>
<td>Preventive Medical Care Anticipatory guidance</td>
<td>Preventive Medical Care Control of mental disorders</td>
<td>Preventive Medical Care Screening for alcohol abuse</td>
<td>Preventive Medical Care Screening for BP; Control</td>
<td>Preventive Medical Care Control</td>
<td>Preventive Medical Care Screening for STDs; Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: ¹ Leading causes of death are those which are listed on the death certificate. ² High blood pressure and obesity can be thought of as "intermediary" causes. Both are determined in part by genetics and in part by behavior. Diet and physical activity are important determinants of obesity. Sources: Amler RW, Dull HB [eds]. Closing the gap: The burden of unnecessary illness. New York: Oxford, 1987; Am J Prev Med 1987;3(5 suppl).
NUTRITION & OVERWEIGHT

Nutrition

For the nutrition question series, survey respondents were asked about the foods that they ate on the day prior to the interview.

Consumption Of Fruits & Vegetables

Daily Recommendation

One-third (32.9%) of LaSalle Parish adults reports eating five or more servings of fruits and/or vegetables per day.

- Similar to the 32.4% reported across the RFSA.
- Similar to the 36.2% reported nationwide.

**TREND:** This year’s proportion marks a statistically significant increase from the 25.0% in 2002.

The following chart further examines fruit/vegetable consumption by various demographic characteristics. As shown, respondents less likely to eat five or more fruits/vegetables per day include adults under 65.

Source: • PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 139]
- 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Note: • Asked of all respondents.
- For this issue, respondents were asked to recall the foods they had eaten on the day prior to the interview.
Fruits

Fewer than one-half of LaSalle Parish residents (40.0%) report eating at least two servings of fruit or fruit juice per day.

- Similar to the 45.7% found for the RFSA overall.
- Similar to the 46.5% found nationally.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target (75% or higher).

**TREND:** Marks a statistically significant increase since 2002.

Consume Two Or More Servings Of Fruit Or Fruit Juices Per Day

(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

Source: • PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 90]

Note: • Asked of all respondents.
• For this issue, respondents were asked to recall the foods they had eaten on the day prior to the interview.
• State data not available.
Vegetables

One-half (49.7%) of LaSalle Parish adults do not eat any dark green or orange vegetables on a daily basis.

- Another 26.1% report eating one serving of dark green or orange vegetables daily.
- Survey respondents were more likely to report eating “other vegetables,” including potatoes, corn, onions, etc.

Daily Servings Of Vegetables

(LaSalle Parish, 2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vegetables</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>One</th>
<th>Two</th>
<th>Three to Five</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dark Green or Orange</td>
<td>49.7%</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean = 0.8 Servings/Day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vegetables</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>One</th>
<th>Two</th>
<th>Six+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Other”</td>
<td>28.8%</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean = 1.4 Servings/Day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 91-92]
Note: Asked of all respondents. For this issue, respondents were asked to recall the foods they had eaten on the day prior to the interview.

Children’s Consumption Of Fast Food

Among LaSalle Parish parents of children between the ages of 5 and 17, 38.2% report that their child eats three or more fast food meals per week.

- Comparable to the 34.7% reported throughout the RFSA.
- TREND: Comparable to the 42.0% reported in LaSalle Parish in 2002.

Child Eats Three or More Fast Food Meals Per Week

(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

Source: PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 130]
Note: Asked of all respondents with children between the ages of 5 and 17 at home. State and national data not available.
Body Weight

Body Mass Index (BMI), which describes relative weight for height, is significantly correlated with total body fat content. The BMI should be used to assess overweight and obesity and to monitor changes in body weight. In addition, measurements of body weight alone can be used to determine efficacy of weight loss therapy. BMI is calculated as weight (kg)/height squared (m²). To estimate BMI using pounds and inches, use: [weight (pounds)/height squared (inches²)] x 703.

In this report, overweight is defined as a BMI of 25.0 to 29.9 kg/m² and obesity as a BMI of ≥ 30 kg/m². The rationale behind these definitions is based on epidemiological data that show increases in mortality with BMIs above 25 kg/m². The increase in mortality, however, tends to be modest until a BMI of 30 kg/m² is reached. For persons with a BMI of ≥ 30 kg/m², mortality rates from all causes, and especially from cardiovascular disease, are generally increased by 50 to 100 percent above that of persons with BMIs in the range of 20 to 25 kg/m².

Overweight and obesity result from a complex interaction between genes and the environment characterized by long-term energy imbalance due to a sedentary lifestyle, excessive caloric consumption, or both. They develop in a socio-cultural environment characterized by mechanization, sedentary lifestyle, and ready access to abundant food. Attempts to prevent overweight and obesity are difficult to both study and achieve.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLASSIFICATION OF OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY BY BMI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI (kg/m²)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underweight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extreme Obesity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Healthy Weight

Based on self-reported heights and weights, one-third (31.0%) of LaSalle Parish adults are at a healthy weight (neither underweight nor overweight, BMI = 18.5-24.9).

- Less favorable than the 37.3% reported statewide.
- Similar to the 32.1% reported nationwide.
- Far from reaching the Healthy People 2010 target (60% or higher).

**TREND**: Statistically unchanged from the 34.3% reported in 2002.
Overweight Status

**Adults**

A total of 68.6% of LaSalle Parish adults are overweight (BMI ≥ 25), including 33.1% who are obese (BMI ≥ 30).

- Comparable to the proportion of overweight reported throughout the RFSA (67.5%) and across the U.S. (66.1%).
- Higher than the proportion of overweight reported across Louisiana (62.5%).
- Less favorable than the proportion of obese reported in Louisiana and the United States.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target for obesity (15% or lower).

**TREND:** Parish proportions of overweight/obesity are statistically unchanged from 2002.

### Prevalence Of Overweight

*By Region*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy People 2010 Objective is 60% or higher</td>
<td>Healthy People 2010 Objective for Obesity is 15% or lower</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>68.6%</td>
<td>67.5%</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td>66.1%</td>
<td>64.4%</td>
<td>68.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA 2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>64.4%</td>
<td>68.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana 2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28.9%</td>
<td>33.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35.5%</td>
<td>35.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish 2002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish 2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**
- Based on self-reported height and weight, asked of all respondents.
- The definition of overweight is having a body mass index (BMI), a ratio of weight to height (kilograms divided by meters squared), between 18.5 and 24.9.
- The definition for obesity is a BMI greater than or equal to 25.0, regardless of gender.
The following chart further examines parish obesity by various demographic characteristics. As shown, adults living at low or very low income levels are more likely to be obese.

**Prevalence Of Obesity**
(St. Johns Parish, 2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0.0%</th>
<th>20.0%</th>
<th>40.0%</th>
<th>60.0%</th>
<th>80.0%</th>
<th>100.0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>28.7%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
<td>31.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>39.8%</td>
<td>40.6%</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
<td>38.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 39</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 to 64</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>39.1%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
<td>21.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Low Income</td>
<td>Low Income</td>
<td>Middle/High Income</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Black/Afr Am</td>
<td>Healthy Weight</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 135]
Note: Based on self-reported height and weight, asked of all respondents. The definition of obesity is having a body mass index (BMI), a ratio of weight to height (kilograms divided by meters squared), greater than or equal to 30.0. Income categories reflect household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size:

- "very low income" = below poverty
- "low income" = 100% to 200% of poverty
- "middle/high income" = over 200% of poverty.

**Health Professional Advice About Weight**
A total of 17.9% of LaSalle Parish adults report that their physician, nurse or other health professional has given them advice in the past year about their weight.

- This proportion increases to 25.8% among obese LaSalle Parish adults.
- Particularly high (39.1%) among Blacks/African Americans.

**Have Received Advice About Weight In The Past Year From A Physician, Nurse Or Other Health Professional**
(St. Johns Parish, 2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0.0%</th>
<th>20.0%</th>
<th>40.0%</th>
<th>60.0%</th>
<th>80.0%</th>
<th>100.0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 39</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 to 64</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>39.1%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
<td>21.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Low Income</td>
<td>Low Income</td>
<td>Middle/High Income</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Black/ Afr Am</td>
<td>Healthy Weight</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 101]
Note: Asked of all respondents. State and national data not available. Income categories reflect household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size:

- "very low income" = below poverty
- "low income" = 100% to 200% of poverty
- "middle/high income" = over 200% of poverty.
Weight Control

Many diseases are associated with overweight and obesity. Persons who are overweight or obese are at increased risk for high blood pressure, type 2 diabetes, coronary heart disease, stroke, gallbladder disease, osteoarthritis, sleep apnea, respiratory problems, and some types of cancer. The health outcomes related to these diseases, however, often can be improved through weight loss or, at a minimum, no further weight gain. Total costs (medical costs and lost productivity) attributable to obesity alone amounted to an estimated $99 billion in 1995.


27.0% of LaSalle Parish adults who are overweight say that they are both modifying their diet and increasing their physical activity in order to lose weight.

- Much less favorable than the 39.4% reported nationally.
- Among obese LaSalle Parish adults: 32.4% are trying to lose weight through a combination of diet and exercise, similar to the RFSA finding, but less favorable than nationwide.

Trying To Lose Weight By Both Modifying Diet And Increasing Physical Activity
(Among Respondents Who Are Overweight; By Weight Status; By Region, 2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Overweight or Obese Adults</th>
<th>Obese Adults</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish 2005</td>
<td>27.0%</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA 2005</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
<td>35.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States 2005</td>
<td>39.4%</td>
<td>47.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 136]
• 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.
Note: • Reflects responses among overweight respondents (categories are not mutually exclusive).
Relationship Of Overweight With Other Health Issues

The correlation between overweight and various health issues cannot be disputed.

Among LaSalle Parish community members, overweight and obese adults are more likely to report a number of adverse health conditions.

These include:

- Hypertension (high blood pressure).
- High cholesterol.
- Chronic depression.
- “Fair” or “poor” physical health.
- Diabetes.
- Chronic heart disease.
- Asthma.

Overweight/obese parents also appear to be more likely to have children who are overweight or at-risk for overweight.

Relationship Of Overweight With Other Health Issues

(Lasalle Parish, 2005)

Source: 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Items 16,36,41,43,46,50,103,138,166]

Note: Reflects responses among the total sample of respondents, segmented by their bodyweight category (categories are mutually exclusive).
**Child Overweight**

In children and teens, body mass index is used to assess underweight, overweight, and risk for overweight. Children’s body fatness changes over the years as they grow. Also, girls and boys differ in their body fatness as they mature. This is why BMI for children (also referred to as BMI-for-age) is gender and age specific. BMI-for-age is plotted on gender specific growth charts. These charts are used for children and teens 2 – 20 years of age. Healthcare professionals use the following established percentile cutoff points to identify underweight and overweight in children.

- **Underweight**..............................<5th percentile
- **At Risk of Overweight**.............85th to 95th percentile
- **Overweight**..............................≥ 95th percentile

— National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

A total of **23.3%** of LaSalle Parish children aged 6 to 17 are overweight, based on heights/weights reported by surveyed parents.

- Similar to the 30.6% prevalence reported across the RFSA and the national prevalence for child overweight (14.1%).

**TREND:** Similar to the 25.5% reported in LaSalle Parish in 2002.

![Child Overweight Chart](chart.png)

**Source:**
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 166]
- 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

**Note:**
- As of all respondents with children aged 6 to 17 at home.
- Overweight among children is estimated based on children’s Body Mass Index status above the 95th percentile of U.S. growth charts by gender and age.
- State data not available.
PHYSICAL ACTIVITY & FITNESS

The 1990s brought a historic new perspective to exercise, fitness, and physical activity by shifting the focus from intensive vigorous exercise to a broader range of health-enhancing physical activities. Research has demonstrated that virtually all individuals will benefit from regular physical activity. A Surgeon General’s report on physical activity and health concluded that moderate physical activity can reduce substantially the risk of developing or dying from heart disease, diabetes, colon cancer, and high blood pressure. Physical activity also may protect against lower back pain and some forms of cancer (for example, breast cancer), but the evidence is not yet conclusive.

On average, physically active people outlive those who are inactive. Regular physical activity also helps to maintain the functional independence of older adults and enhances the quality of life for people of all ages.

The role of physical activity in preventing coronary heart disease (CHD) is of particular importance, given that CHD is the leading cause of death and disability in the United States. Physically inactive people are almost twice as likely to develop CHD as persons who engage in regular physical activity. The risk posed by physical inactivity is almost as high as several well-known CHD risk factors, such as cigarette smoking, high blood pressure, and high blood cholesterol. Physical inactivity, though, is more prevalent than any one of these other risk factors. People with other risk factors for CHD, such as obesity and high blood pressure, may particularly benefit from physical activity.


Work-Related & Leisure-Time Physical Activity

Level Of Activity At Work

A majority of employed LaSalle Parish respondents report low levels of physical activity at work.

- 46.4% of employed respondents report that their job entails mostly sitting or standing.
- Others report that they mostly walk (30.3%) or perform physically demanding work (23.3%).

Primary Level Of Physical Activity at Work

(Among Employed Respondents; By Region, 2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sitting/standing</th>
<th>Walking</th>
<th>Physically Demanding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46.4%</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.5%</td>
<td>25.9%</td>
<td>21.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.5%</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.9%</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 93]
• 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Note: • Asked of all employed respondents.
Leisure-Time Physical Activity

To address physical activity during leisure time (outside of regular work duties), respondents were asked: “During the past month, other than your regular job, did you participate in any physical activities or exercises, such as running, calisthenics, golf, gardening, or walking for exercise?”

More than one-third (35.5%) of LaSalle Parish adults report no leisure-time physical activity in the past month.

- Similar to the 34.1% found throughout the RFSA.
- Less favorable than the 29.7% reported in Louisiana and the 25.5% reported across the nation.

**TREND**: Statistically higher than the 28.8% reported in 2002.

No Leisure-Time Physical Activity In The Past Month
(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

A lack of leisure-time physical activity is more prevalent among respondents with the following demographic characteristics:

- Middle-aged adults.
- Those living at very low income levels.
Effects Of Physical Inactivity And Unhealthy Diets

- Poor diet and physical inactivity lead to 300,000 deaths each year—second only to tobacco use.
- People who are overweight or obese increase their risk for heart disease, diabetes, high blood pressure, arthritis-related disabilities, and some cancers.
- Not getting an adequate amount of exercise is associated with needing more medication, visiting a physician more often, and being hospitalized more often.

Costs

- The direct medical cost associated with physical inactivity was $29 billion in 1987 and nearly $76.6 billion in 2000.
- The annual cost of obesity in the United States is about $100 billion.

Moderate Physical Activity

In the past month, more than one-fourth (28.4%) of LaSalle Parish adults regularly participated in moderate physical activity.

- More favorable than the 23.5% recorded throughout the RSFA.
- Similar to the U.S. prevalence (31.8%).
- Close to the Healthy People 2010 target (30% or higher).

**TREND:** Statistically higher than the 22.3% reported in 2002.
Adults less likely to regularly participate in moderate physical activity include the following:

- Women.
- Those living at low or very low income levels.
Vigorous Physical Activity

In the past month, 29.7% of LaSalle Parish adults regularly participated in vigorous physical activity (causing heavy sweating or large increases in breathing or heart rate).

- Similar to the other three demographic regions.
- Close to satisfying the Healthy People 2010 target (30% or higher).

**TREND:** Statistically unchanged from the 27.4% reported in 2002.

Note the following demographic breakout for regular participation in vigorous physical activity.

**Vigorous Physical Activity**

(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA 2005</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 2005</td>
<td>33.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 137]
• 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Note: • Asked of all respondents.
• Takes part in “vigorous physical activity” (activities that cause heavy sweating or large increases in breathing or heart rate) at least 3 times a week for 20 minutes at a time.
• The Healthy People 2010 goal is to increase to at least 30% the proportion of people who engage regularly, preferably 3 times or more weekly, in vigorous physical activity for at least 20 minutes per exercise session.
• State data not available.

Note the following demographic breakout for regular participation in vigorous physical activity.

**Vigorous Physical Activity**

(LaSalle Parish, 2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>18 to 39</th>
<th>40 to 64</th>
<th>65+</th>
<th>Very Low Income</th>
<th>Low Income</th>
<th>Middle/High Income</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black/Afr Am</th>
<th>LaSalle Parish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>33.6%</td>
<td>25.9%</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
<td>24.9%</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
<td>32.9%</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 137]

Note: • Asked of all respondents.
• Takes part in “vigorous physical activity” (activities that cause heavy sweating or large increases in breathing or heart rate) at least 3 times a week for 20 minutes at a time.
• The Healthy People 2010 goal is to increase to at least 30% the proportion of people who engage regularly, preferably 3 times or more weekly, in vigorous physical activity for at least 20 minutes per exercise session.
• Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size:
  - “very low income” = below poverty;
  - “low income” = 100% to 200% of poverty;
  - “middle/high income” = over 200% of poverty.
Strengthening Activity

In the past month, 21.5% of LaSalle Parish adults regularly participated in strengthening activities at least twice weekly (activities designed to strengthen muscles, such as lifting weights or doing calisthenics).

- Comparable to the 25.3% reported throughout the RFSA.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target (30% or higher).

**TREND:** Marks a statistically significant decrease in strengthening activity since 2002.

- The only LaSalle Parish adults who currently meet the related Healthy People 2010 objective are Blacks/African Americans (young adults are close to satisfying the objective of 30%).

---

**Strengthening Activity**

(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

- Healthy People 2010 Objective is 30% or higher

---

**Strengthening Activity**

(LaSalle Parish, 2005)

- Healthy People 2010 Objective is 30% or higher

---

Source: • PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 97]

Note: • Asked of all respondents.
• Takes part in "strengthening activity" (activities that are specifically designed to strengthen muscles, such as lifting weights or doing calisthenics) at least twice weekly.
• The Healthy People 2010 goal is to increase to at least 30% the proportion of people who perform physical activities which enhance and maintain muscular strength and endurance.
• State and national data not available.
Physical Activity Among Children

Participation In Physical Activity
LaSalle Parish children aged 5 through 17 average 4.6 days per week on which they participate in physical activity lasting 20 minutes or more.

**TREND:** Relatively unchanged from 2002.

![Average Days Per Week In Which Child Participates In Physical Activity Lasting 20+ Minutes](chart)

Television Viewing
More than one-third of LaSalle Parish parents indicate that their child watches three or more hours of television on a typical school day.

- This includes 19.2% who indicate their child watches **three hours**, 7.3% who report that their child watches **four hours** of television, and 9.5% whose child watches television for **five or more hours** on a typical school day.

- Statistically comparable to the 35.4% reported among children across the RFSA.

![Hours Child Watches Television On A Typical School Day](chart)
**TREND:** Statistically similar to 2002 findings.

### Child Watches Three Or More Hours Of Television On A Typical School Day

(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2002 (%)</th>
<th>2005 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>35.9%</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 132]

Note: • Asked of all respondents with children aged 5 to 17 at home.
• State and national data not available.
SUBSTANCE ABUSE

Substance abuse and its related problems are among society's most pervasive health and social concerns. Each year, about 100,000 deaths in the United States are related to alcohol consumption. Illicit drug abuse and related acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) deaths account for at least another 12,000 deaths. In 1995, the economic cost of alcohol and drug abuse was $276 billion. This represents more than $1,000 for every man, woman, and child in the United States to cover the costs of healthcare, motor vehicle crashes, crime, lost productivity, and other adverse outcomes of alcohol and drug abuse.

A substantial proportion of the population drinks alcohol... Alcohol use and alcohol-related problems also are common among adolescents. Excessive drinking has consequences for virtually every part of the body. The wide range of alcohol-induced disorders is due (among other factors) to differences in the amount, duration, and patterns of alcohol consumption, as well as differences in genetic vulnerability to particular alcohol-related consequences... Alcohol use has been linked with a substantial proportion of injuries and deaths from motor vehicle crashes, falls, fires, and drownings. It also is a factor in homicide, suicide, marital violence, and child abuse and has been associated with high-risk sexual behavior...

Illegal use of drugs, such as heroin, marijuana, cocaine, and methamphetamine, is associated with other serious consequences, including injury, illness, disability, and death, as well as crime, domestic violence, and lost workplace productivity. Drug users and persons with whom they have sexual contact run high risks of contracting gonorrhea, syphilis, hepatitis, tuberculosis, and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). The relationship between injection drug use and HIV/AIDS transmission is well known. Injection drug use also is associated with hepatitis B and C infections... Long-term consequences, such as chronic depression, sexual dysfunction, and psychosis, may result from drug use.

Although there has been a long-term drop in overall use, many people in the United States still use illicit drugs... Drug use among adolescents aged 12 to 17 years doubled between 1992 and 1997... Drug and alcohol use by youth also is associated with other forms of unhealthy and unproductive behavior, including delinquency and high-risk sexual activity.

The stigma attached to substance abuse increases the severity of the problem. The hiding of substance abuse, for example, can prevent persons from seeking and continuing treatment and from having a productive attitude toward treatment. Compounding the problem is the gap between the number of available treatment slots and the number of persons seeking treatment for illicit drug use or problem alcohol use.


Age-Adjusted Cirrhosis Deaths

Between 2000 and 2002, there was an annual average age-adjusted cirrhosis/liver disease death rate of 15.1 deaths per 100,000 population in LaSalle Parish.

- Higher than the 9.4 per 100,000 RFSA rate, the 8.2 per 100,000 statewide rate, and the 9.5 per 100,000 national rate.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 objective of 3.0 or lower.
- Note also that there were no cirrhosis/liver disease deaths among Blacks/African Americans between 2000 and 2002.
**TREND:** Age-adjusted cirrhosis/liver disease mortality rates in LaSalle Parish increased in recent years. Rates remained fairly stable across the U.S. during this timeframe.
**Alcohol Use**

**Current Drinkers**

Current drinkers include survey respondents reporting one or more drinks of alcohol in the month preceding the interview. For the purposes of this study, a “drink” is defined as one can or bottle of beer, one glass of wine, one can or bottle of wine cooler, one cocktail or one shot of liquor.

**In LaSalle Parish, 25.3% of adults are current drinkers.**

- More favorable than regional findings.
- More favorable than the 58.0% reported across the United States.

**High-Risk Alcohol Use**

**Chronic Drinking**

Chronic drinkers include respondents reporting 60 or more drinks of alcohol in the month preceding the interview (an average of two or more per day).

**5.6% of LaSalle Parish adults report an average of two or more drinks of alcohol per day in the past month.**

- Similar to the percentage recorded throughout the RFSA (5.1%).
- Similar to the 5.3% reported nationwide.
- **TREND:** Statistically higher than the 2.0% reported in 2002.

**Chronic Drinkers**

(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

Source: • PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 144]
• 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Note: • Reflects the total sample of respondents.
• Chronic drinkers are defined as those who have had at least 60 drinks of alcoholic beverages during the past month.
• State data not available.
Chronic drinking is more prevalent in LaSalle Parish among:

- Men (especially men aged 18 through 39).
- Adults under the age of 65.
- White respondents.

**Chronic Drinkers**

(LaSalle Parish, 2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Very Low Income</th>
<th>Low Income</th>
<th>Middle/High Income</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black/ Afr Am</th>
<th>LaSalle Parish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 39</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 to 64</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 144]

Note: Reflects the total sample of respondents.

**Binge Drinking**

Binge drinkers are respondents who report that there was one or more times in the past month when they drank five or more drinks on a single occasion.

**8.8% of LaSalle Parish adults are binge drinkers.**

- More favorable than the other four demographic regions.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target (6% or lower).

**TREND:** Statistically unchanged from the 11.9% reported in 2002.
Note that binge drinking is more prevalent among:

- Men (particularly men aged 18 to 39).
- Adults under 65.
- Individuals at higher incomes.
- Whites.

Only women, adults aged 65 and older, and Blacks/African Americans currently satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target.
Drinking & Driving

Just 1.2% of parish adults acknowledge having driven a vehicle in the past month after they had perhaps too much alcohol to drink

- More favorable than the 2.4% reported throughout the RFSA.
- Similar to the 2.6% reported nationwide.
- Based on current population estimates, this figure represents approximately 133 drunk drivers on LaSalle Parish streets during the past month (an average of 4 per day).
- **TREND**: Statistically unchanged from 2002.

### Have Driven In The Past Month After Perhaps Having Too Much To Drink

(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

Source: • PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 65]
• 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Note: • Asked of all respondents.
• State data not available.
A total of 1.1% of LaSalle Parish adults acknowledge having ridden with someone in the past month after the driver had perhaps too much to drink.

- More favorable than the 4.8% reported across the RFSA and the 3.1% reported nationwide.

**Have Ridden In The Past Month With A Driver Who Had Too Much To Drink**

(Al Region, 2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2005 LaSalle Parish</th>
<th>2005 RFSA</th>
<th>2005 US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 66]
• 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.
Note: • Asked of all respondents.
• State data not available.

In all, 1.9% of LaSalle Parish adults acknowledge either drinking and driving or riding with a drunk driver in the past month.

- More favorable than the other three demographic regions.

**Have Driven Drunk In The Past Month Or Ridden With A Driver Who Had Too Much To Drink**

(By Region, 2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2005 LaSalle Parish</th>
<th>2005 RFSA</th>
<th>2005 US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 167]
• 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.
Note: • Asked of all respondents.
• State data not available.
Illicit Drug Use

For the purposes of this survey, “illicit drug use” includes use of illegal substances or prescription drugs taken without a physician’s order.

A total of 0.2% of LaSalle Parish adults acknowledge using an illicit drug in the past month.

- More favorable than the 1.9% reported across the RFSA and the 2.5% found nationwide.
- Satisfies the Healthy People 2010 target (2% or lower).

### Illicit Drug Use In The Past Month
(By Region, 2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LaSalle Parish 2005</th>
<th>RFSA 2005</th>
<th>US 2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy People 2010 Objective is 2% or lower</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 67]
• 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Note: • Asked of all respondents.
• In this case, the term "illicit drug use" includes use of an illegal drug and/or use of a prescription drug without a physician’s orders.
Among parish respondents, 1.1% have sought professional help for an alcohol- or drug-related problem.

- Less favorable than the 3.7% reported throughout the RFSA and the national prevalence (3.3%).

In LaSalle Parish, 4.4% of binge drinkers also report having sought professional help for an alcohol- or drug-related problem.

**TREND:** Statistically unchanged from the 1.4% reported in 2002.
Cigarette smoking causes heart disease, several kinds of cancer (lung, larynx, esophagus, pharynx, mouth, and bladder), and chronic lung disease. Cigarette smoking also contributes to cancer of the pancreas, kidney, and cervix. Smoking during pregnancy causes spontaneous abortions, low birth weight, and sudden infant death syndrome. Other forms of tobacco are not safe alternatives to smoking cigarettes.

Tobacco use is responsible for more than 430,000 deaths per year among adults in the United States [about 20% of all deaths]… If current tobacco use patterns persist in the United States, an estimated 5 million persons under age 18 years will die prematurely from a smoking-related disease. Direct medical costs related to smoking total at least $50 billion per year [other sources estimate more than $75 billion in 1998, about 8% of the personal healthcare expenditures in the U.S.]; direct medical costs related to smoking during pregnancy are approximately $1.4 billion per year.

Evidence is accumulating that shows maternal tobacco use is associated with mental retardation and birth defects such as oral clefts. Exposure to secondhand smoke also has serious health effects. Researchers have identified more than 4,000 chemicals in tobacco smoke; of these, at least 43 cause cancer in humans and animals. Each year, because of exposure to secondhand smoke, an estimated 3,000 nonsmokers die of lung cancer, and 150,000 to 300,000 infants and children under age 18 months experience lower respiratory tract infections.


(For lung cancer prevalence, see “Cancer;” for prevalence of other lung diseases, see “Respiratory Disease.”)

Cigarette Smoking Prevalence

One out of five parish adults (20.9%) currently smokes cigarettes, either regularly (every day) or occasionally (on some days).

- Another one-fourth (24.1%) of LaSalle Parish adults are former smokers (those who have smoked 100 or more cigarettes in their lives, but do not currently smoke).
- Over one-half (55.0%) have never smoked.

Source: • 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 140]
Note: • Asked of all respondents.
Current smoking prevalence in LaSalle Parish (20.9%) is:

- Similar to the prevalence across the RFSA (24.9%).
- Similar to that recorded across the U.S. (22.2%).
- Much higher than the Healthy People 2010 target of 12% or lower.

**TREND:** Current smoking levels in LaSalle Parish remain relatively unchanged from the 25.5% reported in 2002.

The following chart looks at current smoking prevalence by various demographic characteristics. As shown, cigarette smoking is more prevalent among adults under the age of 65.

- Of the groups outlined, none currently satisfy the Healthy People 2010 objective.

Note also that 25.5% of women of child-bearing age (ages 18 to 44) currently smoke. This is notable given that tobacco use increases the risk of infertility, as well as the risks for miscarriage, stillbirth and low birthweight for women who smoke during pregnancy.
Smoking Cessation

**Health Advice About Smoking Cessation**

Among parish smokers, nearly two-thirds (71.4%) report that a doctor, nurse or other health professional has recommended in the past year that they quit smoking.

- More favorable than the 61.0% found throughout the RFSA.
- Similar to the 66.2% reported nationwide.

**Smoking Cessation Attempts**

Roughly one-half (55.9%) of LaSalle Parish everyday smokers went without smoking for one day or longer in the past year because they were trying to quit smoking.

- Statistically similar to 50.9% reported across the RFSA.
- Statistically similar to the 57.9% reported nationwide.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target (75% or higher).

**TREND:** Statistically higher than the 39.7% reported in 2002.
Environmental Tobacco Smoke

More than one out of five LaSalle Parish adults (20.5%) report that a member of their household has smoked cigarettes in the home in the past month an average of four or more times per week.

- Similar to the 21.1% reported regionally and the 19.0% prevalence reported across the nation.

Note that 7.3% of LaSalle Parish non-smokers are exposed to cigarette smoke at home.

Member Of Household Smokes At Home
(By Region, 2005)

Note: 7.3% of LaSalle Parish non-smokers are exposed to smoke at home.

Source: • 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 60,148]
• 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.
• Asked of all respondents.
• "Smokes at home" refers to someone smoking cigarettes, cigars or a pipe in the home an average of four or more times per week in the past month.
• State data not available.
Responses do not vary significantly by key demographics.

18.2% of LaSalle Parish households with children have someone who smokes cigarettes in the home.

- Comparable to the 20.4% reported nationally.
- The prevalence is 15.1% among households with kids under age 7, which fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 Objective (10% or lower for households with kids under 7 years old).

18.2% of LaSalle Parish households with children have someone who smokes cigarettes in the home.
Other Tobacco Use

A total of 12.7% of LaSalle Parish adults currently use smokeless tobacco (e.g., chewing tobacco or snuff) every day or on some days.

- Significantly less favorable than the other three demographic regions.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target (2% or lower).

**TREND:** Statistically higher than the 8.4% reported in 2002.

### Use Of Smokeless Tobacco

(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Healthy People 2010 Objective is 0.4% or lower

**Source:**
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 61]
- 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

**Note:**
- Asked of all respondents.
- Includes respondents who use chewing tobacco/snuff every day or on some days.
- State data not available.
SELF-REPORTED HEALTH STATUS

PHYSICAL HEALTH STATUS

Self-Reported Health Status

The initial inquiry of the 2005 PRC Community Health Survey asked respondents the following: “Would you say that in general your health is: excellent, very good, good, fair or poor?”

A majority of While most survey respondents 6%) rate their overall health as “excellent” or “very good,”

However, one-fourth (24.4%) believe that their overall health is rate it as “fair” or “poor.”

- Statistically comparable to the 22.6% reported throughout the RFSA, but less favorable than the 18.8% reported in Louisiana, and the 18.6% reported nationwide by PRC this year.

- TREND: Also note that The 2005 proportion of community members reporting “fair/poor” overall health is statistically similar to the 22.2% reported in 2002.
The following chart further examines self-reported health status by various demographic characteristics.

- As might be expected, indications of “fair” or “poor” health increase with age; that is, older residents much more often report their health as “fair” or “poor.”
- There is a very strong negative correlation with income — persons at very low income levels, as well as those at low income levels (a.k.a. the “working poor”) give much higher indications of “fair/poor” health.

### Experience "Fair" Or "Poor" Overall Health

(2005 PRC Community Health Survey, LaSalle Parish, 2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>18 to 39</th>
<th>40 to 64</th>
<th>65+</th>
<th>Very Low Income</th>
<th>Low Income</th>
<th>Middle/High Income</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black/ Afr Am</th>
<th>LaSalle Parish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experience &quot;Fair&quot;</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>48.1%</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.7%</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience &quot;Poor&quot;</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>47.9%</td>
<td>49.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>31.1%</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 16]
Note: • Asked of all respondents.
• Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size:
  *very low income* = below poverty;
  *low income* = 100% to 200% of poverty;
  *middle/high income* = over 200% of poverty.

### Days Of Poor Physical/Mental Health

While a majority of LaSalle Parish adults report no days in which poor physical or mental health prevented their usual activities in the past month, 17.7% report experiencing four or more days in the past month when poor physical or mental health prevented their usual activities.

- This prevalence is comparable to the 16.4% reported throughout the RFSA.
- Adults aged 40 and older are more likely than younger adults to mention that poor physical health prevented their usual activities last month.
- Also, adults living at lower incomes are much more likely than those in the highest income bracket to report that poor physical or mental health prevented their usual activities in the past month.
- Nearly one in four (24.5%) Black/African American adults reported four or more days, compared to 16.0% among Whites.
Experienced Four Or More Days In The Past Month When Poor Physical Or Mental Health Prevented Usual Activities

(Lasalle Parish, 2005)

Source: • 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 17]
• 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Note: • Asked of all respondents.
• State and national data not available.
• Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size:
  "very low income" = below poverty; "low income" = 100% to 200% of poverty; "middle/high income" = over 200% of poverty.
MENTAL HEALTH & MENTAL DISORDERS

Mental health is a state of successful performance of mental function, resulting in productive activities, fulfilling relationships with other people, and the ability to adapt to change and to cope with adversity. Mental health is indispensable to personal well-being, family and interpersonal relationships, and contribution to community or society. Mental disorders are health conditions that are characterized by alterations in thinking, mood, or behavior (or some combination thereof), which are associated with distress and/or impaired functioning and spawn a host of human problems that may include disability, pain, or death. Mental illness is the term that refers collectively to all diagnosable mental disorders…

Mental disorders generate an immense public health burden of disability. The World Health Organization, in collaboration with the World Bank and Harvard University, has determined … that the impact of mental illness on overall health and productivity in the United States and throughout the world often is profoundly underrecognized [Global Burden of Disease study]. In established market economies such as the United States, mental illness is on a par with heart disease and cancer as a cause of disability. Suicide—a major public health problem in the U.S.—occurs most frequently as a consequence of a mental disorder.

- Mental disorders occur across the lifespan, affecting persons of all racial and ethnic groups, both genders, and all educational and socioeconomic groups…
- Modern treatments for mental disorders are highly effective, with a variety of treatment options available for most disorders…[however], the majority of persons with mental disorders do not receive mental health services.
- The co-occurrence of addictive disorders among persons with mental disorders is gaining increasing attention from mental health professionals…Having both mental and addictive disorders…is a particularly significant clinical treatment issue, complicating treatment for each disorder…
- There is increasing awareness and concern in the public health sector regarding the impact of stress, its prevention and treatment, and the need for enhanced coping skills…
- Evidence that mental disorders are legitimate and highly responsive to appropriate treatment promises to be a potent antidote to stigma. Stigma creates barriers to providing and receiving competent and effective mental health treatment and can lead to inappropriate treatment, unemployment, and homelessness.
- In later life, the majority of people aged 65 years and older cope constructively with the changes associated with aging and maintain mental health, yet an estimated 25% of older people experience specific mental disorders, such as depression, anxiety, substance abuse, and dementia, that are not part of normal aging. Alzheimer’s disease strikes 8% to 15% of people over age 65 years, with the number of cases in the population doubling every 5 years of age after age 60 years. Alzheimer’s disease is thought to be responsible for 60% to 70% of all cases of dementia and is one of the leading causes of nursing home placements.

As the life expectancy of individuals continues to grow longer, the sheer number—although not necessarily the proportion—of persons experiencing mental disorders of late life will expand. This trend will present society with unprecedented challenges in organizing, financing, and delivering effective preventive and treatment services for mental health.

Between 2000 and 2002, there was an annual average age-adjusted Alzheimer's disease death rate of 8.3 deaths per 100,000 population in LaSalle Parish.

The LaSalle Parish rate is lower than regional (24.5) as well as state (24.3) and national (19.2) rates.

- Note that there were no Alzheimer's disease deaths among Blacks/African Americans between 2000 and 2002.

**Age-Adjusted Mortality: Alzheimer’s Disease**

(By Region And Race; 2000-2002 Deaths Per 100,000 Population)

---

**Source:** CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2005.

**Note:**
- Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
- Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
- Parish, state and national data are simple three-year averages, RFSA three-year averages are weighted by population.

**TREND:** Between 1999 and 2002, the reported LaSalle Parish age-adjusted mortality rate due to Alzheimer’s disease decreased from 10.3 to 8.3.

---

**Age-Adjusted Mortality: Alzheimer’s Disease**

(Deaths Per 100,000 Population, 1999-2002)

---

**Source:** CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2005.

**Note:**
- Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
- Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
- Parish, state and national data are simple three-year averages, RFSA three-year averages are weighted by population.
Self-Reported Mental Health Status

When asked to evaluate their own mental health status, nearly than 6 in 10 (58.2%) LaSalle Parish respondents said “excellent” or “very good.” In contrast, 9.9% rated it as “fair” or “poor.”

- More favorable than findings throughout the RFSA (13.8% “fair/poor”).
- The proportions of LaSalle Parish adults reporting “fair/poor” mental health are highest among adults living at very low income levels (21.1%).

Self-Reported Mental Health Status
(LaSalle Parish, 2005)

Experience "Fair" Or "Poor" Overall Mental Health
(LaSalle Parish, 2005)
Days Of Feeling Sad, Blue, Or Depressed

LaSalle Parish adults average 3.0 days per month when they were sad, blue, or depressed.

- Comparable to the 3.5 days reported among respondents throughout the RFSA.
- Trend: The 2005 average is statistically unchanged from the 3.8 days reported in 2002.

Average Number Of Days Felt Sad, Blue, Or Depressed In Past Month
(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

- Highest among women, adults aged 40 to 64, and those in the lower income breakout.

Average Number Of Days Felt Sad, Blue, Or Depressed In Past Month
(LaSalle Parish, 2005)

Note: • Asked of all respondents.
• State and national data not available.
Depression is a serious illness affecting many in the population, whether occasionally or, in many cases, for prolonged periods of time.

**Experience Chronic Depression**

Nearly one in three LaSalle Parish adults (25.7%) reports that they have had two or more years in their lives when they felt depressed or sad on most days, although they may have felt okay sometimes.

- Statistically more favorable the 32.1% reported across the RFSA.
- Similar to the 24.9% reported nationwide.
- This represents roughly 2,850 adults across the parish who have faced or are facing prolonged bouts with depression.

**TREND:** Statistically lower than the 32.9% reported in 2002.

The following chart illustrates differences found among key demographic groups. Note that self-reported prevalence of chronic depression is considerably higher among:

- Persons living at low or very low income levels.
- Middle-aged respondents.
Among LaSalle Parish adults reporting chronic depression, 29.1% acknowledge that they have sought professional help for a mental or emotional problem at some point in their lives.

- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 Objective is that (50% or more of those experiencing depression will seek professional help).
- Similar to the prevalence reported across the parish in 2002 (33.1%).
Children & Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder

One out of 10 parents in LaSalle Parish (7.2%) reports that their school-aged child takes medication for attention-deficit disorder or attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADD/ADHD).

- Similar throughout the RFSA overall and the nation.
- Similar among genders (due to small sample sizes differences may appear notable but they are not statistically significant).

Child Takes Medication For ADD/ADHD
(By Region, 2005; Among Parents Of Children Age 5 To 17)

Source:
- 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 126]
- 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Note:
- Asked of all respondents with children aged 5 through 17 at home.
- “ADD/ADHD” refers to “Attention-Deficit Disorder/Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder.”
- State data not available.
The health of mothers, infants, and children is of critical importance, both as a reflection of the current health status of a large segment of the U.S. population and as a predictor of the health of the next generation... Infant mortality is an important measure of a nation’s health and a worldwide indicator of health status and social well-being. As of 1995, the U.S. infant mortality rates ranked 25th among industrialized nations. In the past decade, critical measures of increased risk of infant death, such as new cases of low birth weight (LBW) and very low birth weight (VLBW), actually have increased in the United States. In addition, the disparity in infant mortality rates between whites and specific racial and ethnic groups (especially African Americans, American Indians or Alaska Natives, Native Hawaiians, and Puerto Ricans) persists. Although the overall infant mortality rate has reached record low levels, the rate for African Americans remains twice that of whites.

LBW is associated with long-term disabilities, such as cerebral palsy, autism, mental retardation, vision and hearing impairments, and other developmental disabilities... The general category of LBW infants includes both those born too early (preterm infants) and those who are born at full term but who are too small, a condition known as intrauterine growth retardation (IUGR). Maternal characteristics that are risk factors associated with IUGR include maternal LBW, prior LBW birth history, low prepregnancy weight, cigarette smoking, multiple births, and low pregnancy weight gain. Cigarette smoking is the greatest known risk factor.

African American and Hispanic women also are less likely than whites to enter prenatal care early. For both African American and white women, the proportion entering prenatal care in the first trimester rises with maternal age until the late thirties, then begins to decline... Women in certain racial and ethnic groups also are less likely than white women to breastfeed their infants.

Between 2000-2002, the annual average LaSalle Parish birth rate was 13.4 births per 100,000 population.

- Lower than the RFSA rate (15.2), the Louisiana rate (14.7), and the U.S. rate (14.1).

**TREND:** Between 1993 and 2002, LaSalle Parish birth rate remained fairly stable. The U.S. birth rate during this time decreased slightly.
Adequacy Of Prenatal Care

Early and continuous prenatal care is the best assurance of infant health. The related Healthy People 2010 objective strives for 90% of pregnant women to receive early and adequate prenatal care.

**Between 2000-2002, 82.2% of LaSalle Parish women giving birth received at least adequate prenatal care during their pregnancy.**

- More favorable than the 79.2% found across the RFSA and the 78.2% found across Louisiana.
- Nationwide, 76.1% of mothers had received adequate prenatal care.
  - Note that national data shown below uses a slightly different index to measure adequacy of prenatal care.
- Fails to meet the Healthy People 2010 objective (90% or better).

**TREND:** The percentage of mothers receiving adequate prenatal care has improved steadily over the past decade.

### Mothers Receiving At Least Adequate Prenatal Care

(By Region; Percentage Of Live Births, 1993-2002)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>67.1%</td>
<td>69.1%</td>
<td>69.9%</td>
<td>74.7%</td>
<td>75.7%</td>
<td>79.7%</td>
<td>80.9%</td>
<td>82.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>66.9%</td>
<td>70.4%</td>
<td>72.2%</td>
<td>73.7%</td>
<td>74.5%</td>
<td>76.1%</td>
<td>77.4%</td>
<td>79.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>71.8%</td>
<td>73.4%</td>
<td>74.6%</td>
<td>75.7%</td>
<td>76.6%</td>
<td>77.5%</td>
<td>77.8%</td>
<td>78.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>72.4%</td>
<td>73.1%</td>
<td>73.7%</td>
<td>74.3%</td>
<td>74.4%</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>75.5%</td>
<td>76.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:**
- Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals.

**Note:**
- Numbers are a percentage of all live births within each population.
- For Louisiana data, "adequate prenatal care" is measured by a modified Kessner Index, which defines prenatal care as adequate if the first prenatal visit occurred in the first trimester of pregnancy and if the total number of visits was appropriate to the gestational age of the baby at birth.
- For U.S. data, the Adequacy of Prenatal Care Utilization (APNCU) index is used. Both indices agree in their definition of "adequate" up to 36 weeks gestation; for pregnancies going past 36 weeks gestation, the APNCU requires an additional visit per week whereas the Kessner Index does not.
**Birth Outcomes**

**Low-Weight Births**

Low birthweight babies, those who weigh less than 2,500 grams (5 pounds, 8 ounces) at birth, are much more prone to illness and neonatal death than are babies of normal birthweight. Largely a result of receiving poor or inadequate prenatal care, many low-weight births and the consequent health problems are preventable.

7.8% of LaSalle Parish births between 2000-2002 were of low birthweight.

- More favorable than the 9.8% reported regionally and the 10.3% reported across Louisiana.
- Similar to the 7.7% reported nationwide.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target (5% or lower).

**TREND:** The percentage of low-weight births across the parish has remained stable in recent years. An upward trend is seen regionally, statewide and nationwide.
**Infant Mortality**

Infant mortality rates reflect deaths of children less than one year old per 1,000 live births.

**Between 2000 and 2002, there was an annual average of 10.3 infant deaths per 1,000 live births in LaSalle Parish.**

- Higher than the rates reported throughout the RFSA and in Louisiana (each 9.6).
- Much higher than the 6.9 mortality rate recorded across the nation.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target (4.5 or fewer per 1,000 live births).

**Infant Mortality Rates**

(By Region; Average Annual Infant Deaths Per 1,000 Live Births; 2000-2002)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Infant Mortality Rate (Per 1,000 Live Births)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals.
• CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2005.
• Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. Health, United States, 2004.

Note: • Rates are three-year averages of deaths of children under 1 year old per 1,000 live births.

White and Black/African American populations in LaSalle Parish experienced a notably lower infant mortality rate (1993-2002) compared to other races.

**Infant Mortality Rates**

(LaSalle Parish; By Race; Average Annual Infant Deaths Per 1,000 Live Births; 1993-2002)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Infant Mortality Rate (Per 1,000 Live Births)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African American</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>111.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted December 2005.

Note: • Rates are ten-year averages of deaths of children under 1 year old per 1,000 live births.
**Trend:** Over the past several years, infant mortality has decreased in LaSalle Parish (most notably in the mid-to-late 1990s), similar to the decreasing trend found nationwide.

### Infant Mortality Rates

(By Region; Average Annual Infant Deaths Per 1,000 Live Births; 1993-2002)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Healthy People 2010 Objective is 4.5 or lower

Source: • Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals.  
• CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2005.  
• Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. Health, United States, 2004.  

Note: • Rates are three-year averages of deaths of children under 1 year old per 1,000 live births.

### Neonatal Mortality

Neonatal mortality rates reflect deaths of children within the first 28 days of life per 1,000 live births.

**Between 2000 and 2002, the parish experienced an annual average of 3.6 neonatal deaths per 1,000 live births.**

- Lower than the three other demographic regions.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 objective (2.9 or lower).

### Neonatal Mortality Rates

(By Region; Average Annual Neonatal Deaths Per 1,000 Live Births; 2000-2002)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2000-2002</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Healthy People 2010 Objective is 2.9 or lower

Source: • Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals.  
• CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2005.  
• Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. Health, United States, 2004.  

Note: • Rates are three-year averages of deaths of children within the first 28 days of life per 1,000 live births.
**TREND**: Since 1997, the LaSalle Parish neonatal mortality rate has increased steadily. Nationally, neonatal mortality rates remained steady over the past several years.

**Neonatal Mortality Rates**
(By Region; Average Annual Neonatal Deaths Per 1,000 Live Births; 1995-2002)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>LaSalle Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1995-1997</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996-1998</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997-1999</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998-2000</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999-2001</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-2002</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Healthy People 2010 Objective is 2.9 or lower**

**Source**:  
- Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals.  
- CDC WONDER Online Query System, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2005.  
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, Health, United States, 2004.  

**Note**: Rates are three-year averages of deaths of children within the first 28 days of life per 1,000 live births.
In an era when technology should enable couples to have considerable control over their fertility, half of all pregnancies in the United States are unintended. Although between 1987 and 1994 the proportion of pregnancies that were unintended declined in the United States from 57 to 49 percent, other industrialized nations report fewer unintended pregnancies, suggesting that the number of unintended pregnancies can be reduced further. Family planning remains a keystone in attaining a national goal aimed at achieving planned, wanted pregnancies and preventing unintended pregnancies.

Socially, the costs can be measured in unintended births, reduced educational attainment and employment opportunity, greater welfare dependency, and increased potential for child abuse and neglect. Economically, healthcare costs are increased... The consequences of unintended pregnancy are not confined to those occurring in teenagers or unmarried couples. In fact, unintended pregnancy can carry serious consequences at all ages and life stages.

With an unintended pregnancy, the mother is less likely to seek prenatal care in the first trimester and more likely not to obtain prenatal care at all. She is less likely to breastfeed and more likely to expose the fetus to harmful substances, such as tobacco or alcohol. The child of such a pregnancy is at greater risk of low birth weight, dying in its first year, being abused, and not receiving sufficient resources for healthy development. A disproportionate share of the women bearing children whose conception was unintended are unmarried or at either end of the reproductive age span—factors that, in themselves, carry increased medical and social burdens for children and their parents. Pregnancy begun without some degree of planning often prevents individual women and men from participating in preconception risk identification and management.

Unintended pregnancies occur among females of all socioeconomic levels and all marital status and age groups, but females under age 20 years and poor and African American women are especially likely to become pregnant unintentionally. More than 4 in 10 pregnancies to white and Hispanic females [nationwide] are unintended; 7 in 10 pregnancies to African American females [nationwide] are unintended. Poverty is strongly related to greater difficulty in using reversible contraceptive methods successfully, with these females also the least likely to have the resources necessary to access family planning services and the most likely to be affected negatively by an unintended pregnancy.


Births To Unwed Mothers

According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), an unintended pregnancy is a pregnancy that is either mistimed or unwanted at the time of conception. It is a core concept in understanding the fertility of populations and the unmet need for contraception. Unintended pregnancy is associated with an increased risk of morbidity for women, and with health behaviors during pregnancy that are associated with adverse effects. For example, women with an unintended pregnancy may delay prenatal care, which may affect the health of the infant. Women of all ages may have unintended pregnancies, but some groups, such as teens, are at a higher risk.

Because it is impossible to measure the true incidence of unintended pregnancy in the U.S., the following indicator looks at births occurring among unmarried mothers as a proxy measure for pregnancies that are not intended (knowing that this is not always the case).
Nearly one-third (30.3%) of women giving birth in LaSalle Parish between 2000 and 2002 were unmarried.

- Lower than the other three demographic regions.

**TREND:** In recent years, the percentage of births to unwed mothers increased very slightly within each of the regions shown.

**Source:** • Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals.

**Note:** • Numbers are a percentage of all live births within each population.
Births To Teenage Mothers

For teenagers, the problems associated with unintended pregnancy are compounded, and the consequences are well documented. Teenaged mothers are less likely to get or stay married, less likely to complete high school or college, and more likely to require public assistance and to live in poverty than their peers who are not mothers. Infants born to teenaged mothers, especially mothers under age 15 years, are more likely to suffer from low birth weight, neonatal death, and sudden infant death syndrome. The infants may be at greater risk of child abuse, neglect, and behavioral and educational problems at later stages. Nearly 1 million teenage pregnancies occur each year in the United States.


Between 2000-2002, 19.1% of LaSalle Parish births were to mothers between the ages of 10 and 19 years-old.

- Comparable to the 18.2% reported throughout the RFSA.
- Less favorable than the 16.3% reported across the State of Louisiana.
- Much less favorable than the 11.3% reported across the United States.

**Percentage Of Births To Mothers Under 20**

(By Region; Percentage Of Live Births, 2000-2002)

- **LaSalle Parish:** 19.1%
- **RFSA:** 18.2%
- **Louisiana:** 16.3%
- **United States:** 11.3%

Source: • Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals.

Note: • Numbers are a percentage of all live births within each population.
**TREND**: The percentages of births to mothers under age 20 have remained the same locally while decreasing regionally, statewide and nationwide.

### Percentage Of Births To Mothers Under 20
(By Region; Percentage Of Live Births, 1996-2002)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>LaSalle Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1996-1998</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997-1999</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td>19.8%</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998-2000</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999-2001</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-2002</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals.

Note: • Numbers are a percentage of all live births within each population.
INFECTIOUS DISEASES

IMMUNIZATION

Infectious diseases remain major causes of illness, disability, and death. Moreover, new infectious agents and diseases are being detected, and some diseases considered under control have reemerged in recent years. In addition, antimicrobial resistance is evolving rapidly in a variety of hospital- and community-acquired infections. These trends suggest that many challenges still exist in the prevention and control of infectious diseases.


Vaccine-Preventable Diseases

Between 2001-2003, there were no reported cases of measles, mumps, rubella or pertussis in LaSalle Parish.

- The Healthy People 2010 goal for measles, mumps, and rubella is 0 cases.

Reported Case Rates For Vaccine-Preventable Diseases

(By Region, 2001-2003)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LaSalle Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
<th>United States</th>
<th>HP2010 Objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measles</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mumps</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubella</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pertussis</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals, 2000-2002 data.
• Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Epidemiology Program Office.

Note: • United States measles cases only include those infected while in the United States.
Measles, Mumps & Rubella

**TREND:** There have been no reported cases of measles, mumps, or rubella in LaSalle Parish in recent years.

### Select Vaccine-Preventable Disease Rates

*(Cases Per 100,000 Population; LaSalle Parish, 1993-2003)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measles</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mumps</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubella</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- Rates are per 100,000 population.
- Healthy People 2010 Objective is 0.0 for each of Measles, Mumps, and Rubella.

Pertussis

**TREND:** LaSalle Parish had no cases of pertussis (a.k.a. “whooping cough”) reported between 1993 and 2003. In contrast, the pertussis incidence appears to be on the increase nationally.

### Pertussis Incidence

*(Cases Per 100,000 Population; 1993-2003)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- Rates are per 100,000 population.
Acute Hepatitis C

Between 2001 and 2003, there was an annual average of 2.4 acute hepatitis C cases per 100,000 population reported in LaSalle Parish.

- Higher than the 1.1 incidence rate reported across the RFSA.
- Lower than the 2.6 found statewide.
- Higher than the national incidence rate (0.5).

TREND: Hepatitis C incidence increased in LaSalle Parish between 2000 and 2003, while state and national rates declined.
**Influenza/Pneumonia Vaccination**

**Influenza**

Over three in four LaSalle Parish adults aged 65 and older (76.9%) received a flu shot within the past year.

- Higher than Louisiana findings (68.6%).
- Statistically similar to national findings (71.5%).
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target (90% or higher).

**TREND:** The 2005 finding is comparable to that reported in 2002 among older adults in LaSalle Parish.

**Have Had A Flu Shot In The Past Year**

(Adults 65+; By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2005 LaSalle Parish</th>
<th>2004 LA</th>
<th>2005 US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>76.9%</td>
<td>68.6%</td>
<td>71.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 162]
• 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.
• Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC); 2004 Louisiana data.

Note: • Asked of all respondents aged 65 and older.

**High-Risk Adults Aged 18 To 64**

In this instance, “high-risk” includes adults aged 18 to 64 who report having been diagnosed with heart disease, diabetes or respiratory disease.

In LaSalle Parish, 20.7% of high-risk adults aged 18 to 64 received a flu shot within the past year.

- Statistically similar to the 26.8% reported throughout the RFSA.
- Statistically similar to the 22.4% found nationwide.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target (60% or higher).
Pneumonia

A majority (79.0%) of LaSalle Parish adults aged 65 and older have received a pneumonia vaccination at some point in their lives.

- Similar to the 79.3% found throughout the RFSA and the 74.2% reported across the United States.
- Higher than Louisiana findings (67.3%).
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target (90% or higher).

**TREND:** Statistically unchanged from the 72.9% reported in 2002.

---

### Have Had A Flu Shot In The Past Year

*(High-Risk Adults Aged 18-64; By Region, 2005)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2005 LaSalle Parish</th>
<th>2005 RFSA</th>
<th>US 2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flu Shots</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:**
- 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 168]
- 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

**Note:**
- "High-Risk" includes adults aged 18 to 64 who have been diagnosed with heart disease, diabetes or respiratory disease.
- State data not available.

### Have Ever Had A Pneumonia Vaccination

*(Adults 65+; By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vaccination</td>
<td>79.0%</td>
<td>79.3%</td>
<td>67.3%</td>
<td>74.2%</td>
<td>72.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:**
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 163]
- 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

**Note:**
- Reflects respondents aged 65 and older.
**High-Risk Adults Aged 18 To 64**

In LaSalle Parish, 23.2% of high-risk adults aged 18 to 64 have received a pneumonia vaccination at some point in their lives.

- Similar to the RFSA prevalence (30.5%).
- Similar to the national prevalence (26.3%).
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2010 target (60% or higher).

**Have Ever Had A Pneumonia Vaccination**

(Among High-Risk Adults Aged 18 To 64; By Region, 2005)

Source: • 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 169]
• 2005 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Note: • “High-Risk” includes adults aged 18 to 64 who have been diagnosed with heart disease, diabetes or respiratory disease.
• State data not available.
Tuberculosis (TB) is an infectious disease caused by a type of bacteria called *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. TB is spread from person to person through the air, as someone with active tuberculosis of the respiratory tract coughs, sneezes, yells, or otherwise expels bacteria-laden droplets.

The Institute of Medicine (IOM), an arm of the National Academy of Sciences, released a report in May 2000 that lays out an action plan for eliminating tuberculosis in the United States … As a key part of the plan, new TB treatment and prevention strategies must be developed that are tailored to the current environment. Among today's hallmarks:

- Tuberculosis now occurs in ever-smaller numbers in most regions of the country.
- Foreign-born people (both legal and undocumented immigrants) coming to the United States from countries with high rates of TB now account for nearly half of all TB cases.
- Higher numbers of cases are concentrated in pockets located in major metropolitan areas, and this increased prevalence is due, in large part, to the increased number of people with or at risk for HIV/AIDS infection.
- Other groups, such as HIV-infected people and the growing population of prison inmates, the homeless, and intravenous drug abusers, are emerging as being at high risk.


Between 2001-2003, there was an annual average of 2.4 reported cases of tuberculosis per 100,000 population in LaSalle Parish.

- More favorable than the 6.2 reported statewide.
- More favorable than the 5.4 reported nationally.

### Tuberculosis Incidence

*(By Region; Cases Per 100,000 Population; 2001-2003)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Incidence Rate (per 100,000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals.  
Note: • Rates are cases per 100,000 population.
**TREND:** LaSalle Parish tuberculosis incidence rates have increased in recent years, in contrast to the downward pattern of RFSA, state and national trends.

### Tuberculosis Incidence
*(By Region; Cases Per 100,000 Population; 1993-2003)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals.

Note: • Rates are cases per 100,000 population.
ENTERIC DISEASES

Enteric diseases are gastrointestinal illnesses caused by bacteria, parasites or viruses. Transmission from person to person is via hand-to-mouth. They include such known and lesser-known diseases as hepatitis A, shigellosis, salmonellosis and campylobacteriosis.

Acute Hepatitis A

Between 2001-2003, LaSalle Parish experienced no cases acute hepatitis A.

- More favorable than the RFSA rate (0.3) the 1.7 reported statewide and the 3.1 reported nationally.

Hepatitis A (Acute) Incidence
(By Region; Cases Per 100,000 Population; 2001-2003)

TREND: Between 1994 and 1999 there were a few cases of hepatitis A in LaSalle Parish.

Hepatitis A (Acute) Incidence
(By Region; Cases Per 100,000 Population; 1993-2003)

Source: • Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals.
• Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. Summary of Select Notifiable Diseases.
Note: • Rates are cases per 100,000 population.
Between 2001-2003, there were no cases of shigellosis in LaSalle Parish.

- Much lower than regional, state and national rates.

**TREND:** Shigellosis cases decreased in LaSalle Parish between 2000-2002 and 2001-2003.
Between 2001-2003, there was an annual average of 16.4 reported cases of salmonellosis per 100,000 population in LaSalle Parish.

- Less favorable than the 15.1 rate reported both for the RFSA and nationally.
- More favorable than the statewide rate (18.7).

**TREND:** The LaSalle Parish 2001-2003 reporting period had a notably higher salmonellosis incidence rate compared to the 2000-2002 reporting period. The increase across the RFSA was less dramatic.
Between 2001-2003, there were no reported cases of campylobacteriosis in LaSalle Parish.

- Much lower than the RFSA and state incidence rates (each 2.8).

**TREND:** There were no reported campylobacteriosis cases between 2000 and 2003 in LaSalle Parish.
HIV/AIDS

In the United States, HIV/AIDS remains a significant cause of illness, disability, and death, despite declines in 1996 and 1997.

Behaviors (sexual practices, substance abuse, and accessing prenatal care) and biomedical status (having other STDs) are major determinants of HIV transmission. Unprotected sexual contact, whether homosexual or heterosexual, with a person infected with HIV and sharing drug-injection equipment with an HIV-infected individual account for most HIV transmission in the United States. Increasing the number of people who know their HIV serostatus is an important component of a national program to slow or halt the transmission of HIV in the United States.

For persons infected with HIV, behavioral determinants also play an important role in health maintenance. Although drugs are available specifically to prevent and treat a number of opportunistic infections, HIV-infected individuals also need to make lifestyle-related behavioral changes to avoid many of these infections. The new HIV antiretroviral drug therapies for HIV infection bring with them difficulties in adhering to complex, expensive, and demanding medication schedules, posing a significant challenge for many persons infected with HIV.

Because HIV infection weakens the immune system, people with tuberculosis (TB) infection and HIV infection are at very high risk of developing active TB disease.

Comparing the 1980s to the 1990s, the proportion of AIDS cases in white men who have sex with men declined, whereas the proportion in females and males in other racial and ethnic populations increased, particularly among Black Americans and Hispanics. AIDS cases also appeared to be increasing among injection drug users and their sexual partners. The true extent of the epidemic remains difficult to assess for several reasons, including the following:

- Because of the long period of time from initial HIV infection to AIDS and because highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) has slowed the progression to AIDS, new cases of AIDS no longer provide accurate information about the current HIV epidemic in the United States.
- Because of a lack of awareness of HIV serostatus as well as delays in accessing counseling, testing, and care services by individuals who may be infected or are at risk of infection, some populations do not perceive themselves to be at risk. As a result, some HIV-infected persons are not identified and provided care until late in the course of their infection.


Age-Adjusted Mortality

Between 2000 and 2002, there were no HIV/AIDS deaths in LaSalle Parish.

- Lower than the corresponding RFSA age-adjusted death rate (5.7 per 100,000 population).
- Lower than the statewide rate (8.9) and the national rate (5.0).
**TREND:** The LaSalle Parish age-adjusted HIV/AIDS mortality has decreased in recent years.

---

**Age-Adjusted Mortality: HIV/AIDS**

(By Region And Race; 2000-2002 Deaths Per 100,000 Population)

![Graph showing age-adjusted mortality rates for HIV/AIDS by region and race from 2000-2002.]

Source: • CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2005.

Note: • Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
• Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
• Parish, state and national data are simple three-year averages, the RFSA three-year averages are weighted by population.

---

**Age-Adjusted Mortality: HIV/AIDS**

(By Region; 1993-2002)

![Graph showing age-adjusted mortality rates for HIV/AIDS by region from 1993-2002.]

Source: • CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2005.

Note: • Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
• Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
• Data for 1999 and subsequent years are not fully comparable to data from 1998 and prior years, due to changes in coding of causes of deaths resulting from the switch from the ninth revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD9) to the tenth revision (ICD10).
• Parish, state and national data are simple three-year averages, the RFSA three-year averages are weighted by population.
Between 2001-2003, the annual average LaSalle Parish rate of new HIV/AIDS cases was 7.0 per 100,000 population.

- Lower than the overall RFSA rate for this period (18.2 per 100,000 population).
- Lower than the statewide rate (25.3).

**TREND**: Between the 1998-2000 and 2001-2003 reporting periods, the LaSalle Parish HIV/AIDS incidence increased.
Sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) refer to the more than 25 infectious organisms transmitted primarily through sexual activity. STDs are among many related factors that affect the broad continuum of reproductive health agreed on in 1994 by 180 governments at the International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD). At ICPD, all governments were challenged to strengthen their STD programs. STD prevention as an essential primary care strategy is integral to improving reproductive health.

Despite the burdens, costs, complications, and preventable nature of STDs, they remain a significant public health problem, largely unrecognized by the public, policymakers, and public health and healthcare professionals in the United States. STDs cause many harmful, often irreversible, and costly clinical complications, such as reproductive health problems, fetal and perinatal health problems, and cancer. In addition, studies of the worldwide human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) pandemic link other STDs to a causal chain of events in the sexual transmission of HIV infection.


**Gonorrhea**

Between 2000-2002, LaSalle Parish reported an annual average of 26.4 cases of gonorrhea per 100,000 population.

- Much lower than the other three demographic regions.

**Gonorrhea Incidence**

(By Region; Cases Per 100,000 Population; 2000-2002)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Cases Per 100,000 Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>199.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>286.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>125.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals. • Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. Health, United States, 2004.

Note: • Rates are cases per 100,000 population.
**TREND:** Gonorrhea incidence in LaSalle Parish increased in recent years.

**Gonorrhea Incidence**
*By Region; Cases Per 100,000 Population; 1993-2002*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>197.4</td>
<td>158.6</td>
<td>146.3</td>
<td>157.9</td>
<td>173.9</td>
<td>191.6</td>
<td>194.8</td>
<td>199.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>279.8</td>
<td>248.7</td>
<td>238.6</td>
<td>254.0</td>
<td>286.3</td>
<td>305.7</td>
<td>305.7</td>
<td>286.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>162.4</td>
<td>146.1</td>
<td>131.5</td>
<td>126.0</td>
<td>128.1</td>
<td>130.3</td>
<td>128.3</td>
<td>125.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:**
- Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals.

**Note:** Rates are cases per 100,000 population.
Between 2000-2002, LaSalle Parish reported no cases of primary-stage/secondary-stage syphilis (as characterized by progression of symptoms).

- Lower than the other three demographic regions.

**TREND:** After a sharp decline in the early 1990s, primary/secondary syphilis incidence rates have not changed dramatically over the past several reporting periods. This trend is mirrored both statewide and nationwide.
Between 2000-2002, LaSalle Parish reported an annual average of 66.6 cases of chlamydia per 100,000 population.

- Much lower than the other three demographic regions.

**TREND**: Chlamydia incidence is on the rise in LaSalle Parish, as it is regionally, statewide and nationwide.

---

**Chlamydia Incidence**

(By Region; Cases Per 100,000 Population; 2000-2002)

![Chlamydia Incidence Chart (2000-2002)](chart1)

Source: • Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals.  
• Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. Health, United States, 2004.

Note: • Rates are cases per 100,000 population.

---

**Chlamydia Incidence**

(By Region; Cases Per 100,000 Population; 1997-2002)

![Chlamydia Incidence Chart (1997-2002)](chart2)

Source: • Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals.  
• Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. Health, United States, 2004.

Note: • Rates are cases per 100,000 population.
In LaSalle Parish, the 2001-2003 annual average acute hepatitis B incidence was 2.4 per 100,000 population.

- Below the 2.8 incidence rates found for the RFSA, the State of Louisiana.
- Below the 2.9 incidence rate reported nationwide.

**Hepatitis B (Acute) Incidence**
(By Region; Cases Per 100,000 Population; 2001-2003)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>LaSalle Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001-2003</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TREND:** Acute hepatitis B rates in LaSalle Parish have increased slightly over the past decade, namely due to a rise in cases in 2001-2003.

**Hepatitis B (Acute) Incidence**
(By Region; Cases Per 100,000 Population; 2000-2003)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>LaSalle Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000-2003</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-2002</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-2003</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003-2004</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. Health, United States, 2004.

**Note:** Rates are cases per 100,000 population.
Housing Conditions

Type of Dwelling

Nearly three-fourths of LaSalle Parish residents (74.5%) currently own their home or condominium.

- Higher than the percentages reported across the RFSA.
- Another 10.5% of LaSalle Parish adults rent a house or apartment, and 8.2% live with parents or other relatives.

**Trend:** Similar to the percentages reported in LaSalle Parish in 2002.

### Type of Dwelling

(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

- **Own Home/Condo:**
  - LaSalle Parish 2002: 79.7%
  - LaSalle Parish 2005: 74.5%
  - RFSA 2005: 79.7%

- **Live With Parents Or Relatives:**
  - LaSalle Parish 2002: 6.4%
  - LaSalle Parish 2005: 8.2%
  - RFSA 2005: 9.2%

- **Rent A House:**
  - LaSalle Parish 2002: 7.0%
  - LaSalle Parish 2005: 6.3%
  - RFSA 2005: 9.9%

- **Rent An Apartment:**
  - LaSalle Parish 2002: 4.3%
  - LaSalle Parish 2005: 4.2%
  - RFSA 2005: 7.0%

- **Other:**
  - LaSalle Parish 2002: 2.6%
  - LaSalle Parish 2005: 5.6%
  - RFSA 2005: 6.9%

Source: PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 116]

Note:
- Asked of all respondents.
- State and national data not available.
Condition Of Local Housing

When asked to evaluate the condition of local housing, 53.5% of LaSalle Parish residents gave “excellent” or “very good” responses; in contrast, 15.2% said “fair” or “poor.”

- Responses this year are similar to 2002 findings.

Rating Of Condition Of Neighborhood Homes
(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

Local adults are clearly divided in terms of perceptions of neighborhood housing. Residents more likely to perceive neighborhood homes to be “fair” or “poor” include:

- Middle-aged adults.
- Those living at low or very low income levels.
- Blacks/African Americans.
- People who rent their housing.

Perceive Condition Of Neighborhood Homes To Be "Fair" Or "Poor"
(LaSalle Parish, 2005)
Availability Of Affordable Housing

While most LaSalle Parish adults give positive evaluations of the availability of affordable housing in the area, a full 38.9% consider it to be “fair” or “poor.”

- Less favorable than RFSA findings.
- **TREND**: Similar to the distribution of responses in 2002.

Those more likely to rate affordable housing in the area as “fair” or “poor” include:

- Women.
- Those living at very low income levels.
- Renters.

Perceive The Availability Of Affordable Neighborhood Homes To Be “Fair” Or “Poor”

(LaSalle Parish, 2005)
Housing Displacement

A total of 9.1% of LaSalle Parish respondents have had to go live with a friend or relative some time in the past two years due to a housing emergency (even though this may have been only temporary).

- Comparable to the 8.6% reported across the RFSA.

**TREND:** Statistically unchanged since 2002.

**Had To Live With A Friend/Relative In The Past Two Years Due To An Emergency (Even Temporarily)**
(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

Source: • PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 115]
Note: • Asked of all respondents.
• State and national data not available.

Adults more likely to report living with a friend or relative due to a housing emergency include renters.

**Had To Live With A Friend/Relative In The Past Two Years Due To An Emergency (Even Temporarily)**
(LaSalle Parish, 2005)

Source: • 2005 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants. [Item 115]
Note: • Asked of all respondents.
• Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size:
  
  *very low income* = below poverty; *low income* = 100% to 200% of poverty; *middle/high income* = over 200% of poverty.
Survey respondents were asked to evaluate the degree to which each of five youth issues is a problem in LaSalle Parish. These issues include: teen alcohol use; teen drinking and driving; teen drug use; teen pregnancy; and teen tobacco use. For each issue, respondents were asked if they see this as a “major problem,” “moderate problem,” “minor problem” or “no problem at all” for adolescents in their own community.

Of the tested youth issues, teen tobacco use and teen alcohol use were the biggest concerns in LaSalle Parish (63.7% said each were a “major problem”).

- Over 50% of respondents also view teen drug use and teenage drinking and driving as “major problems” for local adolescents.
- Compared to responses throughout the RFSA, LaSalle Parish respondents were less likely to rate teen pregnancy use as a “major problem” and more likely to view teen alcohol use, teen tobacco use and teen drinking and driving as a “major problem.”

**TREND:** This year’s response for teen drug use as a “major problem” in LaSalle Parish marks a statistically significant increase from the 49.4% reported in 2002.

### Teen Issues Perceived As “Major” Problems In LaSalle Parish

(By Region; 2002-2005 Trend Data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug Use</th>
<th>Tobacco Use</th>
<th>Alcohol Use</th>
<th>Drinking &amp; Driving</th>
<th>Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>49.4%</td>
<td>59.2%</td>
<td>63.0%</td>
<td>59.0%</td>
<td>40.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.5%</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
<td>63.7%</td>
<td>59.1%</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63.7%</td>
<td>57.4%</td>
<td>63.7%</td>
<td>60.7%</td>
<td>43.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants. [Items 109-113]

Note: Asked of all respondents.

State and national data not available.
**DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE**

**Population**

The 2000 Census population for LaSalle Parish was 14,282 persons, making up 4.1% of The Rapides Foundation Service Area.

**Population Distribution Of The Rapides Foundation Service Area**

(US Census 2000)

Source: • Census 2000 Summary File 3 (SF 3) - Sample Data.

**Income**

**Median Income**

The LaSalle Parish median income (in 1999) was $4,377 below the Louisiana median income, and $13,805 (over 30%) below the national median.

**Median Household Income In 1999**

(By Region; In Dollars)

Source: • Census 2000 Summary File 3 (SF 3) - Sample Data.
Population Living Below Poverty

One-fifth (18.7%) of the LaSalle Parish population lives below the federal poverty level. This is comparable to the proportion throughout the RFSA and statewide, but significantly above the national proportion.

Total Population: Percent/Number Living Below Poverty
(By Region, 2000)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>% Living Below Poverty</th>
<th>Number Living Below Poverty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>2,486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
<td>69,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
<td>851,113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>33,899,812</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • Census 2000 Summary File 3 (SF 3) - Sample Data.

A total of 21.4% of LaSalle Parish families with children under age 18 live below poverty (again comparable to state and regional proportions, but much higher than the national average).

Families With Children (Age 0 To 17): Percent/Number Living Below Poverty
(By Region, 2000)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>% Living Below Poverty</th>
<th>Number Of Families With Children Below Poverty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
<td>11,555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>22.1%</td>
<td>143,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td>5,155,866</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • Census 2000 Summary File 3 (SF 3) - Sample Data.
A total of 18.9% of seniors (65+) live below poverty (again comparable to state and regional proportions, but much higher than the national average).

### Population Aged 65 And Older: Percent/Number Living Below Poverty (By Region, 2000)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Percent Living Below Poverty</th>
<th>Number of Adults 65+ Living Below Poverty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
<td>362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
<td>7,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>81,693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>3,287,774</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Census 2000 Summary File 3 (SF 3) - Sample Data.

A total of 47.0% of female-headed family households in LaSalle Parish live below poverty (comparable to state proportions, higher than regional proportions and much higher than the national average).

### Female-Headed Family Households: Percent/Number Living Below Poverty (By Region, 2000)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Percent Living Below Poverty</th>
<th>Number of Female-Headed Family Households Living Below Poverty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaSalle Parish</td>
<td>47.0%</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>45.0%</td>
<td>8,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>40.6%</td>
<td>3,315,916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>26.5%</td>
<td>109,526</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Census 2000 Summary File 3 (SF 3) - Sample Data.
Race/Ethnicity

A total of 63.9% of the LaSalle Parish population is White, 32.3% is Black/African American, 0.7% is other races, and 1.2% is of two or more races. Like the nation, LaSalle Parish has a much smaller proportion of the population that is Black/African American than seen regionally and throughout Louisiana.

Racial Distribution Of The Population
(By Region, 2000)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LaSalle Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other Combined</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>85.9%</td>
<td>69.2%</td>
<td>63.9%</td>
<td>75.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African American</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
<td>32.3%</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Race</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Or More Races</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • Census 2000 Summary File 3 (SF 3) - Sample Data.
• Includes persons of Hispanic origin; Hispanic can be of any race.

Age

In LaSalle Parish, 26.1% of the population is under age 18 years (similar to state, RFSA and national proportions). A total of 14.6% of the LaSalle Parish population is age 65 or older (slightly higher than found regionally, statewide or nationally).

Age Distribution Of The Population
(By Region, 2000)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LaSalle Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 To 17</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
<td>27.0%</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 To 39</td>
<td>29.8%</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
<td>31.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 To 64</td>
<td>29.6%</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • Census 2000 Summary File 3 (SF 3) - Sample Data.
Among persons age 5 years and older in LaSalle Parish, one out of four (23.6%) is disabled. A similar proportion is found throughout The Rapides Foundation Service Area as a whole, but the local percentage is notably higher than state and national proportions.

**Disability**

Population Aged 5 And Older: Percent/Number Living With A Disability
(By Region, 2000; Noninstitutionalized Civilian Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population 5+ With A Disability</th>
<th>LaSalle Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent/Number</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
<td>24.5%</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>2,943</td>
<td>72,960</td>
<td>880,047</td>
<td>49,700,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: • Census 2000 Summary File 3 (SF 3) - Sample Data.