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INTRODUCTION
Project Overview

Project Goals

This Community Health Needs Assessment — a follow-up to similar research conducted in the area in 2002, 2005 and 2010 — is a systematic, data-driven approach to determining the health status, behaviors and needs of residents in Natchitoches Parish. Subsequently, this information may be used to inform decisions and guide efforts to improve community health and wellness.

Community Defined for This Assessment

This report focuses on data specific to Natchitoches Parish, Louisiana, but this study is part of a larger study across the nine-parish Rapides Foundation Service Area (RFSA) in Central Louisiana. Data for the RFSA are also provided throughout this report.

Methodology

2013 PRC Community Health Survey

Survey Instrument

The survey instrument used for this study is based largely on the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), as well as various other public health surveys and customized questions addressing gaps in indicator data relative to health promotion and disease prevention objectives and other recognized health issues. The final survey instrument was developed by The Rapides
Foundation and Professional Research Consultants (PRC), and is similar to the previous surveys used in the region, allowing for data trending.

Sample Approach & Design

A precise and carefully executed methodology is critical in asserting the validity of the results gathered in the 2013 PRC Community Health Survey. Thus, to ensure the best representation of the population surveyed, a telephone interview methodology (which includes both landlines and cell phones) was employed. The primary advantages of telephone interviewing are timeliness, efficiency and random-selection capabilities.

The sample design used for this effort consisted of a random sample of 402 adults age 18 and older in Natchitoches Parish. In total, 3,742 surveys were completed across the Rapides Foundation Service Area; once these data were collected, the sample was weighted in proportion to the actual population distribution at the parish level so that estimates better reflect the region as a whole. Population estimates were based on census data of adults age 18 and over provided through GeoLytics Demographic Estimates and Projections.

All administration of the surveys, data collection and data analysis was conducted by Professional Research Consultants, Inc. (PRC).

Sampling Error

For statistical purposes, the maximum rate of error associated with a sample size of 402 respondents is ±4.9% at the 95 percent level of confidence.

**Expected Error Ranges for a Sample of 402 Respondents at the 95 Percent Level of Confidence**

Note: ● The “response rate” (the percentage of a population giving a particular response) determines the error rate associated with that response.
A "95 percent level of confidence" indicates that responses would fall within the expected error range on 95 out of 100 trials.

Examples:
● If 10% of the sample of 402 respondents answered a certain question with a “yes,” it can be asserted that between 7.1% and 12.9% (10% ± 2.9%) of the total population would offer this response.
● If 50% of respondents said “yes,” one could be certain with a 95 percent level of confidence that between 45.1% and 54.9% (50% ± 4.9%) of the total population would respond “yes” if asked this question.
To accurately represent the population studied, PRC strives to minimize bias through application of a proven telephone methodology and random-selection techniques. And, while this random sampling of the population produces a highly representative sample, it is a common and preferred practice to “weight” the raw data to improve this representativeness even further. This is accomplished by adjusting the results of a random sample to match the demographic characteristics of the population surveyed (poststratification), so as to eliminate any naturally occurring bias. Specifically, once the raw data are gathered, respondents are examined by key demographic characteristics (namely gender, age, race, ethnicity, and poverty status) and a statistical application package applies weighting variables that produce a sample which more closely matches the population for these characteristics. Thus, while the integrity of each individual’s responses is maintained, one respondent’s responses may contribute to the whole the same weight as, for example, 1.1 respondents. Another respondent, whose demographic characteristics may have been slightly oversampled, may contribute the same weight as 0.9 respondents.

The following chart outlines the characteristics of the Natchitoches Parish sample for key demographic variables, compared to actual population characteristics revealed in census data. [Note that the sample consisted solely of area residents age 18 and older; data on children were given by proxy by the person most responsible for that child’s healthcare needs, and these children are not represented demographically in this chart.]

Further note that the poverty descriptions and segmentation used in this report are based on administrative poverty thresholds determined by the US Department of Health & Human Services. These guidelines define poverty status by household income level and number of persons in the household (e.g., the 2013 guidelines – the most current available – place the poverty threshold for a family of four at $23,550 annual household income or lower). In sample segmentation: “Very Low Income” refers to community members living in a household with defined poverty status; “Low Income” includes those households living just above the poverty level, earning up to twice the poverty threshold; and “Middle/High Income” refers to households with incomes more than twice the poverty threshold defined for the household size.
The sample design and the quality control procedures used in the data collection ensure that the sample is representative. Thus, the findings may be generalized to the total population of community members in the defined area with a high degree of confidence.

Public Health, Vital Statistics & Other Data

A variety of existing (secondary) data sources was consulted to complement the research quality of this Community Health Needs Assessment. Data for Natchitoches Parish were obtained from the following sources (specific citations are included with the graphs throughout this report):

- Agenda for Children/KIDS COUNT Data Center
- Centers for Disease Control & Prevention
- ESRI BIS Demographic Portfolio (Projections Based on the US Census)
- Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals Office of Public Health
- Louisiana State Center for Health Statistics
- National Center for Health Statistics
- www.countyhealthrankings.org

Benchmark Data

Trending

Similar surveys were administered in the region in 2002, 2005 and 2010 by PRC on behalf of The Rapides Foundation. Trending data, as revealed by comparison to prior results, are provided throughout this report whenever available.

RFSA Risk Factor Data

Regional risk factor data for Central Louisiana (the nine-parish Rapides Foundation Service Area or RFSA) are also provided as an additional benchmark against which to compare local findings.

Louisiana Risk Factor Data

Statewide risk factor data are provided where available as an additional benchmark against which to compare local findings. These data are reported in the most recent BRFSS (Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System) Prevalence and Trend Data published by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the US Department of Health & Human Services. NOTE: Because the CDC implemented changes to the BRFSS weighting methodology in 2011, state findings might not be directly comparable to the regional or national findings outlined in this report.

Nationwide Risk Factor Data

Nationwide risk factor data, which are also provided in comparison charts, are taken from the 2013 PRC National Health Survey (as well as previous PRC National Health Surveys). The methodological approach for the national study is identical to that employed in this assessment, and these data may be generalized to the US population with a high degree of confidence.
Healthy People 2020 provides science-based, 10-year national objectives for improving the health of all Americans. The Healthy People initiative is grounded in the principle that setting national objectives and monitoring progress can motivate action. For three decades, Healthy People has established benchmarks and monitored progress over time in order to:

- Encourage collaborations across sectors.
- Guide individuals toward making informed health decisions.
- Measure the impact of prevention activities.

Healthy People 2020 is the product of an extensive stakeholder feedback process that is unparalleled in government and health. It integrates input from public health and prevention experts, a wide range of federal, state and local government officials, a consortium of more than 2,000 organizations, and perhaps most importantly, the public. More than 8,000 comments were considered in drafting a comprehensive set of Healthy People 2020 objectives.

Key Informant Focus Group

As part of the community health assessment, a focus group was held in Natchitoches Parish on March 19, 2013. Focus group participants included 6 key informants: physicians; other health professionals; social service providers; and other community leaders.

A list of recommended participants for the focus group was provided by the sponsors. Potential participants were chosen because of their ability to identify primary concerns of the populations with whom they work, as well as of the community overall. Participants included a representative of public health, as well as several individuals who work with low-income, minority or other medically underserved populations, and those who work with persons with chronic disease conditions.

Focus group candidates were first contacted by letter to request their participation. Follow-up phone calls were then made to ascertain whether or not they would be able to attend. Confirmation calls were placed the day before the group was scheduled to insure a reasonable turnout.

Audio from the focus group session was recorded, from which verbatim comments in this report are taken. There are no names connected with the comments, as participants were asked to speak candidly and assured of confidentiality.

NOTE: These findings represent qualitative rather than quantitative data. The group was designed to gather input from participants regarding their opinions and perceptions of the health of the residents in the area. Thus, these findings are based on perceptions, not facts.

Information Gaps

While this assessment is quite comprehensive, it cannot measure all possible aspects of health in the community, nor can it adequately represent all possible populations of
interest. It must be recognized that these information gaps might in some ways limit the ability to assess all of the community’s health needs.

For example, certain population groups — such as the homeless, institutionalized persons, or those who only speak a language other than English or Spanish — are not represented in the survey data. Other population groups — for example, pregnant women, lesbian/gay/bisexual/transgender residents, undocumented residents, and members of certain racial/ethnic or immigrant groups — might not be identifiable or might not be represented in numbers sufficient for independent analyses.

In terms of content, this assessment was designed to provide a comprehensive and broad picture of the health of the overall community. However, there are certainly a great number of medical conditions that are not specifically addressed.
Summary of Findings

Significant Trends in Natchitoches Parish

The following table highlights both positive and negative trends observed in health indicators in comparison with baseline data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FAVORABLE TRENDS</th>
<th>UNFAVORABLE TRENDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access to Healthcare Services</td>
<td>- Insurance Coverage of Prescriptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Insurance Coverage of Both Drs/Hospitals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Prescription Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Availability of Doctors Appointments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Access to Child Healthcare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer's Disease</td>
<td>- Alzheimer's Disease Deaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>- Cancer Deaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Sigmoidoscopies/Colonoscopies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>- Mammograms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Planning</td>
<td>- Diabetes Prevalence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart Disease</td>
<td>- High Cholesterol Prevalence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Heart Disease Deaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Actions to Control High Cholesterol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunization &amp; Infectious Disease</td>
<td>- Pertussis Incidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Tuberculosis Incidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Hepatitis A Incidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury &amp; Violence</td>
<td>- Seat Belt Usage (Adults &amp; Children)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney Disease</td>
<td>- Unintentional Injury Deaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS</td>
<td>- Motor Vehicle Deaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>- Domestic Violence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Kidney Disease Deaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- HIV Incidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant Health</td>
<td>- Perceptions of Affordable Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health</td>
<td>- Prenatal Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Seeking Professional Help (Those With Chronic Depression)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition &amp; Overweight</td>
<td>- Fruit/Vegetable Consumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Access to Fresh Fruits/Vegetables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Weight Loss Attempts (Both Diet/Exercise)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Health</td>
<td>- Overweight Prevalence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Activity Limitations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Days When Health Prevents Usual Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Activity &amp; Fitness</td>
<td>- Regular Walking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory Disease</td>
<td>- Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease Deaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Pneumonia/Influenza Deaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STDs</td>
<td>- Gonorrhea Incidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Hepatitis B Incidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance Abuse</td>
<td>- Syphilis Incidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Chlamydia Incidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco Use</td>
<td>- Drinking &amp; Driving (or Riding With a Drunk Driver)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision</td>
<td>- Smoking Cessation Attempts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Awareness of Cessation Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Children's Exposure to Tobacco Smoke at Home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Recent Eye Exams</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Top Community Health Concerns Among Focus Group Participants

Among Community Key Informants

At the conclusion of the key informant focus group, participants were asked to write down what they individually perceive as the top health priorities for Natchitoches Parish, based on the group discussion as well as on their own experiences and perceptions. Their responses were collected, categorized and tallied to produce the top-ranked priorities as identified among key informants. These should be used to complement and corroborate findings that emerge from the quantitative dataset.

1. Health Education
2. Substance Abuse
3. Obesity

Comparisons With Benchmark Data

The following tables provide an overview of indicators in Natchitoches Parish. These data are grouped to correspond with the Focus Areas presented in Healthy People 2020.

Reading the Summary Tables

- In the following charts, Natchitoches Parish results are shown in the larger, blue column.
- The orange columns to the right of the Natchitoches Parish column provide comparisons between Natchitoches Parish and any available regional, state and national findings, as well as Healthy People 2020 targets. Symbols indicate whether Natchitoches Parish compares favorably (○), unfavorably (●), or comparably (□) to these external data.
- The pink column (far right) provides trending results. Symbols indicate whether Natchitoches Parish has changed favorably (○), unfavorably (●), or is statistically unchanged (□) compared to baseline data (i.e., the earliest data presented in this report).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Access to Health Services</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>vs. RFSA  vs. LA  vs. US  vs. HP2020 TRENDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Age 18-64] Lack Health Insurance</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [65+] With Medicare Supplement Insurance</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>68.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Insured/No Medicare] Insurance Covers Prescriptions</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Insured] Insurance Covers Both Dr/Hosp Visits</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Difficulty Accessing Healthcare in Past Year (Composite)</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Inconvenient Hrs Prevented Dr Visit in Past Year</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Cost Prevented Getting Prescription in Past Year</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Cost Prevented Physician Visit in Past Year</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Difficulty Getting Appointment in Past Year</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Difficulty Finding Physician in Past Year</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Transportation Hindered Dr Visit in Past Year</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Difficulty Getting Child’s Healthcare in Past Year</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Age 18+] Have a Specific Source of Ongoing Care</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Age 18-64] Have a Specific Source of Ongoing Care</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Age 65+] Have a Specific Source of Ongoing Care</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Have Had Routine Checkup in Past Year</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Child Has Had Checkup in Past Year</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Two or More ER Visits in Past Year</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Vision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Eye Exam in Past 2 Years</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 56.9 vs. LA 56.8 vs. US 37.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Oral Health

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% [Age 18+] Dental Visit in Past Year</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 52.0 vs. LA 63.9 vs. US 49.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Child [Age 2-17] Dental Visit in Past Year</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 85.6 vs. LA 81.5 vs. US 49.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Heart Disease & Stroke

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diseases of the Heart (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>219.1</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 246.6 vs. LA 232.6 vs. US 184.7 vs. HP2020 158.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 49.4 vs. LA 47.0 vs. US 40.3 vs. HP2020 33.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Heart Disease (Heart Attack, Angina, Coronary Disease)</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 9.8 vs. LA 6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Stroke</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 4.2 vs. LA 3.8 vs. US 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Blood Pressure Checked in Past 2 Years</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 96.1 vs. LA 91.0 vs. US 92.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Told Have High Blood Pressure (Ever)</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 44.3 vs. LA 38.4 vs. US 34.1 vs. HP2020 28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [HBP] Taking Action to Control High Blood Pressure</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 93.0 vs. LA 89.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Cholesterol Checked in Past 5 Years</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 86.7 vs. LA 74.1 vs. US 86.6 vs. HP2020 82.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Told Have High Cholesterol (Ever)</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 33.8 vs. LA 38.8 vs. US 29.9 vs. HP2020 13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [HBC] Taking Action to Control High Blood Cholesterol</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 86.4 vs. LA 81.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% 1+ Cardiovascular Risk Factor</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 90.3 vs. LA 82.3 vs. US 82.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:
- 🔥 better
- ⬆️ similar
- 👹 worse
### Cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cancer (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>176.1</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 203.6 vs. LA 200.6 vs. US 174.2 vs. HP2020 160.6</td>
<td>207.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung Cancer (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate Cancer (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Breast Cancer (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal Cancer (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### % Cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### % [Men 50+] Prostate Exam in Past 2 Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>77.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### % [Women 50-74] Mammogram in Past 2 Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>78.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>88.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### % [Women 21-65] Pap Smear in Past 3 Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>83.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>82.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### % [Age 50+] Sigmoid/Colonoscopy Ever

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>67.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### % [Age 50+] Blood Stool Test in Past 2 Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### % [Age 50-75] Colorectal Cancer Screening

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>65.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Respiratory Diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLRD (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 47.8 vs. LA 43.4 vs. US 43.2</td>
<td>51.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia/Influenza (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>40.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Chronic Lung Disease</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Adult] Currently Has Asthma</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Child [Age 0-17] Asthma (Ever Diagnosed)</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Respiratory Diseases (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% [Child 0-17] Currently Has Asthma</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>better</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Injury & Violence Prevention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unintentional Injury (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>57.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicle Crashes (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>29.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% &quot;Always&quot; Wear Seat Belt</td>
<td>84.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Child [Age 0-17] &quot;Always&quot; Uses Seat Belt/Car Seat</td>
<td>86.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Child [Age 5-17] &quot;Always&quot; Wears Bicycle Helmet</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firearm-Related Deaths (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Homes With Firearms] Weapon(s) Unlocked &amp; Loaded</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homicide (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Victim of Violent Crime in Past 5 Years</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Victim of Domestic Violence (Ever)</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Diabetes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes Mellitus (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Diabetes/High Blood Sugar</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

better | similar | worse
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chronic Kidney Disease</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kidney Disease (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>vs. RFSA vs. LA vs. US vs. HP2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25.5 27.2 15.2</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>better similar worse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alzheimer's Disease</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer's Disease (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>vs. RFSA vs. LA vs. US vs. HP2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>37.9 32.1 25.0</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>better similar worse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arthritis</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Arthritis/Rheumatism</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>vs. RFSA vs. LA vs. US vs. HP2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23.9 20.1</td>
<td>28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [50+] Arthritis/Rheumatism</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40.4 37.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>better similar worse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrition &amp; Weight Status</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Eat 5+ Servings of Fruit or Vegetables per Day</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>vs. RFSA vs. LA vs. US vs. HP2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34.9 39.5</td>
<td>26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Eat 2+ Servings of Fruit per Day</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>48.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Eat 3+ Servings of Vegetables per Day</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Difficulty Getting Fresh Fruits &amp; Vegetables</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Adult] Has 1+ Sugar-Sweetened Drink per Day</td>
<td>65.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>69.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Adult] Has 3+ Fast Food Meals per Week</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Child [Age 2-17] Eats 5+ Fruits/Vegetables per Day</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Nutrition & Weight Status (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>vs. RFSA</th>
<th>vs. LA</th>
<th>vs. US</th>
<th>vs. HP2020</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Child [Age 2-17] Has 1+ Sugar-Sweetened Drink per Day</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Child [Age 5-17] Has 3+ Fast Food Meals per Week</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Medical Advice on Nutrition in Past Year</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Healthy Weight (BMI 18.5-24.9)</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Overweight</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Obese</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Medical Advice on Weight in Past Year</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Obese Adults] Counseled About Weight in Past Year</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Overweights] Trying to Lose Weight Both Diet/Exercise</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Children [Age 6-17] Overweight</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Children [Age 6-17] Obese</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Physical Activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>vs. RFSA</th>
<th>vs. LA</th>
<th>vs. US</th>
<th>vs. HP2020</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% [Employed] Job Entails Mostly Sitting/Standing</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% No Leisure-Time Physical Activity</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Meeting Physical Activity Guidelines</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Moderate Physical Activity</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Vigorous Physical Activity</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Strengthening Activity (2+ Times/Week)</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Walk Regularly (5+ Times Per Week For &gt;10 Minutes)</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Physical Activity (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Medical Advice on Physical Activity in Past Year</td>
<td>35.2 vs. 37.2</td>
<td>44.0 vs. 85.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Child [Age 5-17] Physically Active on a Regular Basis</td>
<td>76.9 vs. 85.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Child [Age 5-17] Moderate Physical Activity</td>
<td>53.7 vs. 63.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Child [Age 5-17] Vigorous Physical Activity</td>
<td>70.1 vs. 80.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Child [Age 5-17] Watches TV 3+ Hours per Day</td>
<td>33.9 vs. 25.3</td>
<td>39.3 vs. 36.8</td>
<td>41.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Child [Age 5-17] Non-TV Screen Time 3+ Hours per Day</td>
<td>26.6 vs. 15.3</td>
<td>15.0 vs. 13.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Child [Age 5-17] 3+ Hours per Day of Total Screen Time</td>
<td>59.0 vs. 51.4</td>
<td>54.7 vs. 48.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% &quot;Fair/Poor&quot; Local Physical Activity Opportunities</td>
<td>34.5 vs. 35.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Substance Abuse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cirrhosis/Liver Disease (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>7.3 vs. 9.0</td>
<td>8.0 vs. 8.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Chronic Drinker (Average 2+ Drinks/Day)</td>
<td>4.1 vs. 5.4</td>
<td>5.2 vs. 5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Binge Drinker (Single Occasion - 5+ Drinks Men, 4+ Women)</td>
<td>11.2 vs. 13.9</td>
<td>16.1 vs. 19.5</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Drinking &amp; Driving in Past Month</td>
<td>0.5 vs. 2.0</td>
<td>5.0 vs. 5.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Driving Drunk or Riding with Drunk Driver</td>
<td>4.9 vs. 4.2</td>
<td>8.6 vs. 8.8</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug-Induced Deaths (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>10.6 vs. 13.7</td>
<td>14.5 vs. 12.7</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Illicit Drug Use in Past Month</td>
<td>3.0 vs. 2.1</td>
<td>4.0 vs. 4.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Ever Sought Help for Alcohol or Drug Problem</td>
<td>3.1 vs. 3.8</td>
<td>4.9 vs. 3.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Tobacco Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>vs. RFSA  vs. LA  vs. US  vs. HP2020  TRENDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Current Smoker</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>22.5 25.7 14.9 12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Someone Smokes at Home</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>16.8 12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Non-Smokers] Someone Smokes in the Home</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>8.2 6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Household With Children] Someone Smokes in the Home</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>17.0 9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Smokers] Received Advice to Quit Smoking</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>60.7 67.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Smokers] Have Quit Smoking 1+ Days in Past Year</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>54.9 55.9 80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Aware of Smoking Cessation Services/Programs</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>38.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Believe Most People Think &quot;Definitely Should Not Smoke&quot;</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>37.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Use Smokeless Tobacco</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>7.7 4.0 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### General Health Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>vs. RFSA  vs. LA  vs. US  vs. HP2020  TRENDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% &quot;Fair/Poor&quot; Overall Health</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>22.2 23.0 15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Activity Limitations</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>26.2 26.1 21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% 4+ Days Health Prevented Usual Activities</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, All Causes (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>911.7</td>
<td>929.7 919.2 757.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mental Health & Mental Disorders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>vs. RFSA  vs. LA  vs. US  vs. HP2020  TRENDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% &quot;Fair/Poor&quot; Mental Health</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>13.8 11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Major Depression</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mental Health &amp; Mental Disorders (continued)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Natchitoches Parish</strong></td>
<td><strong>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Symptoms of Chronic Depression (2+ Years)</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>vs. RFSA: 29.2 vs. LA: 30.4 vs. US: 30.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs. HP2020: 30.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>vs. RFSA: 11.4 vs. LA: 11.1 vs. US: 11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs. HP2020: 10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Those With Chronic Depression] Seeking Help</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>vs. RFSA: 49.0 vs. LA: 53.0 vs. US: 64.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs. HP2020: 34.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Maternal, Infant &amp; Child Health</strong></th>
<th><strong>Natchitoches Parish</strong></th>
<th><strong>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</strong></th>
<th><strong>TREND</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Less Than Adequate Prenatal Care</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>vs. RFSA: 12.2 vs. LA: 14.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs. US: 18.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Low Birthweight Births</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>vs. RFSA: 9.9 vs. LA: 10.9 vs. US: 8.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs. HP2020: 7.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant Death Rate</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>vs. RFSA: 6.7 vs. LA: 8.5 vs. US: 6.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs. HP2020: 6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal Death Rate</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>vs. RFSA: 3.0 vs. LA: 4.7 vs. US: 4.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs. HP2020: 4.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Family Planning</strong></th>
<th><strong>Natchitoches Parish</strong></th>
<th><strong>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</strong></th>
<th><strong>TREND</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% of Births to Unwed Mothers</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>vs. RFSA: 47.4 vs. LA: 53.1 vs. US: 40.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs. HP2020: 60.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Births to Teenagers</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>vs. RFSA: 13.1 vs. LA: 11.4 vs. US: 9.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs. HP2020: 18.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Immunization &amp; Infectious Diseases</strong></th>
<th><strong>Natchitoches Parish</strong></th>
<th><strong>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</strong></th>
<th><strong>TREND</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measles per 100,000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>vs. RFSA: 0.0 vs. LA: 0.0 vs. US: 0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs. HP2020: 0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mumps per 100,000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>vs. RFSA: 0.0 vs. LA: 0.1 vs. US: 0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs. HP2020: 0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubella per 100,000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>vs. RFSA: 0.0 vs. LA: 0.0 vs. US: 0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs. HP2020: 0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pertussis per 100,000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>vs. RFSA: 0.1 vs. LA: 0.9 vs. US: 6.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs. HP2020: 0.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Immunization & Infectious Diseases (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis C Incidence per 100,000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 0.2 vs. LA 0.2 vs. US 0.3 vs. HP2020 0.3 TREND 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Age 65+] Flu Shot in Past Year</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 74.2 vs. LA 70.2 vs. US 57.5 vs. HP2020 90.0 TREND 72.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [High-Risk 18-64] Flu Shot in Past Year</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 46.1 vs. LA 45.9 vs. US 90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Age 65+] Pneumonia Vaccine Ever</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 74.0 vs. LA 69.1 vs. US 68.4 vs. HP2020 90.0 TREND 75.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [High-Risk 18-64] Pneumonia Vaccine Ever</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 41.6 vs. LA 69.1 vs. US 90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis Incidence per 100,000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 2.5 vs. LA 3.8 vs. US 3.6 vs. HP2020 1.0 TREND 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis A Incidence per 100,000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 0.4 vs. LA 0.2 vs. US 0.5 vs. HP2020 0.3 TREND 1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Sexually Transmitted Diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gonorrhea Incidence per 100,000</td>
<td>330.0</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 173.6 vs. LA 196.5 vs. US 101.0 TREND 390.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary &amp; Secondary Syphilis Incidence per 100,000</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 6.6 vs. LA 9.7 vs. US 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlamydia Incidence per 100,000</td>
<td>1,003.4</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 616.9 vs. LA 642.3 vs. US 429.6 TREND 861.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis B Incidence per 100,000</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 0.6 vs. LA 1.2 vs. US 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Unmarried 18-64] 3+ Sexual Partners in Past Year</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 9.1 vs. LA 11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Unmarried 18-64] Using Condoms</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 43.1 vs. LA 33.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## HIV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS Incidence per 100,000</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 21.0 vs. LA 26.1 vs. US 18.9 TREND 9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Age 18-44] HIV Test in the Past Year</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 28.0 vs. LA 19.3 vs. US 18.9 TREND 23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>Natchitoches Parish</td>
<td>Natchitoches Parish vs. Benchmarks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% “Fair/Poor” Condition of Neighborhood Homes</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 15.7 vs. LA 17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% “Fair/Poor” Availability of Affordable Housing</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>vs. US 48.7 vs. HP2020 38.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Displaced From Housing in Past 2 Years</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>TEND worse 9.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

better similars worse
ACCESS TO HEALTHCARE SERVICES
Health Insurance Coverage

Type of Healthcare Coverage

A total of 52.8% of Natchitoches Parish adults age 18 to 64 report having healthcare coverage through private insurance. Another 22.0% report coverage through a government-sponsored program (e.g., Medicaid, Medicare, military benefits).

Healthcare Insurance Coverage
(Among Adults Age 18 to 64; Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coverage Type</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private Insurance, Employer-Based</td>
<td>48.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Insurance, Self-Purchase</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Insurance, Unknown Type</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA/Military</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare &amp; Medicaid</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Gov’t Coverage</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Insurance/ Self-Pay           25.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: ● 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 209]
Notes: ● Reflects respondents aged 18 to 64.

Hospital & Physician Coverage

Among insured adults, the vast majority (99.3%) are at least partially covered for both physician and hospital visits.

- Statistically higher than the regional (RFSA) prevalence.
- Marks a modest (but statistically significant) increase from the 2005 survey results. Note that this item was not addressed in the initial 2002 survey.

Aspects of Healthcare Coverage
(Among Insured Adults, Excluding Medicare-Only; 2013)

Dr Visits | Hospital Stays | Both
--- | --- | ---
Natchitoches Parish | 99.3% | 97.9% | 99.3%
RFSA | 95.7% | 97.5% | 99.3%

Sources: ● 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 79]
Notes: ● Asked of all respondents with healthcare coverage (excluding those with Medicare only).

Survey respondents were asked a series of questions to determine their healthcare insurance coverage, if any, from either private or government-sponsored sources.

Note: Trends are measured against baseline data – i.e., the earliest year that data are available.
Prescription Drug Coverage

Among insured adults (excluding those with Medicare), 97.7% report having prescription coverage as part of their insurance plan.

- Higher than the RFSA figure.
- Marks a statistically significant increase since 2005.

**Insurance Covers At Least Partial Prescriptions**
(Among Insured Respondents, Excluding Those With Medicare; 2013)

![Graph showing insurance coverage percentages](image)

Sources: 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 80]
Notes: Asked of all insured respondents without Medicare.

Supplemental Medicare Coverage

Among Medicare recipients, 61.1% report that they have additional supplemental insurance.

- Comparable to what is found throughout the RFSA.
- Comparable to the prevalence among Medicare recipients nationwide.
- Lower than 2010, but statistically unchanged in Natchitoches Parish since the 2005 survey.

**Have Additional Supplemental Coverage**
(Among Recipients of Medicare; Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

![Graph showing additional supplemental coverage percentages](image)

Sources: PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 78]
Notes: Asked of all respondents with Medicare coverage.
Lack of Health Insurance Coverage

Among adults age 18 to 64, 25.0% report having no insurance coverage for healthcare expenses.

- Similar to what was found regionally.
- Similar to the state finding.
- Less favorable than the current national finding.
- The Healthy People 2020 target is universal coverage (0% uninsured).

The prevalence of adults under 65 without healthcare insurance coverage has remained unchanged in Natchitoches Parish since 2002.

Lack of Healthcare Insurance Coverage
(Among Natchitoches Parish Adults Under Age 65, 2013)

Source:
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 209]
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents under the age of 65.
- Because the CDC implemented changes to the BRFSS weighting methodology in 2011, state findings might not be directly comparable to the regional or national findings outlined in this report.

The following chart further examines lack of insurance coverage by various key demographic characteristics. Note that the following population segments are more likely to be without healthcare insurance coverage:

- Residents living at lower incomes (note the 57.9% uninsured prevalence among very low income adults).
- Black residents.
Impact of Poor Access

Uninsured adults in Natchitoches Parish are much less likely to receive routine care and preventive health screenings, and much more likely to encounter healthcare access difficulties.

Preventive Healthcare
(By Insured Status; Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

Sources: ● 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 18, 23, 40, 43, 210, 213]
Notes: ● Asked of all respondents.
Difficulties Accessing Healthcare

Access to quality care is important to eliminate health disparities and increase the quality and years of healthy life for all persons in the United States. Access to high-quality healthcare across each of the components in the continuum of care must be improved to realize the full potential of prevention. For example, success in reducing the burden of heart disease and narrowing the gap in heart disease outcomes between different racial groups will depend on several factors. These factors include ensuring access to clinical preventive services, such as blood pressure and cholesterol screening; effective primary care to educate people about modifiable risk factors, such as smoking, and to manage effectively chronic conditions like hypertension; high-quality emergency services to improve outcomes of acute cardiac events; and access to rehabilitative and long-term care for heart disease patients.

Improving access to appropriate preventive care requires addressing many barriers, including those that involve the patient, provider, and system of care. Patient barriers include lack of knowledge, skepticism about the effectiveness of prevention, lack of a usual source of primary care, and lack of money to pay for preventive care. Having health insurance, a high income, and a primary care provider are strong predictors that a person will receive appropriate preventive care.


Difficulties Accessing Services

A total of 39.3% of Natchitoches Parish adults report some type of difficulty or delay in obtaining healthcare services in the past year.

• Comparable to what was found throughout the RFSA.
• Comparable to the national figure.

Denotes no significant change since 2002.

Experienced Difficulties or Delays of Some Kind in Receiving Needed Healthcare in the Past Year

Sources: ● PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 213] ● 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes: ● Asked of all respondents.
Note that the following demographic groups more often report difficulties accessing healthcare services:

- Women.
- Adults under the age of 65.
- Low income, and especially very low income residents.
- Blacks.

**Experienced Difficulties or Delays of Some Kind in Receiving Needed Healthcare in the Past Year**
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>18 to 39</th>
<th>40 to 64</th>
<th>65+</th>
<th>Very Low Income</th>
<th>Low Income</th>
<th>Middle/High Income</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>%</strong></td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>45.0%</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
<td>57.3%</td>
<td>40.3%</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
<td>26.4%</td>
<td>59.7%</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. (Item 213)

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: “very low income” = below poverty; “low income” = 100% to 200% of poverty; “middle/high income” = over 200% of poverty.

To better understand healthcare access barriers, survey participants were asked whether any of six types of barriers to access prevented them from seeing a physician or obtaining a needed prescription in the past year.

Again, these percentages reflect the total population, regardless of whether medical care was needed or sought.

**Barriers to Healthcare Access**

Of the tested barriers, **cost of doctor visits** impacted the greatest share of Natchitoches Parish adults (18.5% say that cost prevented a physician visit in the past year).

- The proportion of Natchitoches Parish adults impacted was statistically comparable to that found regionally for each of the tested barriers except **difficulty finding a physician** (which was statistically less favorable than the regional finding).

- The proportion of Natchitoches Parish adults impacted was statistically comparable to that found nationwide for each of the tested barriers, with the exceptions of **difficulty finding a physician** (which was statistically less favorable than the national finding) and **difficulty getting an appointment** (which was statistically more favorable than the national finding).
Barriers to Access Have Prevented Medical Care in the Past Year

Natchitoches Parish  RFSA  US

Sources: ● 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 9-14]
● 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes: ● Asked of all respondents.

Compared to baseline 2002 data, Natchitoches Parish has improved for cost of prescriptions and difficulty getting an appointment. All other barriers have remained statistically unchanged from baseline survey results.

Trend in Access Barriers
(Natchitoches Parish)

Sources: ● PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 9-14]
Notes: ● Asked of all respondents.
As might be expected, those without health insurance are much more likely to report these access barriers when compared to the insured population in Natchitoches Parish.

**Barriers to Healthcare Access**
(By Insured Status, 18+; Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

- **Uninsured**
- **Insured**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Barriers</th>
<th>Uninsured</th>
<th>Insured</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost (Doctor Visit)</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost (Prescriptions)</td>
<td>60.1%</td>
<td>51.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finding a Doctor</td>
<td>40.1%</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getting a Dr Appointment</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Transportation</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconvenient Office Hours</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Accessing Healthcare for Children**

A total of 0.5% of parents say there was a time in the past year when they needed medical care for their child, but were unable to get it.

- More favorable than what is found throughout the RFSA.
- More favorable than the percentage reported nationwide.
- Marks a significant improvement over time.

**Had Trouble Obtaining Medical Care for Child in the Past Year**
(Natchitoches Parish Parents of Children <18, 2013)

Surveyed parents were also asked if, within the past year, they experienced any trouble receiving medical care for a randomly-selected child in their household.
Related Focus Group Findings: Access to Healthcare Services

Many of the key informants participating in the focus group are concerned with access to healthcare, discussing such issues as:

- Barriers to accessing healthcare
- Income and education levels
- Under-insured or uninsured population
- Medicaid reimbursement rate
- Transportation
- Access to specialists

Focus group participants feel that residents encounter several barriers when trying to access healthcare services in the community. Attendees believe that health disparities exist based upon residents' income and education level. Many residents are undereducated and do not think about long-term health consequences or the importance of preventive healthcare. Other community members lead busy lives and healthcare is not seen as a priority. One respondent explains:

“I see people that have the means and the education to get the treatment but it’s a disregard, ‘I’ll check on it later,’ or ‘I’ve had this for years,’ and they don’t seek the attention that they should need and then it’s too late. I’m surprised how many people we find that that’s happened to. So it’s not just the things you’re talking about but the people that just, ‘I’m too busy for that now. As soon as this crop is in, I’ll get it done.’ And then the next thing you know, it’s too late.” — Natchitoches Parish Key Informant

Focus group members feel that many residents are also under-insured or uninsured, limiting their access to healthcare services. The underinsured population includes the working poor, those individuals who may qualify for employer insurance but the deductibles are too high or the monthly employee cost too much, so they elect to go without. Rural health clinics and Federally Qualified Health Centers operate in the parish with sliding-fee schedules, but participants would like to see more clinics. In Natchitoches Parish, an independent clinic on Tulane Street also will accept any insurance carrier, but does not provide free care.

Some residents may qualify for Medicaid, but finding a provider who accepts that insurance can prove difficult. Attendees agree that the number of physicians who accept Medicaid has decreased in recent years, due to the low reimbursement rate and amount of complicated paperwork required. Many physicians will have trouble keeping the doors open if they accept a large number of Medicaid patients or if they operate their practice based on reimbursement guidelines. A key informant explains the difficulty finding a provider to accept Medicaid insurance:

“I had a lady who called me and said, ‘My husband needs a physical and can we come there? Nobody else will see him.’ I said, ‘Sure. I guess so.’ So I open the door and I go out and there’s two people coming down the sidewalk. They both have walking canes. They’re both blind, totally, and she’s carrying a baby in a pouch. Now first I thought it was a dog but it wasn’t. It was a six-month old baby. Anyway, they came in. What it was he had Medicaid. No doctor in town would take him...There’s a lot of stories just like that.” — Natchitoches Parish Key Informant
Transportation can also act as a barrier, with many local families depending on one car for the entire family, and others do not have any personal vehicles. Parish residents may also struggle to get to the free screenings, or other preventative healthcare activities.

In addition to struggling with overall access to healthcare services, many participants worry that community members do not have access to specialists due to the low number of local specialty providers, or specialty providers who will accept Medicare/Medicaid. Many community members must travel over an hour to obtain specialty or emergency care, which frustrates key informants:

“The medical center is a good medical center for this size community, but you can’t compare it to say Jackson Medical Center in Florida or any of the major trauma centers. So when we have life-threatening illnesses, good care is an hour away at best, if you can afford it, and if you can’t afford to be transported, then you’re going to say goodbye to that loved one.” — Natchitoches Parish Key Informant
Primary Care Services

Improving primary care across the nation depends in part on ensuring that people have a usual source of care. Having a primary care provider as the usual source of care is especially important because of the beneficial attributes of primary care. These benefits include the provision of integrated, accessible healthcare services by clinicians who are accountable for addressing a large majority of personal healthcare needs, developing a sustained partnership with patients, and practicing in the context of family and community. Increasing the number and proportion of members of underrepresented racial and ethnic groups who are primary care providers also is important because they are more likely to practice in areas where health services are in short supply and in areas with high percentages of underrepresented racial and ethnic populations.


Specific Source of Ongoing Care

A total of 74.5% of Natchitoches Parish adults were determined to have a specific source of ongoing medical care.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Statistically similar to national findings.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target.
- Statistically unchanged in Natchitoches Parish since 2005.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>74.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>73.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>76.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Notes: Asked of all respondents.
When viewed by demographic characteristics, the following population segments are less likely to have a specific source of care:

- Men.
- Adults under age 65 (note positive correlation with age).
- Very low income adults.

**Have a Specific Source of Ongoing Medical Care**
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

Healthy People 2020 Target = 95.0% or Higher
[18-64] Healthy People 2020 Target = 89.4% or Higher
[65+] Healthy People 2020 Target = 100%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Place Used for Medical Care</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Middle/High Income</th>
<th>Low Income</th>
<th>Very Low Income</th>
<th>18 to 39</th>
<th>40 to 64</th>
<th>65+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr's Office</td>
<td>52.9%</td>
<td>79.2%</td>
<td>77.1%</td>
<td>86.9%</td>
<td>83.4%</td>
<td>77.6%</td>
<td>72.5%</td>
<td>74.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinic</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td>66.4%</td>
<td>77.1%</td>
<td>78.5%</td>
<td>50.4%</td>
<td>18-64</td>
<td>71.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td>69.0%</td>
<td>66.4%</td>
<td>78.5%</td>
<td>83.4%</td>
<td>50.4%</td>
<td>78.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/VA</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital ER</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Type of Place Used for Medical Care**

When asked where they usually go if they are sick or need advice about their health, the greatest share of respondents (52.9%) identified a particular doctor’s office (higher than the 45.8% reported nationwide).

A total of 16.2% say they usually go to some type of clinic (lower than the 26.2% across the US), while 5.0% visit some type of military/VA facility (higher than the 3.1% national prevalence) and 7.4% rely on a hospital emergency room (more than twice the 2.7% US figure).
Routine Medical Care

Adults

A total of 70.8% of adults visited a physician for a routine checkup in the past year.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- More favorable than national findings.
- Statistically unchanged from baseline findings.

Have Visited a Physician for a Checkup in the Past Year

When viewed by demographic characteristics, the following populations are less likely to have received routine care in the past year:

- Men.
- Younger residents (note the positive correlation with age).
- Very low-income residents.
- Whites.

Have Visited a Physician for a Checkup in the Past Year

(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)
Among surveyed parents, 92.5% report that their child has had a routine checkup in the past year.

- Similar to regional findings.
- Higher than national findings.
- Similar to the proportion of children’s routine checkups since 2002.

**Child Has Visited a Physician for a Routine Checkup in the Past Year**
(Natchitoches Parish Parents of Children <18, 2013)

![Bar chart showing percentage of children visiting a physician for a routine checkup in Natchitoches Parish, RFSA, and United States from 2002 to 2013.]
Medically Underserved Areas/Populations (MUAs/MUPs)

Medically Underserved Areas/Populations are areas or populations designated by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) as having: too few primary care providers; high infant mortality; high poverty; and/or high elderly population.

Note in the following map that each of the nine parishes in the Rapides Foundation Service Area — including Natchitoches Parish — is designated as a Medically Underserved Area/Population.

Health Professional Shortage Areas: Primary Care

Health Professional Shortage Area (HPSA) designations are approved by the federal Office of Shortage Designation (OSD) in the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) located in Rockville, Maryland. Louisiana’s Bureau of Primary Care and Rural Health (BPCRH) typically submits requests pertaining to areas within the state. Designated HPSAs are valid for three years and are reviewed in the last year. Upon review, if the area continues to qualify, an updated request is submitted to OSD.

Several assistance programs use HPSA designations as a requirement when approving grants and other funding. These include J-1 Visa Waivers, National Health Service Corps Scholar and Loan Repayment Programs, Louisiana’s State Loan Repayment Program, the 10% Bonus Medicare Incentive Program (geographic HPSAs only), designating rural health clinics (RHCs) and federally qualified health centers (FQHCs), and several grants.

Primary Care designations pertain to an area’s access to physicians that practice principally in one of the following: family practice, general practice, internal medicine, pediatrics, and OB/GYN. A ratio is used to measure the level of primary care access. To be
considered underserved a ratio of ≥3,500 possible patients to one (1) primary care physician FTE (full-time equivalent) is usually required. The ratio is 3,000:1 for High Needs (High Needs is used if the 200% Federal Poverty Level for the area is over 20%). Provider FTEs are determined by taking the number of hours per week the physician spends in primary care services, either in-office or on-rounds at the hospital, divided by 40. The total of these FTEs is divided by the total resident/civilian population of the area.

For each of the three HPSA Designation types, there are three sub-categories, which include:

- **Geographic designations**—these take into account the entire population of the requested area to all available primary care physicians.

- **Population Group designations**—these are special groups. The most common of these are Low Income and Medicaid-Eligible designations. Low income designations use a ratio built upon the low income population of the area and the physicians providing services to this population. Medicaid-eligible designations are based on the number of Medicaid-eligible people and the physicians that accept Medicaid.

- **Facility designations**—these look at a facility’s outpatient census, waiting times, patients’ residences and in-house faculty to evaluate a facility’s designation eligibility.

A portion of central Natchitoches Parish is a geographically designated HPSA.

![Primary Care HPSA Map of Louisiana](http://new.dhh.louisiana.gov/assets/oph/pcrh/10-03-2012_PC_MAP.jpg)

Degree of shortage is based on the ratio of the relevant population to one (1) full-time equivalency (FTE) primary care physician.
Vision Care

A total of 48.9% of Natchitoches Parish adults have had an eye exam in the past two years during which their pupils were dilated.

- Lower than regional (RFSA) findings.
- Lower than national findings.
- Marks a significant increase over time.

**Had an Eye Exam in the Past Two Years During Which the Pupils Were Dilated**

![Bar chart](chart1)

Sources:  
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 21]
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes:  
- Asked of all respondents.

Recent vision care is less often reported among:

- Men
- Young adults (note positive correlation with age).
- Residents with lower incomes (note positive correlation with income).

**Had an Eye Exam in the Past Two Years During Which the Pupils Were Dilated**

(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

![Bar chart](chart2)

Sources:  
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 21]

Notes:  
- Asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size:  “very low income” = below poverty; “low income” = 110% to 200% of poverty; “middle/high income” = over 200% of poverty.
Dental Care

Adults

A total of 52.2% of Natchitoches Parish adults have visited a dentist or dental clinic within the past year.

- Nearly identical to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Lower than found statewide.
- Lower than found nationally.
- Similar to the Healthy People 2020 goal (49.0% or higher).

Although appear to be declining, the change in Natchitoches Parish since 2002 is not statistically significant.

Have Visited a Dentist or Dental Clinic Within the Past Year

![Chart showing the percentage of adults who have visited a dentist or dental clinic within the past year for Natchitoches Parish, RFSA, Louisiana, and United States from 2002 to 2013.]

Healthy People 2020 Target = 49.0% or Higher

Sources:
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 22]
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
- Because the CDC implemented changes to the BRFSS weighting methodology in 2011, state findings might not be directly comparable to the regional or national findings outlined in this report.
Recent dental care in the service area is less often reported among lower-income adults (especially), and Blacks.

**Have Visited a Dentist or Dental Clinic Within the Past Year**
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Category</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>18 to 39</th>
<th>40 to 64</th>
<th>65+</th>
<th>Very Low Income</th>
<th>Low Income</th>
<th>Middle/High Income</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>52.7%</td>
<td>51.8%</td>
<td>57.0%</td>
<td>48.5%</td>
<td>46.1%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>50.5%</td>
<td>70.7%</td>
<td>60.1%</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: ● 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 22]

Notes: ● Asked of all respondents.
Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: very low income = below poverty; low income = 100% to 200% of poverty; middle/high income = over 200% of poverty.

**Children**

A total of 86.1% of Natchitoches Parish parents report that their child (age 2 to 17) has been to a dentist or dental clinic within the past year.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Similar to national findings.
- Satisfies the Healthy People 2020 goal (49.0% or higher).
- Statistically unchanged over time.

**Child Has Visited a Dentist or Dental Clinic Within the Past Year**
(Natchitoches Parish Parents of Children Age 2-17)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>84.1%</td>
<td>84.1%</td>
<td>85.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>86.1%</td>
<td>86.1%</td>
<td>86.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: ● 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 138]
● 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes: ● Asked of all respondents with children aged 2-17 at home.
Health Professional Shortage Areas: Dental Care

Health Professional Shortage Area (HPSA) designations are approved by the federal Office of Shortage Designation (OSD) in the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) located in Rockville, Maryland. Louisiana’s Bureau of Primary Care and Rural Health (BPCRH) typically submits requests pertaining to areas within the state. Designated HPSAs are valid for three years and are reviewed in the last year. Upon review, if the area continues to qualify, an updated request is submitted to OSD.

Dental designations (like primary care designations) are approved by the Shortage Designation Branch. These are designated on a similar ratio scheme. Dental FTEs are calculated by starting with the number of hours of patient care worked per week provided by the dentist. The FTE is then weighted according to the dentist’s age and number of in-house assistants the dentist employs. A ratio of ≥5,000 possible patients to one (1) dentist FTE is required or 4,000:1 for High Needs areas.

For each of the three HPSA Designation types, there are three sub-categories, which include:

- **Geographic designations**—these take into account the entire population of the requested area to all available dentists.

- **Population Group designations**—these are special groups. The most common of these are Low Income and Medicaid-Eligible designations. Low income designations use a ratio built upon the low income population of the area and the physicians providing services to this population. Medicaid-eligible designations are based on the number of Medicaid-eligible people and the physicians that accept Medicaid.

- **Facility designations**—these look at a facility’s outpatient census, waiting times, patients’ residences and in-house faculty to evaluate a facility’s designation eligibility.

Natchitoches Parish is a geographically designated HPSA for dental care.
Healthcare Information Sources

According to survey data, family physicians and the Internet are residents’ primary sources of healthcare information.

- 52.6% of adults cited their **family physician** as their primary source of healthcare information.
- 17.5% of adults cited the **Internet** as their primary source of healthcare information.

### Primary Source of Healthcare Information
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

- Family Doctor 52.6%
- Internet 17.5%
- Work 3.0%
- Books/Magazines 3.2%
- Hospital Publications 2.7%
- Friends/Relatives 5.5%
- Other 12.9%
- Don’t Receive Any 2.6%

**Sources:** 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.  
**Notes:** Asked of all respondents.

Related Focus Group Findings: Health Education & Prevention

Focus group attendees agree that health education is a critical component in creating and maintaining healthy lifestyles. Primary concerns among participants include:

- Overall low educational attainment
- Low health literacy
- Lack of preventative healthcare
- Capitalize on relationships with faith-based organizations

Focus group participants agree that health education is an important aspect of prevention and improving the overall health of community members, but that the community suffers from **overall low educational attainment**. Organizations need to first work to improve the level of education residents possess. Many community members lack basic health knowledge and the community possesses **low health literacy levels**. Agencies need to tailor their messages accordingly and provide education at appropriate age and reading levels.

“It’s distressing to me to see how young the population is that comes to the clinic that No. 1, are already diabetic that are 30-year-old mothers who already have peripheral neuropathy from diabetes. Now the educational level of the individuals as well, you try and give them the information and the education and unfortunately, it doesn’t go very far. It’s every aspect of their environment, their home, and what they have to deal with in order to live every day.” — Natchitoches Parish Key Informant
Other residents do not take ownership of their health and do not think about, or value, preventative healthcare. Residents think that if they feel “fine,” then they do not need to visit a healthcare provider for an annual physical or lab work:

“I mean people have come in and said, ‘I need my blood pressure checked because I went to work and the nurse told me I had to come here.’ I say, ‘When’s the last time you had lab done?’ ‘Can’t remember.’ ‘Good. Well, let’s do it. Let’s see what you’re looking at.’ Then when I finally get them back, not only are they high blood pressure but they’re also diabetic that they didn’t know that they have been for probably years and they also have high cholesterol that I can’t hardly measure on the chart.” — Natchitoches Parish Key Informant

Other residents may just push their health aside because of their busy lifestyle:

“I see people that have the means and the education to get the treatment but it’s a disregard, ‘I’ll check on it later,’ or ‘I’ve had this for years,’ and they don’t seek the attention that they should need and then it’s too late. I’m surprised how many people we find that that’s happened to. So it’s not just the things you’re talking about but the people that just, ‘I’m too busy for that now. As soon as this crop is in, I’ll get it done.’” — Natchitoches Parish Key Informant

Focus group attendees also believe that local non-profits need to capitalize on relationships which the faith-based organizations have in the community. Local healthcare agencies must work with the churches and educate between services and at other church events.
Emergency Room Services

A total of 14.6% of adults throughout Natchitoches Parish have gone to a hospital emergency room more than once in the past year about their own health.

- Similar to the regional (RFSA) prevalence.
- Less favorable than the national prevalence.
- Statistically unchanged from the previous findings.

Have Used a Hospital Emergency Room More Than Once in the Past Year

Among those residents reporting recent use of the ER, 37.4% used the ER because it was a weekend or after-hours, while 32.6% mentioned that it was an emergency situation and 23.9% cited various access issues.

When asked why they used the ER instead of a doctor’s office, 37.4% say this was during after-hours or on the weekend (higher than the 17.9% reported nationally), while 32.6% indicated that the visit was an emergency situation (lower than the 67.5% across the US) and 23.9% cited some type of primary care access barrier (higher than the 6.2% nationally).
Note that multiple ER visits were most often noted among:

- Residents living at lower incomes (note the negative correlation).
- Blacks.

**Have Used a Hospital Emergency Room More Than Once in the Past Year**
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

Sources:
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 23]

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: very low income” = below poverty; “low income” = 100% to 200% of poverty; “middle/high income” = over 200% of poverty.
DEATH & DISABILITY
Leading Causes of Death

Distribution of Deaths by Cause

Together, cardiovascular disease (including both heart disease and stroke) and cancers accounted for just under one-half of all deaths in Natchitoches Parish between 2008 and 2010.

The following chart shows crude mortality (death) rates by age groups in Natchitoches Parish, in comparison with state and national rates. Crude death rates represent the number of deaths in relation to the population size (such as deaths per 100,000 population, as is used here).

- Compared to regional and state rates, Natchitoches Parish mortality rates among adults age 45-64 and among 65+ are similar.
- Compared to national rates, Natchitoches Parish mortality rates among adults age 45-64 and among 65+ are particularly higher.
- Infant deaths (under age 1) are also notably higher compared to the nation.
In addition, the following table provides a breakout of the top three leading causes of death by age group in the Rapides Foundation Service Area between 2008 and 2010 (note that this level of detail is not available at the parish level).

- Note that accidents are the leading cause of death in RFSA residents age 1 to 44; past age 44, cardiovascular disease (heart disease and stroke) emerge as the leading cause of death.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#1 Perinatal Conditions</th>
<th>#2 Congenital Conditions</th>
<th>#3 Accidents (non-transport)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accidents (namely motor vehicle, drowning, and smoke/fire)</td>
<td>Congenital Conditions</td>
<td>Homicide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accidents (mostly motor vehicle)</td>
<td>Cardiovascular Disease</td>
<td>Suicide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accidents</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular Disease</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Accidents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular Disease</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age-Adjusted Death Rates: All Causes

In order to compare rates among localities (parish to parish, as well as against Louisiana and United States rates) without undue bias toward younger or older populations, the common convention is to adjust the data to some common baseline age distribution. Use of these “age-adjusted” rates provides the most valuable means of gauging mortality against benchmark data, as well as Healthy People 2020 targets.
Between 2008-2010, there was an annual average of 911.7 age-adjusted deaths per 100,000 population.

- Similar to the RFSA rate.
- Similar to the Louisiana rate.
- Well above the national mortality rate.

All Causes: Age-Adjusted Mortality
(2008-2010 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

Viewed by race, the age-adjusted rate for all causes of death is somewhat higher among Blacks than among Whites in Natchitoches Parish (as it is regionally, statewide and nationally).

All Causes: Age-Adjusted Mortality by Race
(2008-2010 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)
Note the generally decreasing trend in age-adjusted mortality for all causes in Natchitoches Parish. This downward trend can also be seen statewide and nationally.

### All Causes: Age-Adjusted Mortality Trends

(Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natchitoches Parish</td>
<td>1001</td>
<td>1023.5</td>
<td>1089.3</td>
<td>1104.6</td>
<td>985.8</td>
<td>949.9</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>911.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>1063.5</td>
<td>1066.7</td>
<td>1067.3</td>
<td>1027.0</td>
<td>970.1</td>
<td>918.7</td>
<td>912.5</td>
<td>929.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>1011.8</td>
<td>1013.9</td>
<td>1008.9</td>
<td>991.2</td>
<td>973.9</td>
<td>948.0</td>
<td>930.4</td>
<td>919.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>852.7</td>
<td>837.7</td>
<td>824.1</td>
<td>806.8</td>
<td>794.0</td>
<td>780.7</td>
<td>766.6</td>
<td>757.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2013.

Notes:
- Deaths from 1999 forward are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10); pre-1999 data were coded using ICD-9 coding.
- Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
- State and national data are simple three-year averages; the RFSA three-year average is weighted by population.
- NOTE: 2006-2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.

### Age-Adjusted Death Rates for Selected Causes

The following chart outlines 2008-2010 annual average age-adjusted death rates per 100,000 population for selected causes of death in Natchitoches Parish.

Note that Natchitoches Parish death rates are worse than US rates for heart disease, unintentional injuries, kidney disease, motor vehicle deaths, pneumonia/influenza, firearm-related deaths, homicide, and HIV/AIDS.

With the exceptions of stroke, drug-induced deaths and cirrhosis/liver disease, Natchitoches Parish death rates also fail to meet available Healthy People 2020 objectives.
Age-Adjusted Death Rates for Selected Causes
(2008-2010* Deaths per 100,000 Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease Category</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>US</th>
<th>HP2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diseases of the Heart</td>
<td>219.1</td>
<td>246.6</td>
<td>232.6</td>
<td>184.7</td>
<td>158.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant Neoplasms (Cancers)</td>
<td>176.1</td>
<td>203.6</td>
<td>200.6</td>
<td>174.2</td>
<td>160.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unintentional Injuries</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease (CLRD)</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney Diseases</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular Disease (Stroke)</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>33.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicle Deaths</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia/Influenza</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s Disease</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes Mellitus</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>20.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firearm-Related</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug-Induced</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intentional Self-Harm (Suicide)</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homicide/Legal Intervention</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS*</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cirrhosis/Liver Disease</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:
- CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics.
- Data extracted July 2013.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, Health, United States, 2004.

Note:
- Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population and coded using ICD-10 codes.
- Parish, state and national data are simple three-year averages, the RFSA three-year averages are weighted by population.
- Due to low numbers of deaths, Natchitoches Parish rates for suicide, homicide, HIV/AIDS, and cirrhosis represent 2001-2010 data; the drug-induced rate represents 2006-2010 data.
- *The Healthy People 2020 Heart Disease target is adjusted to account for all diseases of the heart; the Diabetes target is adjusted to reflect only diabetes mellitus-coded deaths.

Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL)

According to County Health Rankings (www.countyhealthrankings.org):

YPLL is a widely used measure of the rate and distribution of premature mortality. The measure was introduced mainly because simple mortality rates do not fully address the issue of premature death, the impact of disease and death, and their cost to society.

YPLL emphasizes deaths of younger persons, whereas statistics that include all mortality are dominated by deaths of the elderly. For example, using YPLL-75, a death at age 55 counts twice as much as a death at age 65, and a death at age 35 counts eight times as much as a death at age 70. Including all mortality instead of YPLL could draw attention to areas with higher mortality rates among the oldest segment of the population, where there may be little that can be done to change chronic health problems that have developed over many years.

YPLL is not without weaknesses. The measure can be difficult for lay people and public health practitioners to interpret. Further, deaths that occur after the age limit are not accounted for at all. Because of this, YPLL can fail to completely capture the burden of chronic disease, especially if the age cut-off is set too low.

In Natchitoches Parish in 2008-2009, there was an age-adjusted rate of 9,781 years of potential life lost (before age 75) per 100,000 population.

- Similar to the statewide YPLL rate.
- Well above the national YPLL benchmark (which represents the 90th percentile of all states).
Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Before Age 75
(2008-2009 Age-Adjusted Years per 100,000 Population)

Sources: ● National Center for Health Statistics and County Health Rankings: www.countyhealthrankings.org.
Notes: ● Premature death is represented by the years of potential life lost before age 75 (YPLL-75). Every death occurring before the age of 75 contributes to the total number of years of potential life lost. For example, a person dying at age 25 contributes 50 years of life lost, whereas a person who dies at age 65 contributes 10 years of life lost to a parish’s YPLL. The YPLL measure is presented as a rate per 100,000 population and is age-adjusted to the 2000 US population.
● "US Benchmark is the 90th percentile among all US states.

Related Focus Group Findings: Chronic Disease

All participants agree that chronic disease conditions persist in the community, and that many of these are preventable. Focus group participants mentioned the following chronic health conditions which continue to affect the community: diabetes, hypertension, obesity, cardiovascular diseases, substance abuse, and cancer.
Cardiovascular Disease

Heart disease and stroke—the principal components of cardiovascular disease—are leading causes of death in the United States.

- About 950,000 adults die of heart disease or stroke each year, which amounts to one death every 33 seconds.
- Although heart disease and stroke are often thought to affect men and older people primarily, it is also a major killer of women and people in the prime of life. More than half of those who die of heart disease or stroke each year are women.
- Each year, about 63 of every 100,000 deaths are due to stroke.

Looking at only deaths due to heart disease or stroke, however, understates the health effects of these two conditions:

- About 61 million adults (almost one-fourth of the population) live with the effects of stroke or heart disease.
- Heart disease is a leading cause of disability among working adults.
- Stroke alone accounts for the disability of more than 1 million adults.
- Almost 6 million hospitalizations each year are due to heart disease or stroke.
- About 4.5 million stroke survivors are alive today.

The economic effects of heart disease and stroke on the US healthcare system grow larger as the population ages. In 2001, for example, the [nationwide] cost for all cardiovascular diseases was $300 billion: for heart disease the cost was $105 billion; for stroke, $28 billion. Lost productivity due to stroke and heart disease cost more than $129 billion.

– National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Age-Adjusted Heart Disease & Stroke Deaths

Heart Disease Deaths

Between 2008 and 2010, there was an annual average age-adjusted heart disease mortality rate of 219.1 deaths per 100,000 population in Natchitoches Parish.

- Lower than the regional rate.
- Lower than what was found statewide.
- Higher than the national rate.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 objective (adjusted to account for all diseases of the heart).
Heart Disease: Age-Adjusted Mortality
(2008-2010 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

By race, the age-adjusted heart disease mortality rate is higher among Blacks in Natchitoches Parish.

Heart Disease: Age-Adjusted Mortality by Race
(2008-2010 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

Sources: ● CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2013.

Notes:
● Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
● Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
● The Healthy People 2020 Heart Disease target is adjusted to account for all diseases of the heart.
● NOTE: 2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.

Healthy People 2020 Target = 158.9 or Lower (Adjusted)
Mortality rates have decreased across Natchitoches Parish over time, echoing the decreasing trends across Louisiana and the US overall.

**Heart Disease: Age-Adjusted Mortality Trends**
(Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

![Heart Disease Mortality Trends Graph](image)

Sources:
- CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2013.

Notes:
- Deaths from 1999 forward are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10); pre-1999 data were coded using ICD-9 coding.
- Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
- NOTE: 2006-2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.

**Stroke Deaths**

Between 2008 and 2010, there was an annual average age-adjusted stroke mortality rate of 31.1 deaths per 100,000 population in Natchitoches Parish.

- Lower than the regional rate.
- Lower than the Louisiana rate.
- Lower than the national rate.
- Satisfies the Health People 2020 target.

**Stroke: Age-Adjusted Mortality**
(2008-2010* Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

![Stroke Mortality Rates Graph](image)

Sources:
- CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2013.

Notes:
- Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
- Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
- NOTE: 2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.
Stroke deaths are notably higher in the Black population in the region and state, but are very similar by race in Natchitoches Parish.

**Stroke: Age-Adjusted Mortality by Race**
*(2001-2010 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Healthy People 2020 Target = 33.8 or Lower</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>40.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>55.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
- Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
- NOTE: 2006-2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.

**Prevalence of Heart Disease & Stroke**

**Prevalence of Heart Disease**

A total of 5.6% of area adults report that they suffer from or have been diagnosed with heart disease, such as coronary heart disease, angina or heart attack.

- Better than regional findings.
- Similar to the national prevalence.
- The prevalence of chronic heart disease in Natchitoches Parish has remained unchanged since the 2002 survey was conducted.

**Notes:**
- Asked of all respondents.
Adults more likely to have been diagnosed with chronic heart disease include:

- Adults 40+ (note the strong positive correlation with age).

**Prevalence of Heart Disease**
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

Prevalence of Stroke

A total of 4.6% of surveyed adults report that they suffer from or have been diagnosed with cerebrovascular disease (a stroke).

- Similar to regional findings.
- Similar to statewide findings.
- Similar to national findings.

The prevalence of stroke in Natchitoches Parish has not changed significantly since 2002.

Note the stroke prevalence among Natchitoches Parish seniors (7.5%), which is statistically similar to what is found among seniors nationwide.

**Prevalence of Stroke**
Cardiovascular Risk Factors

Hypertension (High Blood Pressure)

High blood pressure is known as the “silent killer” and remains a major risk factor for coronary heart disease, stroke, and heart failure. About 50 million adults in the United States have high blood pressure.


High Blood Pressure Testing

A total of 96.7% of Natchitoches Parish adults have had their blood pressure tested within the past two years.

- Similar to regional findings.
- Higher than national findings.
- Satisfies the Healthy People 2020 target.

Hypertension screening has remained statistically unchanged in Natchitoches Parish over time.

Have Had Blood Pressure Checked in the Past 2 Years

Healthy People 2020 Target = 92.6% or Higher

![Graph showing blood pressure testing rates](image)

Sources: ● PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. (Item 40)
● 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes: ● Asked of all respondents.

Prevalence of Hypertension

A full 43.3% of adults have been told at some point that their blood pressure was high (an additional 0.7% have not been tested in the past five years).

- Similar to the RFSA prevalence.
- Similar to the Louisiana prevalence.
- Less favorable than the national prevalence.
- Far from satisfying the Healthy People 2020 target.

Although increasing, the Natchitoches Parish prevalence of hypertension has not changed significantly since 2002.

Note that 75.5% of hypertensive residents have been diagnosed more than once.
Prevalence of High Blood Pressure

Sources:
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 38, 159]
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
- Because the CDC implemented changes to the BRFSS weighting methodology in 2011, state findings might not be directly comparable to the regional or national findings outlined in this report.

Hypertension diagnoses are higher among:

- Adults age 40 and older (note the positive correlation with age).
- Blacks.

Prevalence of High Blood Pressure
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

Sources:
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 159]

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: very low income = below poverty, “low income” = 100% to 200% of poverty; “middle/high income” = over 200% of poverty.

Hypertension Management

Among respondents who have been told that their blood pressure was high, 86.3% report that they are currently taking actions to control their condition, such as through medication, diet and/or exercise.

- Less favorable than regional findings.
- Similar to national findings.

Over time, the prevalence of hypertensive adults in Natchitoches Parish who are taking action to control their high blood pressure has not changed.
High Blood Cholesterol

High blood cholesterol is a major risk factor for coronary heart disease that can be modified. More than 50 million US adults have blood cholesterol levels that require medical advice and treatment. More than 90 million adults have cholesterol levels that are higher than desirable. Experts recommend that all adults age 20 years and older have their cholesterol levels checked at least once every 5 years to help them take action to prevent or lower their risk of coronary heart disease. Lifestyle changes that prevent or lower high blood cholesterol include eating a diet low in saturated fat and cholesterol, increasing physical activity, and reducing excess weight.


Blood Cholesterol Testing

A total of 83.3% of Natchitoches Parish adults have had their blood cholesterol checked within the past five years.

- Similar to regional findings.
- More favorable than Louisiana findings.
- Similar to the national percentage.
- Similar to the Healthy People 2020 target.

Since 2002, the prevalence of Natchitoches Parish adults with recent cholesterol screenings has not changed significantly.
The following demographic segments report a lower prevalence of recent cholesterol screenings:

- Young adults.
- Residents with very low incomes.

Self-Reported High Blood Cholesterol

Nearly one-third (31.1%) of adults have been told by a health professional that their cholesterol level was high (an additional 22.0% have not had their cholesterol tested in the past five years).

- Similar to regional findings.
- More favorable than Louisiana findings.
- Similar to the national prevalence.
- More than twice the Healthy People 2020 target.
Since 2002, the Natchitoches Parish prevalence of high cholesterol has increased significantly.

Prevalence of High Blood Cholesterol

Sources:
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 160]
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
- The Louisiana data reflects those adults who have been tested for high cholesterol and who have been diagnosed with it.
- Because the CDC implemented changes to the BRFSS weighting methodology in 2011, state findings might not be directly comparable to the regional or national findings outlined in this report.

Note the positive correlation between age and high blood cholesterol diagnoses.

In addition, note that “unknowns” (not tested in the past five years) are relatively high in young adults and low income residents (not shown).

Prevalence of High Blood Cholesterol
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

Sources:
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 160]

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: “very low income” = below poverty; “low income” = 100% to 200% of poverty; “middle/high income” = over 200% of poverty.

High Cholesterol Management

Among adults who have been told that their blood cholesterol was high, 89.7% report that they are currently taking actions to control their cholesterol levels, such as through medication, diet and/or exercise.

- Similar to regional findings.
- Statistically better than the national percentage.
Similar to 2005 and 2010 findings, but denotes a statistically significant increase since 2002.

Taking Action to Control High Blood Cholesterol Levels
(Among Natchitoches Parish Adults with High Cholesterol, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>70.4%</td>
<td>77.2%</td>
<td>70.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>87.9%</td>
<td>84.6%</td>
<td>84.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>89.2%</td>
<td>89.7%</td>
<td>89.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>89.7%</td>
<td>86.4%</td>
<td>81.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 42]
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents who have been diagnosed with high blood cholesterol levels.
- In this case, the term 'action' refers to medication, change in diet, and/or exercise.

Total Cardiovascular Risk

Individual level risk factors which put people at increased risk for cardiovascular diseases include:

- High Blood Pressure
- High Blood Cholesterol
- Tobacco Use
- Physical Inactivity
- Poor Nutrition
- Overweight/Obesity
- Diabetes

Three health-related behaviors contribute markedly to cardiovascular disease:

**Poor nutrition.** People who are overweight have a higher risk for cardiovascular disease. Almost 60% of adults are overweight or obese. To maintain a proper body weight, experts recommend a well-balanced diet which is low in fat and high in fiber, accompanied by regular exercise.

**Lack of physical activity.** People who are not physically active have twice the risk for heart disease of those who are active. More than half of adults do not achieve recommended levels of physical activity.

**Tobacco use.** Smokers have twice the risk for heart attack of nonsmokers. Nearly one-fifth of all deaths from cardiovascular disease, or about 190,000 deaths a year nationally, are smoking-related. Every day, more than 3,000 young people become daily smokers in the US.

Modifying these behaviors is critical both for preventing and for controlling cardiovascular disease. Other steps that adults who have cardiovascular disease should take to reduce their risk of death and disability include adhering to treatment for high blood pressure and cholesterol, using aspirin as appropriate, and learning the symptoms of heart attack and stroke.

- National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

RELATED ISSUE:
See also Nutrition & Overweight, Physical Activity & Fitness and Tobacco Use in the Modifiable Health Risk section of this report.
A total of 90.5% of Natchitoches Parish adults report one or more cardiovascular risk factors, such as being overweight, smoking cigarettes, being physically inactive, or having high blood pressure or cholesterol.

- Similar to regional findings.
- Less favorable than national findings.
- No change from 2002 survey findings.

### Present One or More Cardiovascular Risks or Behaviors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>90.5%</td>
<td>90.3%</td>
<td>82.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>90.0%</td>
<td>92.4%</td>
<td>88.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>90.5%</td>
<td>90.3%</td>
<td>76.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>92.7%</td>
<td>93.4%</td>
<td>90.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:**
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 161]
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

**Notes:**
- Asked of all respondents.
- Cardiovascular risk is defined as exhibiting one or more of the following: 1) no leisure-time physical activity; 2) regular/occasional cigarette smoking; 3) hypertension; 4) high blood cholesterol; and/or 5) being overweight/obese.

Adults more likely to exhibit cardiovascular risk factors include:

- Men.
- Adults age 40 and older.
- Very low income residents.
- Blacks.

### Present One or More Cardiovascular Risks or Behaviors (Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>18 to 39</th>
<th>40 to 64</th>
<th>65+</th>
<th>Very Low Income</th>
<th>Low Income</th>
<th>Middle/High Income</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>94.8%</td>
<td>86.8%</td>
<td>82.2%</td>
<td>97.0%</td>
<td>97.9%</td>
<td>97.8%</td>
<td>87.6%</td>
<td>89.1%</td>
<td>87.0%</td>
<td>94.4%</td>
<td>90.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>94.8%</td>
<td>86.8%</td>
<td>82.2%</td>
<td>97.0%</td>
<td>97.9%</td>
<td>97.8%</td>
<td>87.6%</td>
<td>89.1%</td>
<td>87.0%</td>
<td>94.4%</td>
<td>90.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:**
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 161]

**Notes:**
- Asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: very low income" = below poverty; "low income" = 100% to 200% of poverty; "middle/high income" = over 200% of poverty.
- Cardiovascular risk is defined as exhibiting one or more of the following: 1) no leisure-time physical activity; 2) regular/occasional cigarette smoking; 3) hypertension; 4) high blood cholesterol; and/or 5) being overweight/obese.
Cancer

Cancer, the second-leading cause of death among adults, is responsible for one of every four deaths in the United States. In 2003, over half a million adults—or more than 1,500 people a day—will die of cancer. Black adults are more likely to die from cancer than people of any other racial or ethnic group.

The financial costs of cancer are staggering. According to the National Institutes of Health, cancers cost the United States more than $170 billion in 2002. This includes more than $110 billion in lost productivity and over $60 billion in direct medical costs.

The number of new cancer cases can be reduced substantially, and many cancer deaths can be prevented. Healthier lifestyles can significantly reduce a person’s risk for cancer—for example, avoiding tobacco use, increasing physical activity, improving nutrition, and avoiding sun exposure. Making cancer screening and information services available and accessible to all adults is also essential for reducing the high rates of cancer and cancer deaths. Screening tests for breast, cervical, and colorectal cancers reduce the number of deaths from these diseases by finding them early, when they are most treatable. Screening tests for cervical and colorectal cancers can actually prevent these cancers from developing by detecting treatable precancerous conditions.

— National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Age-Adjusted Cancer Deaths

All Cancer Deaths

Between 2008 and 2010, there was an annual average age-adjusted cancer mortality rate of 176.1 deaths per 100,000 population in Natchitoches Parish.

- Better than the rate found for the RFSA.
- Better than the rate reported across Louisiana.
- Similar to the national rate.
- Fails to satisfy the Health People 2020 target.

Cancer: Age-Adjusted Mortality
(2008-2010 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

Healthy People 2020 Target = 160.6 or Lower

Sources: ● CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics.

Data extracted July 2013.

Notes:
● Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
● Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
● NOTE: 2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.
Cancer deaths are similar by race in Natchitoches Parish.

Cancer: Age-Adjusted Mortality by Race
(2008-2010 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

Sources: ● CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2013.

Notes: ● Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).

● Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.

● NOTE: 2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.

Cancer: Age-Adjusted Mortality Rates have decreased over the past several years.

Cancer: Age-Adjusted Mortality Trends
(Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

Sources: ● CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2013.

Notes: ● Deaths from 1999 forward are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10); pre-1999 data were coded using ICD-9 coding.

● Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.

● State and national data are simple three-year averages; the RFSA three-year average is weighted by population.

● NOTE: 2006-2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.
Cancer Deaths by Site

LUNG CANCER

Lung cancer is the most common cause of cancer death among both females and males in the United States. Cigarette smoking is the most important risk factor for lung cancer, accounting for 68 to 78 percent of lung cancer deaths among females and 88 to 91 percent of lung cancer deaths among males. Other risk factors include occupational exposures (radon, asbestos) and indoor and outdoor air pollution (radon, environmental tobacco smoke). One to two percent of lung cancer deaths are attributable to air pollution. After 10 years of abstinence, smoking cessation decreases the risk of lung cancer to 30 to 50 percent of that of continuing smokers.


Lung cancer is by far the leading cause of cancer deaths in Natchitoches Parish. Other leading sites include prostate cancer in men, breast cancer in women, and colorectal cancer (both genders).

As can be seen in the following chart (referencing 2008-2010 annual average age-adjusted death rates):

- The Natchitoches Parish rates for lung, prostate, and female breast cancer are better than both the regional and state rates.
- The Natchitoches Parish prostate and female breast cancer death rates are more favorable than the respective national rates, but lung and colorectal cancer rates are less favorable.
- The Natchitoches Parish colorectal cancer death rate is higher than the regional, state rate, and national rate.

Note that the lung and colorectal cancer death rates in Natchitoches Parish fail to satisfy the related Healthy People 2020 objectives.

Age-Adjusted Cancer Death Rates by Site
(2001-2010)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cancer Type</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>US</th>
<th>HP2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lung Cancer</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>45.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate Cancer</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Breast Cancer</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal Cancer</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:  
- CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2013.  
Prevalence of Cancer

A total of 6.7% of surveyed Natchitoches Parish adults report having been diagnosed with some type of cancer.

- Similar to regional findings.
- The prevalence of cancer in Natchitoches Parish has not changed significantly since the 2002 survey was conducted.

**Prevalence of Cancer**

![Graph showing prevalence of cancer over time]

Sources: ● PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 27]
Notes: ● Asked of all respondents.

Cancer Risk

Reducing the nation’s cancer burden requires reducing the prevalence of behavioral and environmental factors that increase cancer risk.

- All cancers caused by cigarette smoking could be prevented. At least one-third of cancer deaths that occur in the United States are due to cigarette smoking.
- According to the American Cancer Society, about one-third of cancer deaths that occur in the United States each year are due to nutrition and physical activity factors, including obesity.

— National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Cancer Screenings

The American Cancer Society recommends that both men and women get a cancer-related checkup during a regular doctor’s checkup. It should include examination for cancers of the thyroid, testicles, ovaries, lymph nodes, oral cavity, and skin, as well as health counseling about tobacco, sun exposure, diet and nutrition, risk factors, sexual practices, and environmental and occupational exposures.

Screening levels in the community were measured in the 2013 Community Health Survey relative to four cancer sites: prostate cancer (prostate-specific antigen testing and digital rectal examination); female breast cancer (mammography); cervical cancer (Pap smear testing); and colorectal cancer (sigmoidoscopy and fecal occult blood testing).
Prostate Cancer Screenings

PROSTATE CANCER

Prostate cancer is the most commonly diagnosed form of cancer (other than skin cancer) in males and the second leading cause of cancer death among males in the United States. Prostate cancer is most common in men age 65 years and older, who account for approximately 80 percent of all cases of prostate cancer.

Digital rectal examination (DRE) and the prostate-specific antigen (PSA) test are two commonly used methods for detecting prostate cancer. Although several treatment alternatives are available for prostate cancer, their impact on reducing death from prostate cancer when compared with no treatment in patients with operable cancer is uncertain. Efforts aimed at reducing deaths through screening and early detection remain controversial because of the uncertain benefits and potential risks of screening, diagnosis, and treatment.

The US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) concludes that the current evidence is insufficient to assess the balance of benefits and harms of prostate cancer screening in men younger than age 75 years.

Rationale: Prostate cancer is the most common nonskin cancer and the second-leading cause of cancer death in men in the United States. The USPSTF found convincing evidence that prostate-specific antigen (PSA) screening can detect some cases of prostate cancer.

In men younger than age 75 years, the USPSTF found inadequate evidence to determine whether treatment for prostate cancer detected by screening improves health outcomes compared with treatment after clinical detection.

The USPSTF found convincing evidence that treatment for prostate cancer detected by screening causes moderate-to-substantial harms, such as erectile dysfunction, urinary incontinence, bowel dysfunction, and death. These harms are especially important because some men with prostate cancer who are treated would never have developed symptoms related to cancer during their lifetime.

There is also adequate evidence that the screening process produces at least small harms, including pain and discomfort associated with prostate biopsy and psychological effects of false-positive test results.

The USPSTF recommends against screening for prostate cancer in men age 75 years or older.

Rationale: In men age 75 years or older, the USPSTF found adequate evidence that the incremental benefits of treatment for prostate cancer detected by screening are small to none.

Given the uncertainties and controversy surrounding prostate cancer screening in men younger than age 75 years, a clinician should not order the PSA test without first discussing with the patient the potential but uncertain benefits and the known harms of prostate cancer screening and treatment. Men should be informed of the gaps in the evidence and should be assisted in considering their personal preferences before deciding whether to be tested.

PSA Testing and/or Digital Rectal Examination

Among men age 50 and older, three in four (75.1%) have had a PSA (prostate-specific antigen) test and/or a digital rectal examination for prostate problems within the past two years.

- Similar to regional findings.
- Comparable to national findings.
- Statistically unchanged over time.
Female Breast Cancer Screening

FEMALE BREAST CANCER

Breast cancer is the most common cancer [diagnosis] among women in the United States. Death from breast cancer can be reduced substantially if the tumor is discovered at an early stage. Mammography is the most effective method for detecting these early malignancies. Clinical trials have demonstrated that mammography screening can reduce breast cancer deaths by 20 to 39 percent in women age 50 to 74 years and about 17 percent in women age 40 to 49 years. Breast cancer deaths can be reduced through increased adherence with recommendations for regular mammography screening.

Many breast cancer risk factors, such as age, family history of breast cancer, reproductive history, mammographic densities, previous breast disease, and race and ethnicity, are not subject to intervention. However, being overweight is a well-established breast cancer risk for postmenopausal women that can be addressed. Avoiding weight gain is one method by which older women may reduce their risk of developing breast cancer.


The US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) recommends screening mammography, with or without clinical breast examination (CBE), every 1-2 years for women age 40 and older.

Rationale: The USPSTF found fair evidence that mammography screening every 12-33 months significantly reduces mortality from breast cancer. Evidence is strongest for women age 50-69, the age group generally included in screening trials. For women age 40-49, the evidence that screening mammography reduces mortality from breast cancer is weaker, and the absolute benefit of mammography is smaller, than it is for older women. Most, but not all, studies indicate a mortality benefit for women undergoing mammography at ages 40-49, but the delay in observed benefit in women younger than 50 makes it difficult to determine the incremental benefit of beginning screening at age 40 rather than at age 50.

The absolute benefit is smaller because the incidence of breast cancer is lower among women in their 40s than it is among older women. The USPSTF concluded that the evidence is also generalizable to women age 70 and older (who face a higher absolute risk for breast cancer) if their life expectancy is not compromised by comorbid disease. The absolute probability of benefits of regular mammography increase along a continuum with age, whereas the likelihood of harms from screening (false-positive results and unnecessary anxiety, biopsies, and cost) diminish from ages 40-70. The balance of benefits and potential harms, therefore, grows more favorable as women age. The precise age at which the potential benefits of mammography justify the possible harms is a subjective choice. The USPSTF did not find sufficient evidence to specify the optimal screening interval for women age 40-49.


Note that other organizations (e.g., American Cancer Society, American Academy of Family Physicians, American College of Physicians, National Cancer Institute) may have slightly different screening guidelines.
Among women age 50 to 74, 78.5% have had a mammogram within the past two years.

- Similar to regional findings.
- Similar to the statewide figure (which represents all women 50 and older).
- Similar to national findings.
- Similar to the Healthy People 2020 target.

Since 2002, the prevalence of Natchitoches Parish women age 50 to 74 who received a mammogram in the past two years has decreased significantly.

### Have Had a Mammogram in the Past Two Years
(Among Natchitoches Parish Women Age 50-74, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFS</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>78.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td>73.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>78.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td>73.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>83.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td>78.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>88.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td>77.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Healthy People 2020 Target = 81.1% or Higher**

Sources:
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 163]
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes:
- Reflects all female respondents age 50 to 74.
- Because the CDC implemented changes to the BRFSS weighting methodology in 2011, state findings might not be directly comparable to the regional or national findings outlined in this report.

Among women 40 and older, 74.6% had a mammogram in the past two years.

### Have Had a Mammogram in the Past Two Years
(Among Natchitoches Parish Women 40+, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFS</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>74.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td>71.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>75.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td>75.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>77.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td>77.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>77.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td>77.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Healthy People 2020 Target = 81.1% or Higher**

Sources:
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 162]
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes:
- Asked of all female respondents age 40 and older.
- Because the CDC implemented changes to the BRFSS weighting methodology in 2011, state findings might not be directly comparable to the regional or national findings outlined in this report.
Cervical Cancer Screenings

CERVICAL CANCER

The US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) strongly recommends screening for cervical cancer in women who have been sexually active and have a cervix.

**Rationale:** The USPSTF found good evidence from multiple observational studies that screening with cervical cytology (Pap smears) reduces incidence of and mortality from cervical cancer. Direct evidence to determine the optimal starting and stopping age and interval for screening is limited. Indirect evidence suggests most of the benefit can be obtained by beginning screening within 3 years of onset of sexual activity or age 21 (whichever comes first) and screening at least every 3 years. The USPSTF concludes that the benefits of screening substantially outweigh potential harms.

The USPSTF recommends against routinely screening women older than age 65 for cervical cancer if they have had adequate recent screening with normal Pap smears and are not otherwise at high risk for cervical cancer.

**Rationale:** The USPSTF found limited evidence to determine the benefits of continued screening in women older than 65. The yield of screening is low in previously screened women older than 65 due to the declining incidence of high-grade cervical lesions after middle age. There is fair evidence that screening women older than 65 is associated with an increased risk for potential harms, including false-positive results and invasive procedures. The USPSTF concludes that the potential harms of screening are likely to exceed benefits among older women who have had normal results previously and who are not otherwise at high risk for cervical cancer.

The USPSTF recommends against routine Pap smear screening in women who have had a total hysterectomy for benign disease.

**Rationale:** The USPSTF found fair evidence that the yield of cytologic screening is very low in women after hysterectomy and poor evidence that screening to detect vaginal cancer improves health outcomes. The USPSTF concludes that potential harms of continued screening after hysterectomy are likely to exceed benefits.


Note that other organizations (e.g., American Cancer Society, American Academy of Family Physicians, American College of Physicians, National Cancer Institute) may have slightly different screening guidelines.

Pap Smear Testing

**Among women age 21 to 65, 83.5% have had a Pap smear within the past three years.**

- Similar to the regional percentage.
- Similar to the Louisiana percentage, which represents all women 18+.
- Similar to national findings.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target.
- No significant change over time.
Have Had a Pap Smear in the Past 3 Years
(Among Natchitoches Parish Women Age 21-65, 2013)

Sources:
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 164]
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes:
- Represents female respondents age 21-65; note that the Louisiana percentage reflects women age 18 and older.
- The state prevalence reflects all women age 18 and older. Because the CDC implemented changes to the BRFSS weighting methodology in 2011, state findings might not be directly comparable to the regional or national findings outlined in this report.

Among women age 18 and older, 80.7% had a Pap smear in the past three years.

Have Had a Pap Smear in the Past 3 Years
(Among Natchitoches Parish Women Age 18+, 2013)

Sources:
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 84]

Notes:
- Represents female respondents age 18 and older.
- Because the CDC implemented changes to the BRFSS weighting methodology in 2011, state findings might not be directly comparable to the regional or national findings outlined in this report.
Colorectal Cancer Screenings

COLORECTAL CANCER

Colorectal cancer is the third most common type of cancer and the second leading cause of cancer death in the United States. Current levels of screening in this country lag behind those of other effective cancer screening tests; it has been estimated that attainment of goals for population colorectal cancer screening could save 18,800 lives per year. Colorectal cancer incidence and mortality show health disparities, with a disproportionate burden occurring in certain minority populations, including African American adults and Alaska Natives.

The USPSTF recommends screening for colorectal cancer using fecal occult blood testing, sigmoidoscopy, or colonoscopy in adults, beginning at age 50 years and continuing until age 75 years.

The evidence is convincing that screening for colorectal cancer with fecal occult blood testing, sigmoidoscopy, or colonoscopy detects early-stage cancer and adenomatous polyps. There is convincing evidence that screening with any of the three recommended tests (FOBT, sigmoidoscopy, colonoscopy) reduces colorectal cancer mortality in adults age 50 to 75 years. Follow-up of positive screening test results requires colonoscopy regardless of the screening test used.


Note that other organizations (e.g., American Cancer Society, American Academy of Family Physicians, American College of Physicians, National Cancer Institute) may have slightly different screening guidelines.

Risk factors for colorectal cancer may include age, personal and family history of polyps or colorectal cancer, inflammatory bowel disease, inherited syndromes, physical inactivity (colon only), obesity, alcohol use, and a diet high in fat and low in fruits and vegetables. Detecting and removing precancerous colorectal polyps and detecting and treating the disease in its earliest stages will reduce deaths from colorectal cancer.


Colorectal Cancer Screening

Among Natchitoches Parish adults age 50-75, nearly two-thirds (65.8%) have had an appropriate colorectal cancer screening (fecal occult blood testing within the past year and/or sigmoidoscopy/colonoscopy [lower endoscopy] within the past 10 years).

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Lower than the national prevalence.
- Similar to the Healthy People 2020 target.

Have Had a Colorectal Cancer Screening
(Among Adults Age 50-75)

Sources:
- 2010 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 168]

Notes:
- 2010 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 168]
- In this case, the term "colorectal screening" refers to adults age 50-75 receiving a FOBT (fecal occult blood test) in the past year and/or a lower endoscopy (sigmoidoscopy/colonoscopy) in the past 10 years.
Sigmoidoscopy/Colonoscopy

Among adults age 50 and older, 67.5% have had a sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy at some point in their lives.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- More favorable than Louisiana findings.
- Less favorable than the national figure.

The Natchitoches Parish prevalence of sigmoidoscopy/colonoscopy has increased significantly since 2002.

Blood Stool Testing

Among adults age 50 and older, 32.9% have had a blood stool test (aka “fecal occult blood test”) within the past two years.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- More favorable than Louisiana findings.
- Similar to national findings.

Since 2002, the prevalence of recent blood stool exams has not changed significantly.
Have Had a Blood Stool Test in the Past 2 Years
(Among Natchitoches Parish Adults 50+, 2013)

Sources:
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 167]
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents 50+.
- Because the CDC implemented changes to the BRFSS weighting methodology in 2011, state findings might not be directly comparable to the regional or national findings outlined in this report.
Respiratory Disease

Asthma and COPD (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) are among the 10 leading chronic conditions causing restricted activity [in adults]. After chronic sinusitis, asthma is the most common cause of chronic illness in children. Methods are available to treat these respiratory diseases and promote respiratory health.

Asthma is a serious and growing health problem. An estimated 14.9 million persons in the United States have asthma. Asthma is responsible for about 500,000 hospitalizations, 5,000 deaths, and 134 million days of restricted activity a year. Yet most of the problems caused by asthma could be averted if persons with asthma and their healthcare providers managed the disease according to established guidelines.

COPD includes chronic bronchitis and emphysema—both of which are characterized by irreversible airflow obstruction and often exist together. Similar to asthma, COPD may be accompanied by an airway hyperresponsiveness. Most patients with COPD have a history of cigarette smoking. COPD worsens over time with continued exposure to a causative agent—usually tobacco smoke or sometimes a substance in the workplace or environment. COPD occurs most often in older people.


Age-Adjusted Respiratory Disease Deaths

Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease Deaths (CLRD)

Between 2008 and 2010, there was an annual average age-adjusted CLRD mortality rate of 40.4 deaths per 100,000 population in Natchitoches Parish.

- More favorable than the regional (RFSA) rate.
- More favorable than found statewide.
- More favorable than the national rate.

CLRD: Age-Adjusted Mortality
(2008-2010* Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

Note: What was previously termed COPD (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) has been reclassified as CLRD (chronic lower respiratory disease).
CLRD mortality in Natchitoches Parish is highest in the White population.

CLRD: Age-Adjusted Mortality by Race
(2001-2010 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

Sources: ● CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2013.

Notes: ● Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
 ● Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
 ● CLRD is chronic lower respiratory disease.
 ● NOTE: 2006-2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.

CLRD mortality in Natchitoches Parish has declined significantly from baseline data.

CLRD: Age-Adjusted Mortality Trends
(Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

Sources: ● CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2013.

Notes: ● Deaths from 1999 forward are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10); pre-1999 data were coded using ICD-9 coding.
 ● Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
 ● State and national data are simple three-year averages; the RFSA three-year average is weighted by population.
 ● CLRD is chronic lower respiratory disease.
 ● NOTE: 2006-2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.
Between 2008 and 2010, there was an annual average age-adjusted pneumonia/influenza mortality rate of 26.7 deaths per 100,000 population in Natchitoches Parish.

- Similar to the RFSA rate.
- Much higher than the national rate.
- Much higher than found statewide.

**Pneumonia/Influenza: Age-Adjusted Mortality**

**(2008-2010* Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)**

![Chart showing mortality rates of pneumonia/influenza by location: Natchitoches Parish, RFSA, Louisiana, and United States.](chart)

**Notes:**
- Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
- Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
- NOTE: 2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.

* MORTALITY RATES SIMILAR BY RACE IN NATCHITOCHES PARISH.

**Pneumonia/Influenza: Age-Adjusted Mortality by Race**

**(2001-2010 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)**

![Chart showing mortality rates of pneumonia/influenza by race: White, Black, and Total for Natchitoches Parish, RFSA, Louisiana, and United States.](chart)

**Notes:**
- Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
- Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
- NOTE: 2006-2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.
Mortality rates have decreased over time.

Pneumonia/Influenza: Age-Adjusted Mortality Trends
(Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

Sources:
● CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2013.

Notes:
● Deaths from 1999 forward are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10); pre-1999 data were coded using ICD-9 coding.
● State and national data are simple three-year averages; the RFSA three-year average is weighted by population.
● NOTE: 2006-2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.

Prevalence of Asthma

Adults

A total of 7.6% of Natchitoches Parish adults currently suffer from asthma.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Similar to the percentage reported across the state.
- Similar to the percentage reported across the nation.
- Statistically unchanged over time.

Currently Have Asthma

Sources:
● 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. (Item 169)
● 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes:
● Asked of all respondents.
● Because the CDC implemented changes to the BRFSS weighting methodology in 2011, state findings might not be directly comparable to the regional or national findings outlined in this report.
The following adults are more likely to suffer from asthma:

- Women.
- Residents living with very low income.

### Currently Have Asthma
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>18 to 39</th>
<th>40 to 64</th>
<th>65+</th>
<th>Very Low Income</th>
<th>Low Income</th>
<th>Middle/High Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Children

A total of 5.7% of Natchitoches Parish children currently suffer from asthma.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Comparable to the percentage reported across the nation.
- The percentage of children who have ever been diagnosed with asthma is statistically unchanged over time.

### Child Currently Has Asthma
(Natchitoches Parish Parents of Children <18, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:**
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 169]
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

**Notes:**
- Asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: very low income = below poverty; low income = 100% to 200% of poverty; middle/high income = over 200% of poverty.
Prevalence of Chronic Lung Disease

A total of 11.5% of surveyed adults report suffering from chronic lung disease.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Higher than the state prevalence.
- Similar to the percentage reported across the nation.

The prevalence of chronic lung disease in Natchitoches Parish has remained statistically unchanged since 2002.

Prevalence of Chronic Lung Disease

Sources:
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. (Item 25)
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
- Because the CDC implemented changes to the BRFSS weighting methodology in 2011, state findings might not be directly comparable to the regional or national findings outlined in this report.
The risk of injury is so great that most persons sustain a significant injury at some time during their lives. Nevertheless, this widespread human damage too often is taken for granted, in the erroneous belief that injuries happen by chance and are the result of unpreventable “accidents.” In fact, many injuries are not “accidents,” or random, uncontrollable acts of fate; rather, most injuries are predictable and preventable.

For ages 1 through 44 years, [US] deaths from injuries far surpass those from cancer—the overall leading natural cause of death at these ages—by about three to one. Injuries cause more than two out of five deaths (43 percent) of children age 1 through 4 years and result in four times the number of deaths due to birth defects, the second leading cause of death for this age group. For ages 15 to 24 years, injury deaths exceed deaths from all other causes combined from ages 5 through 44 years. For ages 15 to 24 years, injuries are the cause of nearly four out of five deaths. After age 44 years, injuries account for fewer deaths than other health problems, such as heart disease, cancer, and stroke. However, despite the decrease in the proportion of deaths due to injury, the death rate from injuries is actually higher among older persons than among younger persons.


**Leading Causes of Accidental Death**

Motor vehicle accidents accounted for 51.4% of accidental Natchitoches Parish deaths between 2006 and 2010. Poisoning (including accidental drug overdoses) ranked as the second leading cause of accidental death, followed by falls.
Unintentional Injury

Age-Adjusted Unintentional Injury Deaths

Between 2008 and 2010, there was an annual average age-adjusted unintentional injury mortality rate of 57.0 deaths per 100,000 population in Natchitoches Parish.

- Worse than the regional rate.
- Worse than the state rate.
- Worse than the US rate.
- Fails to satisfy the Health People 2020 target.

Unintentional Injuries: Age-Adjusted Mortality
(2008-2010 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

Sources: ● CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2013.

Notes: ● Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
● Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
● NOTE: 2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.

Unintentional injury mortality rates are similar by race in Natchitoches Parish.

Unintentional Injuries: Age-Adjusted Mortality by Race
(2008-2010 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

Sources: ● CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2013.

Notes: ● Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
● Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
● NOTE: 2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.
The Natchitoches Parish unintentional injury mortality rate has increased significantly from the baseline 2001-2003 findings.

Unintentional Injuries: Age-Adjusted Mortality Trends
(Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

Motor Vehicle Safety
Age-Adjusted Motor-Vehicle Related Deaths

Between 2008 and 2010, there was an annual average age-adjusted motor vehicle crash mortality rate of 29.9 deaths per 100,000 population in Natchitoches Parish.

- Worse than found regionally.
- Higher than found statewide.
- Much higher than the national rate.
- Fails to satisfy the Health People 2020 target.

Motor Vehicle Crashes: Age-Adjusted Mortality
(2008-2010* Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)
Motor vehicle mortality rates are much higher in Natchitoches Parish Whites than in Blacks.

The Natchitoches Parish motor vehicle mortality rate has increased significantly from the baseline 2001-2003 findings.
Seat Belt Usage - Adults

Most Natchitoches Parish adults (84.0%) report “always” wearing a seat belt when driving or riding in a vehicle.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Well below the state percentage.
- Comparable to the percentage found nationally.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target of 92.0% or higher.

Denotes a significant increase in seat belt usage since 2002.

There are no significant differences among key demographics for seat belt usage.

Sources:
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 44]
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
- Because the CDC implemented changes to the BRFSS weighting methodology in 2011, state findings might not be directly comparable to the regional or national findings outlined in this report.

---

There are no significant differences among key demographics for seat belt usage.

Sources:
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 44]
- Asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: very low income “= below poverty; “low income” = 100% to 200% of poverty; “middle/high income” = over 200% of poverty.

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: very low income “= below poverty; “low income” = 100% to 200% of poverty; “middle/high income” = over 200% of poverty.

---
Children’s Seat Belt/Car Seat Usage

A total of 86.0% of Natchitoches Parish parents report that their child (age 0 to 17) “always” wears a seat belt (or appropriate car seat for younger children) when riding in a vehicle.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Similar to what is found nationally.
- Marks a significant increase from 2002 survey findings.

Child “Always” Wears a Seat Belt or Appropriate Restraint When Riding in a Vehicle
(Natchitoches Parish Parents of Children <18, 2013)

Sources: ● PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 142] ● 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.
Notes: ● Asked of all respondents with children under 18 at home.

Bicycle Safety

A total of 13.7% of Natchitoches Parish children age 5 to 17 are reported to “always” wear a helmet when riding a bicycle.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Much lower than the national prevalence.

Child “Always” Wears a Helmet When Riding a Bicycle
(Natchitoches Parish Parents of Children Age 5-17, 2013)

Sources: ● 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 154] ● 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.
Notes: ● Asked of all respondents with children age 5-17 at home.
Intentional Injury (Violence)

Age-Adjusted Intentional Injury Deaths

Homicide

Between 2008 and 2010, there was an annual average age-adjusted homicide rate of 10.4 deaths per 100,000 population in Natchitoches Parish.

- Higher than the RFSA rate.
- More favorable than the rate found statewide.
- Less favorable than the national rate.
- Fails to satisfy the Health People 2020 target.

### Homicide: Age-Adjusted Mortality
(2008-2010* Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics.

Notes: CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics.

- Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
- Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
- * Due to low numbers of deaths, the rate for Natchitoches Parish represents 2001-2010 data.
- NOTE: 2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.

Suicide

Between 2008 and 2010, there was an annual average age-adjusted suicide rate of 10.5 deaths per 100,000 population in Natchitoches Parish.

- Better than regional (RFSA) findings.
- Better than the rate found statewide.
- Better than the national rate.
- Similar to the Health People 2020 target.
Suicide: Age-Adjusted Mortality
(2008-2010* Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

Sources:
● CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2013.

Notes:
● Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
● Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
● * Due to low numbers of deaths: the rate for Natchitoches Parish represents 2001-2010 data.
● NOTE: 2006-2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.

Violent Crime
Self-Reported Violence

A total of 2.7% of Natchitoches Parish adults acknowledge being the victim of a violent crime in the past five years.

- Comparable to the regional prevalence.
- Comparable to the national prevalence.
- The prevalence of residents who have been victims of a violent crime in the past 5 years has remained stable.

Victim of a Violent Crime in the Area in the Past 5 Years

Sources:
● PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 45]
● 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes:
● Asked of all respondents.
● Prior to 2010, the Natchitoches Parish survey did not ask if the crime occurred locally (“in your area”).
There are no significant differences among demographics.

**Victim of a Violent Crime in the Past 5 Years**
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic</th>
<th>Very Low Income</th>
<th>Low Income</th>
<th>Middle/High Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 39</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 to 64</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Family Violence**

A total of 14.9% of Natchitoches Parish adults acknowledge that they have ever been hit, slapped, pushed, kicked, or otherwise hurt by an intimate partner.

- Comparable to the regional prevalence.
- Comparable to national findings.
- Marks a significant increase from 2010 survey results.

**Have Ever Been Hit, Slapped, Pushed, Kicked, or Hurt in Any Way by an Intimate Partner**

- Natchitoches Parish: 14.9%
- RFSA: 13.8%
- United States: 15.0%

**Sources:**
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 45]
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

**Notes:**
- Asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: “very low income” = below poverty; “low income” = 100% to 200% of poverty; “middle/high income” = over 200% of poverty.

Respondents were told:

“By an intimate partner, I mean any current or former spouse, boyfriend, or girlfriend. Someone you were dating, or romantically or sexually intimate with would also be considered an intimate partner.”
Reports of domestic violence are notably higher among:

- Young adults (note the negative correlation with age).
- Residents with lower incomes (negative correlation with income).

**Have Ever Been Hit, Slapped, Pushed, Kicked, or Hurt in Any Way by an Intimate Partner**  
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

Firearm Safety

**Age-Adjusted Firearm-Related Deaths**

Between 2008 and 2010, there was an annual average age-adjusted rate of 18.4 deaths per 100,000 population due to firearms in Natchitoches Parish.

- Less favorable than what is found regionally.
- Similar to the statewide rate.
- Less favorable than what was found nationally.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 objective.

**Firearms-Related Deaths: Age-Adjusted Mortality**  
(2008-2010 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

**Notes:**
- Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
- Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
- NOTE: 2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.
The Natchitoches Parish firearm-related mortality rate is higher among Blacks than among Whites.

### Firearms-Related Deaths: Age-Adjusted Mortality by Race

(2001-2008 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy People 2020 Target = 9.2 or Lower</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natchitoches Parish</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
- Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
- NOTE: 2006-2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.

### Presence of Firearms in Homes

A total of 57.0% of Natchitoches Parish adults have a firearm kept in or around their home.

- Similar to what is found regionally.
- Much higher than the national prevalence.

Among Natchitoches Parish households with children, 56.7% have a firearm kept in or around the house (well above that reported nationally).

### Have a Firearm Kept in or Around the Home

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Households With Children: 56.7% (vs. 59.2% in RFSA and 37.4% nationwide)</td>
<td>57.0%</td>
<td>60.6%</td>
<td>34.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:
- CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2013.

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
- In this case, firearms include pistols, shotguns, rifles, and other types of guns; this does not include starter pistols, BB guns, or guns that cannot fire.
Reports of firearms in or around the home are more prevalent among the following respondent groups:

- Adults age 40 and older, especially seniors (note positive correlation with age).
- Higher-income households (positive correlation with income).
- White respondents.

**Have a Firearm Kept in or Around the House**
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

![Chart showing percentages of different demographics with firearms.]

Sources: 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 48]

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
- In this case, firearms include pistols, shotguns, rifles, and other types of guns; this does not include starter pistols, BB guns, or guns that cannot fire.
- Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: very low income = below poverty; low income = 100% to 200% of poverty; middle/high income = over 200% of poverty.

Among Natchitoches Parish households with firearms, 27.5% report that there is at least one weapon that is kept unlocked and loaded.

- Similar to what was found regionally.
- Higher than that found nationally.

**Household Has An Unlocked, Loaded Firearm**
(Among Respondents Reporting a Firearm in or Around the Home)

![Chart showing percentages of unlocked loaded firearms.]

Sources: 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 172]

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents with a firearm in or around the home.
- In this case, firearms include pistols, shotguns, rifles, and other types of guns; this does not include starter pistols, BB guns, or guns that cannot fire.
Diabetes

Diabetes affects nearly 16 million adults and contributes to about 200,000 deaths a year. Diabetes can cause heart disease, stroke, blindness, kidney failure, leg and foot amputations, pregnancy complications, and deaths related to influenza and pneumonia. About 5.4 million adults are unaware they have the disease.

Among adults, diagnosed diabetes (including gestational diabetes) increased 49% from 1990 to 2000. The largest increase was among people age 30–39. Type 2 affects 90%–95% of people with diabetes and is linked to obesity and physical inactivity.

The direct and indirect costs of diabetes in America are nearly $100 billion a year.

– National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Age-Adjusted Diabetes Mellitus Deaths

Between 2008 and 2010, there was an annual average age-adjusted diabetes mortality rate of 22.6 deaths per 100,000 population in Natchitoches Parish.

- Lower than the regional rate.
- Lower than the Louisiana rate.
- Higher than the national rate.
- Fails to satisfy the Health People 2020 target.

Diabetes: Age-Adjusted Mortality
(2008-2010* Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Data Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDC WONDER Online Query</td>
<td>CDC WONDER Online Query System, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10). Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population. The Healthy People 2020 target for Diabetes is adjusted to account for only diabetes mellitus coded deaths. NOTE: 2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Diabetes mortality in Natchitoches Parish is similar by race.

**Diabetes: Age-Adjusted Mortality by Race**
(2001-2010 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

Sources:
- CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2013.

Notes:
- Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
- Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
- The Healthy People 2020 target for Diabetes is adjusted to account for only diabetes mellitus coded deaths.
- NOTE: 2006-2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.

Prevalence of Diabetes

A total of 13.4% of Natchitoches Parish adults report having been diagnosed with diabetes.

- Similar to what is found regionally.
- Similar to the proportion statewide.
- Similar the national proportion.

The diabetes prevalence has increased significantly in Natchitoches Parish since 2002.

**Prevalence of Diabetes**
A higher prevalence of diabetes is reported among adults age 40 and older (note a positive correlation with age, with 34.3% of seniors with diabetes).

**Prevalence of Diabetes**
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Income Level</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18 to 39</td>
<td>Very Low Income</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low Income</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 to 64</td>
<td>Middle/High Income</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 34]

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: very low income” = below poverty; “low income” = 100% to 200% of poverty; “middle/high income” = over 200% of poverty.
Kidney Disease

Age-Adjusted Kidney Disease Deaths

Between 2008 and 2010, there was an annual average age-adjusted kidney disease mortality rate of 36.5 deaths per 100,000 population in Natchitoches Parish.

- Less favorable than the regional rate.
- Less favorable than the rate found statewide.
- Much less favorable than the national rate.

The mortality rate is twice as high among Blacks as among Whites in Natchitoches Parish.

Kidney Disease: Age-Adjusted Mortality by Race
(2001-2010 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

Sources: CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2013.
Notes: Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10). Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population. NOTE: 2006-2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.
The Natchitoches Parish mortality rates have overall increased in the past decade.

### Kidney Disease: Age-Adjusted Mortality Trends
(Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natchitoches Parish</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>25.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2013.

Notes:
- Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
- Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
- State and national data are simple three-year averages; the RFSA three-year average is weighted by population.
- NOTE: 2006-2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.
Alzheimer’s Disease

Age-Adjusted Alzheimer’s Disease Deaths

Between 2008 and 2010, there was an annual average age-adjusted Alzheimer’s disease mortality rate of 26.0 deaths per 100,000 population in Natchitoches Parish.

- More favorable than the regional rate.
- More favorable than the statewide rate.
- Similar to the national rate.

Alzheimer’s Disease: Age-Adjusted Mortality
(2008-2010* Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

The death rate similar among Whites and Blacks in Natchitoches Parish.

Alzheimer’s Disease: Age-Adjusted Mortality by Race
(2001-2010 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)
Alzheimer’s disease mortality rates have increased over the past several years.

### Alzheimer’s Disease: Age-Adjusted Mortality Trends

(Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natchitoches Parish</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>37.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>37.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:** CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2013.

**Notes:**
- Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
- Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
- State and national data are simple three-year averages; the RFSA three-year average is weighted by population.
- NOTE: 2006-2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.
Arthritis & Rheumatism

The current and projected growth in the number of people age 65 years and older in the United States has focused attention on preserving quality of life, as well as length of life. Chief among the factors involving preserving quality of life are the prevention and treatment of musculoskeletal conditions—the major causes of disability in the United States. Among musculoskeletal conditions, arthritis and other rheumatic conditions, osteoporosis, and chronic back conditions have the greatest impact on public health and quality of life.


Nearly one in four Natchitoches Parish adults (23.5%) report suffering from arthritis or rheumatism.

- Similar to what is found regionally.
- Similar to that found nationwide.
- The prevalence of arthritis/rheumatism in Natchitoches Parish has not changed significantly over time.
- Among Natchitoches Parish adults age 50 and older, 42.1% have arthritis or rheumatism (comparable to the regional figure, higher than the national prevalence).

Prevalence of Arthritis/Rheumatism

Among 50+

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: ● PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 26, 175]
● 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes: ● Asked of all respondents.
MODIFIABLE HEALTH RISK BEHAVIORS
Actual Causes Of Death

A 2002 study (an update to a landmark 1993 study), estimated that as many as 40% of premature deaths in the United States are attributed to behavioral factors. This study found that behavior patterns represent the single-most prominent domain of influence over health prospects in the United States. The daily choices we make with respect to diet, physical activity, and sex; the substance abuse and addictions to which we fall prey; our approach to safety; and our coping strategies in confronting stress are all important determinants of health.

The most prominent contributors to mortality in the United States in 2000 were tobacco (an estimated 435,000 deaths), diet and activity patterns (400,000), alcohol (85,000), microbial agents (75,000), toxic agents (55,000), motor vehicles (43,000), firearms (29,000), sexual behavior (20,000), and illicit use of drugs (17,000). Socioeconomic status and access to medical care are also important contributors, but difficult to quantify independent of the other factors cited. Because the studies reviewed used different approaches to derive estimates, the stated numbers should be viewed as first approximations.

These analyses show that smoking remains the leading cause of mortality. However, poor diet and physical inactivity may soon overtake tobacco as the leading cause of death. These findings, along with escalating healthcare costs and aging population, argue persuasively that the need to establish a more preventive orientation in the US healthcare and public health systems has become more urgent.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leading Causes of Death</th>
<th>Underlying Risk Factors</th>
<th>(Actual Causes of Death)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular disease</td>
<td>Tobacco use</td>
<td>Obesity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elevated serum cholesterol</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High blood pressure</td>
<td>Sedentary lifestyle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Tobacco use</td>
<td>Alcohol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improper diet</td>
<td>Occupational/environmental exposures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular disease</td>
<td>High blood pressure</td>
<td>Elevated serum cholesterol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tobacco use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accidental injuries</td>
<td>Safety belt noncompliance</td>
<td>Occupational hazards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alcohol/substance abuse</td>
<td>Stress/fatigue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reckless driving</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic lung disease</td>
<td>Tobacco use</td>
<td>Occupational/environmental exposures</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


While causes of death are typically described as the diseases or injuries immediately precipitating the end of life, a few important studies have shown that the actual causes of premature death (reflecting underlying risk factors) are often preventable.
Nutrition

Adults

Daily Recommendation of Fruits/Vegetables

A total of 34.6% of area adults report eating five or more servings of fruits and/or vegetables per day.

- Comparable to regional findings.
- Comparable to national findings.
- Marks a statistically significant increase in fruit/vegetable consumption in Natchitoches Parish since 2002.

Consume Five or More Servings of Fruits/Vegetables Per Day

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
<td>34.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>31.1%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td>37.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
<td>36.2%</td>
<td>36.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>34.9%</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
<td>34.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are no significant differences among demographics.

Consume Five or More Servings of Fruits/Vegetables Per Day

(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

To measure food and beverage consumption, survey respondents were asked specifically about the foods and drinks they consumed on the day prior to the interview.

Sources: • PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 185]
• 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes: • Asked of all respondents.
• For this issue, respondents were asked to recall their food intake on the previous day.

- Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: “very low income” = below poverty; “low income” = 100% to 200% of poverty; “middle/high income” = over 200% of poverty.
• For this issue, respondents were asked to recall their food intake on the previous day.
Fruits

A total of 47.3% of Natchitoches Parish adults report eating at least two servings of fruit per day.

- Comparable to regional findings.
- No significant change since 2010.

**Consume Two or More Servings of Fruit Per Day**

Sources: PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 186]
Notes: Asked of all respondents.
For this issue, respondents were asked to recall their food intake on the previous day.

Vegetables

A total of 27.6% of survey respondents report eating three or more servings of vegetables per day, at least one-third of which are dark green or orange vegetables.

- Comparable to regional findings.
- No significant change since 2010.

**Consume Three or More Servings of Vegetables Per Day, One-Third of Which Are Dark Green or Orange**

Sources: PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 187]
Notes: Asked of all respondents.
For this issue, respondents were asked to recall their food intake on the previous day.
Consumption of Sugar-Sweetened Beverages

Nearly two-thirds (65.7%) of Natchitoches Parish adults drink at least one sugar-sweetened beverage per day.

**Adults: Servings of Sugar-Sweetened Drinks Consumed Per Day**
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

- One 20.6%
- Two 19.4%
- Three 10.1%
- Four/More 15.6%
- None 34.3%

**Sources:**
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 92]

**Notes:**
- Asked of all respondents.
- In this case, respondents were asked to consider their beverage consumption from the previous day.
- Sugar-sweetened drinks include (but are not limited to) non-diet soda, sweet tea, Gatorade/Monster "power" drinks, specialty coffee drinks, etc., in 12-ounce portions.

- Comparable to regional findings.
- Statistically unchanged since first measured in 2010.

**Consume One or More Sugar-Sweetened Drinks Per Day**

- Natchitoches Parish 65.7%
- RFSA 63.9%

**Sources:**
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 92]

**Notes:**
- Asked of all respondents.
- For this issue, respondents were asked to recall their food intake on the previous day.
Respondents more likely to drink sugar-sweetened beverages include:

- Residents under age 65 (note negative correlation with age).
- Residents with very low incomes.
- Blacks.

### Consume One or More Sugar-Sweetened Drinks Per Day
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>18 to 39</th>
<th>40 to 64</th>
<th>65+</th>
<th>Very Low Income</th>
<th>Low Income</th>
<th>Middle/High Income</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65.9%</td>
<td>65.5%</td>
<td>72.8%</td>
<td>66.5%</td>
<td>49.0%</td>
<td>89.1%</td>
<td>61.4%</td>
<td>60.9%</td>
<td>72.5%</td>
<td>65.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:  
2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 92]

Notes:  
- Asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: very low income” = below poverty; “low income” = 100% to 200% of poverty; “middle/high income” = over 200% of poverty.
- For this issue, respondents were asked to recall their beverage intake on the previous day.
- Sugar-sweetened drinks include (but are not limited to) regular soda, sweet tea, Gatorade/Monster/“power” drinks, specialty coffee drinks, etc. in 12-ounce portions.

### Consumption of Fast Food

A total of 23.1% of Natchitoches Parish adults report three or more meals in the past week from fast food restaurants.

- More favorable than regional findings.

### Eat Three or More Fast Food Meals Per Week

![Chart showing consumption of fast food meals per week]
Fast food consumption is more prevalent among:

- Adults under 65, and especially under 40 (note negative correlation with age).

**Eat Three or More Fast Food Meals Per Week**  
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>18 to 39</th>
<th>40 to 64</th>
<th>65+</th>
<th>Very Low Income</th>
<th>Low Income</th>
<th>Middle/High Income</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20.2%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
<td>31.1%</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
<td>24.6%</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 98]
Notes: Asked of all respondents.

Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: very low income = below poverty; low income = 100% to 200% of poverty; middle/high income = over 200% of poverty.

**Health Advice About Diet & Nutrition**

A total of 39.4% of survey respondents acknowledge that a physician counseled them about diet and nutrition in the past year.

- Comparable to regional findings.
- Comparable to national findings.
- Among obese respondents, 63.1% report receiving diet/nutrition advice (meaning that over one-third did not).

**Have Received Advice About Diet and Nutrition in the Past Year From a Physician, Nurse, or Other Health Professional**  
(By Weight Classification)

Sources: 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 19]
Notes: Asked of all respondents.
Difficulty Purchasing Fresh Produce

Two in three Natchitoches Parish residents (69.4%) indicate that it is “not at all difficult” to buy fresh produce like fruits and vegetables in their community.

- Another 21.2% report this as “not too difficult.”

However, 6.2% of residents find the purchase of fresh fruits and vegetables to be “somewhat difficult,” and 3.2% find it “very difficult.”

- More favorable than regional findings.
- Marks a significant decrease (improvement) from 2010 survey findings.
Higher among women and adults living below poverty.

“Very/Somewhat” Difficult to Purchase Fresh Fruits & Vegetables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>18 to 39</th>
<th>40 to 64</th>
<th>65+</th>
<th>Very Low Income</th>
<th>Low Income</th>
<th>Middle/High Income</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: • 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 97]
Notes: • Asked of all respondents.

Children

Children’s Consumption of Fruits and Vegetables

58.5% of Natchitoches Parish parents of children age 2-17 reports that their child has five or more servings of fruits/vegetables per day.

- Comparable to regional findings.

Child Eats Five or More Servings of Fruits/Vegetables Per Day
(Among Natchitoches Parish Parents of Children 2-17, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>58.5%</td>
<td>55.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: • PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 221]
Notes: • Asked of all respondents with children aged 2-17 at home.
• In this case, parents were asked to consider their child’s food intake on the previous day.

To measure children’s food and beverage consumption, parents were asked specifically about the foods and drinks their child consumed on the day prior to the interview.
Children & Sugar-Sweetened Beverages

While 22.5% of Natchitoches Parish children age 2-17 typically do not drink any sugar-sweetened beverages, 29.6% drink one per day, and 27.8% drink two per day.

- 7.5% drink three per day, and 12.6% drink four or more daily.

Children: Servings of Sugar-Sweetened Drinks Consumed Per Day
(Natchitoches Parish Children 2-17, 2013)

- The prevalence of children drinking at least one sugar-sweetened beverage per day is less favorable than regional findings.

Child Consumes One or More Sugar-Sweetened Drinks Per Day
(Among Natchitoches Parish Parents of Children 2-17, 2013)

Sources:
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 146]

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents with children aged 2-17 at home.
- In this case, respondents were asked to consider their child’s beverage consumption from the previous day.
- Sugar-sweetened drinks include (but are not limited to) regular soda, sweet tea, Gatorade/Monster/"power" drinks, specialty coffee drinks, etc. in 12-ounce portions.
Children & Fast Food

Just under one-third (32.3%) of area children age 5-17 is reported to have three or more fast food meals in an average week.

- Comparable to regional findings.
- Statistically unchanged from 2002 survey findings (although decreasing from 2010).

**Child Eats Three or More Fast Food Meals Per Week**

![Chart showing percentage of children eating three or more fast food meals per week in Natchitoches Parish and RFSA from 2002 to 2013.]

Sources: PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 151]
Notes: Asked of all respondents with children aged 5-17 at home.
For this issue, respondents were asked to consider breakfast, lunch, and dinner.
Body Weight

Body Mass Index (BMI), which describes relative weight for height, is significantly correlated with total body fat content. The BMI should be used to assess overweight and obesity and to monitor changes in body weight. In addition, measurements of body weight alone can be used to determine efficacy of weight loss therapy. BMI is calculated as weight (kg)/height squared (m²). To estimate BMI using pounds and inches, use: [weight (pounds)/height squared (inches²)] x 703.

In this report, overweight is defined as a BMI of 25.0 to 29.9 kg/m² and obesity as a BMI of ≥30 kg/m². The rationale behind these definitions is based on epidemiological data that show increases in mortality with BMIs above 25 kg/m². The increase in mortality, however, tends to be modest until a BMI of 30 kg/m² is reached. For persons with a BMI of ≥30 kg/m², mortality rates from all causes, and especially from cardiovascular disease, are generally increased by 50 to 100 percent above that of persons with BMIs in the range of 20 to 25 kg/m².

Overweight and obesity result from a complex interaction between genes and the environment characterized by long-term energy imbalance due to a sedentary lifestyle, excessive caloric consumption, or both. They develop in a socio-cultural environment characterized by mechanization, sedentary lifestyle, and ready access to abundant food. Attempts to prevent overweight and obesity are difficult to both study and achieve.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification of Overweight and Obesity by BMI</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Underweight</td>
<td>&lt;18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>18.5 – 24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>25.0 – 29.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese</td>
<td>≥30.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Healthy Weight

Based on self-reported heights and weights, only 26.3% of Natchitoches Parish adults are at a healthy weight (neither underweight nor overweight, BMI = 18.5-24.9).

- Similar to the regional (RFSA) percentage.
- Similar to the Louisiana percentage.
- Less favorable than national findings.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target.

Marks a statistically significant decrease in healthy weight over time.
Healthy Weight
(Body Mass Index Between 18.5 and 24.9)

Sources:
● PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 196]
● 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes:
● Based on reported heights and weights, asked of all respondents.
● The definition of healthy weight is having a body mass index (BMI), a ratio of weight to height (kilograms divided by meters squared), between 18.5 and 24.9.

Overweight Status
Adults

Based on self-reported heights and weights, 72.8% of Natchitoches Parish adults are overweight or obese (BMI ≥25).

- Similar to the regional prevalence.
- Higher than the Louisiana prevalence.
- Higher than the US prevalence.

Denotes a statistically significant increase in overweight since 2002 among Natchitoches Parish adults.

Prevalence of Total Overweight
(Overweight or/Obese Adults; Body Mass Index of 25.0 or Higher)

Sources:
● PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 196]
● 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes:
● Based on reported heights and weights, asked of all respondents.
● The definition of overweight is having a body mass index (BMI), a ratio of weight to height (kilograms divided by meters squared), greater than or equal to 25.0, regardless of gender. The definition for obesity is a BMI greater than or equal to 30.0.
● Because the CDC implemented changes to the BRFSS weighting methodology in 2011, state findings might not be directly comparable to the regional or national findings outlined in this report.
Specifically, 32.9% of Natchitoches Parish adults are **obese** (BMI ≥ 30, also included in overweight prevalence discussed previously).

- Lower than the regional prevalence.
- Similar to the Louisiana percentage.
- Similar to US findings.
- Similar to the Healthy People 2020 target.
- Statistically unchanged over time.

**Prevalence of Obesity**

(Body Mass Index of 30.0 or Higher)

\[
\begin{align*}
0\% & \quad 20\% & \quad 40\% & \quad 60\% & \quad 80\% & \quad 100\% \\
\text{Natchitoches Parish} & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 38.2\% & \quad 33.4\% & \quad 29.0\% \\
\text{RFSA} & \quad 29.2\% & \quad 36.0\% & \quad 37.6\% & \quad 38.2\% \\
\text{Louisiana} & \quad 37.5\% & \quad 37.5\% & \quad 37.5\% & \quad 37.5\% \\
\text{United States} & \quad 31.3\% & \quad 31.3\% & \quad 31.3\% & \quad 31.3\%
\end{align*}
\]

**Notes:**
- Based on reported heights and weights, asked of all respondents.
- The definition of obesity is having a body mass index (BMI), a ratio of weight to height (kilograms divided by meters squared), greater than or equal to 30.0, regardless of gender.
- Because the CDC implemented changes to the BRFSS weighting methodology in 2011, state findings might not be directly comparable to the regional or national findings outlined in this report.

**Obesity is notably more prevalent among Black residents.**

**Prevalence of Obesity**

(Body Mass Index of 30.0 or Higher; Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

\[
\begin{align*}
0\% & \quad 20\% & \quad 40\% & \quad 60\% & \quad 80\% & \quad 100\% \\
\text{Men} & \quad 29.9\% & \quad 35.5\% & \quad 40.3\% & \quad 31.1\% & \quad 37.3\% & \quad 34.3\% & \quad 34.7\% & \quad 28.2\% & \quad 40.6\% & \quad 32.9\% \\
\text{Women} & \quad 27.8\% & \quad 18.0\% & \quad 19.9\% & \quad 19.8\% & \quad 19.8\% & \quad 19.8\% & \quad 19.8\% & \quad 19.8\% & \quad 19.8\% & \quad 19.8\% & \quad 19.8\% \\
\text{18 to 39} & \quad 31.1\% & \quad 31.1\% & \quad 31.1\% & \quad 31.1\% & \quad 31.1\% & \quad 31.1\% & \quad 31.1\% & \quad 31.1\% & \quad 31.1\% & \quad 31.1\% & \quad 31.1\% \\
\text{40 to 64} & \quad 37.3\% & \quad 37.3\% & \quad 37.3\% & \quad 37.3\% & \quad 37.3\% & \quad 37.3\% & \quad 37.3\% & \quad 37.3\% & \quad 37.3\% & \quad 37.3\% & \quad 37.3\% \\
\text{65+} & \quad 34.3\% & \quad 34.3\% & \quad 34.3\% & \quad 34.3\% & \quad 34.3\% & \quad 34.3\% & \quad 34.3\% & \quad 34.3\% & \quad 34.3\% & \quad 34.3\% & \quad 34.3\% \\
\text{Very Low Income} & \quad 40.6\% & \quad 40.6\% & \quad 40.6\% & \quad 40.6\% & \quad 40.6\% & \quad 40.6\% & \quad 40.6\% & \quad 40.6\% & \quad 40.6\% & \quad 40.6\% & \quad 40.6\% \\
\text{Low Income} & \quad 28.2\% & \quad 28.2\% & \quad 28.2\% & \quad 28.2\% & \quad 28.2\% & \quad 28.2\% & \quad 28.2\% & \quad 28.2\% & \quad 28.2\% & \quad 28.2\% & \quad 28.2\% \\
\text{Middle/High Income} & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% \\
\text{White} & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% \\
\text{Black} & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% \\
\text{Natchitoches Parish} & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\% & \quad 32.9\%
\end{align*}
\]

**Sources:**
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. (Item 196)
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

**Notes:**
- Asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: very low income = below poverty; low income = 100% to 200% of poverty; “middle/high income” = over 200% of poverty.
- Based on reported heights and weights, asked of all respondents.
- The definition of obesity is having a body mass index (BMI), a ratio of weight to height (kilograms divided by meters squared), greater than or equal to 30.0, regardless of gender.

Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
Weight Management

Health Advice About Weight Management

A total of 25.8% of adults have been given advice about their weight by a doctor, nurse or other health professional in the past year.

- Comparable to regional findings.
- Comparable to the national findings.
- Unchanged from previous survey results.
- Note that 52.0% of obese adults have been given advice about their weight by a health professional in the past year (while nearly one-half has not).

Have Received Advice About Weight in the Past Year From a Physician, Nurse, or Other Health Professional
(By Weight Classification)

49.8% of Natchitoches Parish adults who are obese report that they are trying to lose weight through a combination of diet and exercise, compared to 47.4% across the nation.

Weight Control

Many diseases are associated with overweight and obesity. Persons who are overweight or obese are at increased risk for high blood pressure, type 2 diabetes, coronary heart disease, stroke, gallbladder disease, osteoarthritis, sleep apnea, respiratory problems, and some types of cancer. The health outcomes related to these diseases, however, often can be improved through weight loss or, at a minimum, no further weight gain.

The proportion of overweight and obese adults in Natchitoches Parish who are using diet and exercise to try to lose weight has improved over time.
Relationship of Overweight With Other Health Issues

Overweight and obese adults are more likely to report a number of adverse health conditions.

These include:

- Hypertension (high blood pressure).
- High cholesterol.
- Fair/Poor overall health.
- Diabetes.

Relationship of Overweight With Other Health Issues
(Natchitoches Parish; By Weight Classification)

![Chart showing the relationship between overweight and other health issues.]

Sources:
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 5, 34, 159-160]

Notes:
- Based on reported heights and weights, asked of all respondents.

Childhood Overweight & Obesity

In children and teens, body mass index (BMI) is used to assess weight status – underweight, healthy weight, overweight, or obese. After BMI is calculated for children and teens, the BMI number is plotted on the CDC BMI-for-age growth charts (for either girls or boys) to obtain a percentile ranking. Percentiles are the most commonly used indicator to assess the size and growth patterns of individual children in the United States. The percentile indicates the relative position of the child's BMI number among children of the same sex and age.

BMI-for-age weight status categories and the corresponding percentiles are shown below:

- Underweight <5th percentile
- Healthy Weight ≥5th and <85th percentile
- Overweight ≥85th and <95th percentile
- Obese ≥95th percentile

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Based on the heights/weights reported by surveyed parents, 40.7% of Natchitoches Parish children age 6 to 17 are overweight or obese (≥85th percentile).

- Similar to the regional prevalence.
- Similar to the prevalence reported nationally.
In Natchitoches Parish, overall childhood overweight/obesity is statistically similar to what was first reported in 2005.

Specifically, 27.7% of area children age 6 to 17 are obese (≥95th percentile).

- Similar to the regional prevalence.
- Higher than the national percentage.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target.
- Statistically unchanged over time.
Notification of Child’s Weight Status

A total of 13.0% of Natchitoches Parish parents report that, within the past year, a health professional or someone at their child’s school has told them that their child was overweight.

Have Been Told by a Health Professional or Someone at Child’s School in the Past Year That Child Is Overweight
(Natchitoches Parish Parents of Children <18, 2013)

![Graph showing percentage of parents who have been told their child is overweight]

Sources: ● 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 145]
● 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.
Notes: ● Asked of all respondents with children under 18 at home.

Related Focus Group Findings: Nutrition and Obesity

Many focus group participants discussed nutrition and obesity. The main findings include:

- Poor nutrition
- Food deserts
- Fast food establishments
- Nutrition education

Participants believe that residents have **poor nutrition** which contributes to the high prevalence of obesity in the community. Residents possess poor eating habits and lack access to fresh fruits and vegetables, which contribute to the high obesity levels. Some residents live in neighborhoods classified as **food deserts**, wherein community members do not have easy access to grocery stores. Residents throughout the region may not have personal transportation, so the only option is a corner store.

For other citizens, **fast food establishments** represent the convenient, cheap option. Many times the cost of non-processed items can become a barrier to accessing healthy foods for lower income families:

“If you’ve got $5.00 in your hand, if you’re somebody with a family, you’ve got to figure out how far to make that $5.00, go, five hamburgers or a couple of cucumbers? So you can’t hardly blame them for some of the choices that they make for that reason. They don’t have the money to purchase actually what’s better for them.” — Natchitoches Parish Key Informant
Key informants note that within Natchitoches Parish, agencies are working to allow food stamp recipients to purchase fresh fruits and vegetables at Farmer's Markets. A participant explains:

"I just got back from New Orleans. That was the city's Green Market and we're going to try to expand that. They're going in to where they actually can use their food stamps to purchase green vegetables, an incentive to get people to do that. The problem we've got is finding the people to grow the vegetables. We're fixing to sit down with the Sheriff's Department to try to see if hopefully they will because we don't have enough people to grow the fresh vegetables. If you get the people down there, you've got to have a product for them to sell." — Natchitoches Parish Key Informant

Focus group attendees believe that nutrition education needs to occur more frequently in the community because many households lack basic knowledge about preparing nutritious meals and/or making healthy food choices. A respondent explains:

"But then when they do purchase, for instance, that fresh fruits and vegetables, or say for instance green beans. They don't know how to prepare them or how to cook them." — Natchitoches Parish Key Informant
Physical Activity & Fitness

The 1990s brought a historic new perspective to exercise, fitness, and physical activity by shifting the focus from intensive vigorous exercise to a broader range of health-enhancing physical activities. Research has demonstrated that virtually all individuals will benefit from regular physical activity. A Surgeon General's report on physical activity and health concluded that moderate physical activity can reduce substantially the risk of developing or dying from heart disease, diabetes, colon cancer, and high blood pressure. Physical activity also may protect against lower back pain and some forms of cancer (for example, breast cancer), but the evidence is not yet conclusive.

On average, physically active people outlive those who are inactive. Regular physical activity also helps to maintain the functional independence of older adults and enhances the quality of life for people of all ages.

The role of physical activity in preventing coronary heart disease (CHD) is of particular importance, given that CHD is the leading cause of death and disability in the United States. Physically inactive people are almost twice as likely to develop CHD as persons who engage in regular physical activity. The risk posed by physical inactivity is almost as high as several well-known CHD risk factors, such as cigarette smoking, high blood pressure, and high blood cholesterol. Physical inactivity, though, is more prevalent than any one of these other risk factors. People with other risk factors for CHD, such as obesity and high blood pressure, may particularly benefit from physical activity.


Adults’ Physical Activity

Level of Activity at Work

A majority of employed respondents reports low levels of physical activity at work.

- Over one-half (56.2%) of employed respondents reports that their job entails mostly sitting or standing, lower than the US figure.
- 26.0% report that their job entails mostly walking (similar to the figure reported nationally).
- 17.7% report that their work is physically demanding (higher than the US figure).

Primary Level of Physical Activity At Work
(Among Employed Respondents)

Sources: ● 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 99]
● 2011 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes: ● Asked of those respondents who are employed for wages.
Leisure-Time Physical Activity

Effects of Physical Inactivity & Unhealthy Diets

- Poor diet and physical inactivity lead to 300,000 deaths each year—second only to tobacco use.
- People who are overweight or obese increase their risk for heart disease, diabetes, high blood pressure, arthritis-related disabilities, and some cancers.
- Not getting an adequate amount of exercise is associated with needing more medication, visiting a physician more often, and being hospitalized more often.

"Nearly one-third of Natchitoches Parish adults (32.1%) report no leisure-time physical activity in the past month."

- Similar to the regional prevalence.
- Similar to the percentage reported across Louisiana.
- Worse than national findings.
- Similar to the Healthy People 2020 objective.

Lack of leisure-time physical activity is statistically unchanged from 2002 survey findings.

No Leisure-Time Physical Activity in the Past Month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
<td>34.1%</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
<td>34.1%</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
<td>34.1%</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:
- PRC Community Health Surveys. Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 100]
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey. Professional Research Consultants.

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
- Because the CDC implemented changes to the BRFSS weighting methodology in 2011, state findings might not be directly comparable to the regional or national findings outlined in this report.
Lack of leisure-time physical activity in the area is higher among low income and very low income residents.

No Leisure-Time Physical Activity in the Past Month
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

Activity Levels

All adults should strive to meet either of the following physical activity recommendations:

- Moderate-intensity physical activities (inducing only light sweating or a slight to moderate increase in breathing or heart rate) for at least 30 minutes on 5 or more days of the week.
  - Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/American College of Sports Medicine

  OR

- Vigorous-intensity physical activity (inducing heavy sweating or a large increase in breathing or heart rate) 3 or more days per week for 20 or more minutes per occasion.
  - Healthy People 2020

Recommended Levels of Physical Activity

A total of 45.6% of Natchitoches Parish adults participate in regular, sustained moderate or vigorous physical activity (meeting physical activity recommendations).

- Similar to the regional prevalence.
- Similar to national findings.
- Statistically unchanged over time.
Adults less likely to meet physical activity requirements include:

- Women.
- Residents with lower incomes.
- Blacks.

Meets Physical Activity Recommendations
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)
In the past month, 23.8% of adults participated in moderate physical activity (5 times a week, 30 minutes at a time).

- Similar to what was found throughout the RFSA.
- Lower than the national figure.

Participation in regular, moderate-intensity physical activity has remained statistically unchanged in the service area since 2002.

Moderate Physical Activity

Sources:
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 190]
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
- Takes part in exercise that produces only light sweating or a slight to moderate increase in breathing or heart rate at least 5 times a week for at least 30 minutes per time.

Moderate physical activity is statistically lower among Blacks.
A total of 33.0% participated in vigorous physical activity (3 times a week, 20 minutes at a time).

- Similar to what was found throughout the RFSA.
- Comparable to the nationwide figure.
- No significant change over time.

Vigorous Physical Activity

Source:
- 2013 PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 191]

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
- Takes part in activities that cause heavy sweating or large increases in breathing or heart rate at least 3 times per week for 20 minutes each time.

Vigorous physical activity is statistically lower among women and those living on lower incomes.

Vigorous Physical Activity
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)
Strengthening Activities

In the past month:

A total of 24.3% of adults regularly participate in strengthening activities (at least twice weekly) – these are activities designed to strengthen muscles, such as lifting weights or doing calisthenics.

- Similar to what was found throughout the RFSA.
- Statistically unchanged from 2002 survey findings, but fluctuating over time.

### Strengthening Activity

(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

Adults less likely to report participating in strengthening exercises at least twice weekly include:

- Women.
- Adults 40 and older.

### Strengthening Activity

(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)
A total of 26.5% of Natchitoches Parish adults typically walk regularly (at least five times per week for more than 10 minutes at a time).

Average Number of Days Per Week on Which Respondent Walks for More Than 10 Minutes at a Time (Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

- Similar to regional findings.
- Marks a significant decrease over time.

Health Advice About Physical Activity & Exercise

A total of 35.2% of Natchitoches Parish adults report that their physician has asked about or given advice to them about physical activity in the past year.

- Similar to what was found throughout the RFSA.
- Less favorable than the national average.
Note: only 54.4% of obese Natchitoches Parish respondents say that they have talked with their doctor about physical activity/exercise in the past year, lower than found nationally (60.6%).

### Have Received Advice About Exercise in the Past Year From a Physician, Nurse, or Other Health Professional (By Weight Classification)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight Classification</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Weight</td>
<td>26.5%</td>
<td>54.4%</td>
<td>44.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overw/Not Obese</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
<td>37.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:  
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 20]  
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes:  
- Asked of all respondents.

### Children’s Physical Activity

#### Participation in Physical Activity

**Overall, 76.9% of Natchitoches Parish parents of children 5-17 report that their child is physically active on a regular basis** (defined as 3+ days per week of vigorous physical activity or 5+ days per week of moderate activity).

- Comparable to regional (RFSA) findings.

### Child Is Physically Active on a Regular Basis (Among Natchitoches Parish Parents of Children Aged 5-17, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>76.9%</td>
<td>85.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Children’s Moderate Physical Activity

Just over half (53.7%) of children engage in regular moderate physical activity (5+ times per week for 30+ minutes at a time).

- Comparable to regional (RFSA) findings.

Child Engages in Regular Moderate Physical Activity
(Among Natchitoches Parish Parents of Children Aged 5-17, 2013)

Children’s Vigorous Physical Activity

A total of 7 in 10 (70.1%) children engage in regular vigorous physical activity (3+ times per week for 20+ minutes at a time).

- Comparable to regional (RFSA) findings.

Child Engages in Regular Vigorous Physical Activity
(Among Natchitoches Parish Parents of Children Aged 5-17, 2013)
Children’s Screen Time

Television Watching

In children age 5-17, 16.5% are reported to watch less than one hour of television per day; on the other hand, 33.9% are reported to watch 3+ hours of TV daily.

Children: Hours of Television Watching on a Typical School Day
(Natchitoches Parish Parents of Children Ages 5-17, 2013)

- None 3.1%
- <1 Hour 13.4%
- 1 Hour 26.4%
- 2 Hours 23.1%
- 3+ Hours 33.9%

Sources: ● 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 155]
Notes: ● Asked of respondents with children ages 5-17 at home.

- Comparable to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Comparable to the national prevalence.
- Statistically unchanged over time.

Child Watches Three or More Hours of Television on a Typical School Day
(Among Parents of Children Ages 5-17; Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

Sources: ● PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 152]
● 2013 PRC National Children’s Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
Notes: ● Asked of respondents with children ages 5-17 at home.
Fewer area children age 5-17 (26.6%) are reported to spend three or more hours on other types of screen time for entertainment (video games, Internet, etc.).

Children: Hours of Non-TV Screen Time on a Typical School Day
(Natchitoches Parish Parents of Children Ages 5-17, 2013)

- Higher than regional (RFSA) findings.
- Higher than the national prevalence.

Child Has Three or More Hours of Non-TV Screen Time on a Typical School Day
(Among Parents of Children Ages 5-17; Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

Sources:
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 156]
- 2013 PRC National Children’s Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes:
- Asked of respondents with children ages 5-17 at home.
- In this case, the term “screen time” includes video games and computer/Internet use for entertainment.
- “1 Hour” = 60-119 minutes of reported screen time; “2 Hours” = 120-179 minutes; “3 Hours” = 180-239 minutes; etc.
Total Screen Time

On a typical school day, 59.0% of school-age Natchitoches Parish children spend 3+ hours watching television, playing video games, or using the computer/Internet for entertainment.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Statistically similar to the US findings.

Children With Three or More Hours per School Day of Total Screen Time [TV, Computer, Video Games, Etc. for Entertainment]  
(Among Parents of Children 5-17)

Availability of Opportunities for Physical Activity

A total of 44.4% of survey respondents give “excellent” or “very good” ratings of the availability of opportunities for physical activity in their community.

- Another 21.1% gave "good" ratings.

Rating of the Availability of Opportunities to Participate in Physical Activity in the Community  
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)
In contrast, over one-third (34.5%) of Natchitoches Parish adults gave “fair/poor” ratings of the availability of opportunities for physical activity within the community.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Statistically unchanged since 2010.

“Fair” or “Poor” Evaluations of the Availability of Opportunities to Participate in Physical Activity in the Community

43.9% of residents with very low incomes rate physical activity opportunities in their communities as “fair” or “poor,” as do 50.9% of those with low incomes.

Note also the higher prevalence among adults under age 40 (negative correlation with age).

A higher prevalence is also found among Black residents.

“Fair” or “Poor” Evaluations of the Availability of Opportunities to Participate in Physical Activity in the Community

Sources: 2013 PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 106]
Notes: Asked of all respondents.
Community Participation in Physical Activity

Many Natchitoches Parish adults (20.7%) report that they “rarely” or “never” see others in their community being physically active, such as walking, jogging or biking.

- Another 29.5% reported “sometimes” seeing other community members being physically active.

**Frequency of Seeing Others in the Community Being Physically Active**
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

- Often 49.8%
- Sometimes 29.5%
- Rarely 13.1%
- Never 7.6%

A total of 49.8% say they “often” see others in their community being physically active, such as walking, jogging or biking.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.

**“Often” See Others in the Community Being Physically Active**

Sources: 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 105]
Notes: Asked of all respondents.
Related Focus Group Findings: Physical Activity

Many focus group participants discussed the lack of physical activity in the community. The main discussion centered on:

- Low physical activity levels
- Built environment
- Walking paths
- Technology (television or computer)
- Physical education classes

Focus group attendees feel that **low physical activity levels** increase the obesity rates in the parishes. Participants agree that many community members live sedentary lifestyles and this includes children and adolescents. The **built environment** in the parish does not encourage active lifestyles, as a key informant explains:

> "Well, they walk from house to house, but let’s be honest. They walk to the liquor store and they walk back to the house. They meet everybody on the way. One of the things when we talk about sustainable community design, you really need to have a meeting place. Natchez has an unofficial meeting place. Sadly, it’s those people who are going to the liquor store and then coming out and hanging out outside there, but it’s not the park. And what we need are more meeting spaces where you can walk to and sit down and meet not associated with drugs or alcohol but just open spaces that helps get the community outside." — Natchitoches Parish Key Informant

Other times extreme heat conditions may cause some residents to not participate in outdoor activity. In addition, perceptions of safety may impact activity; however, key informants describe that the **walking paths** are generally well utilized.

> “The walking trails, they’ve been pretty well used. What I like about them, a lot of times, like the one downtown is they will bring their kids, the mothers will bring their kids, and I know they’re just making a circle not much bigger than this but they can keep an eye on their kids because they’re so close to the playground and they just keep circling around because they’re within 50 yards of their kids. We’d love to put a larger track around because people want a larger one down there but keep it to where they can take care of their kids down there and let them be playing. I think that’s an encouraging thing, the kids in the playground, climbing and doing things, exerting energy, burning off calories while their mother is walking around.” — Natchitoches Parish Key Informant

The amount of time that residents spend in front of the **television or computer** distresses focus group members. A child’s day no longer includes regular physical activity because of the new technology; children and adolescents watch more television and play more video games than ever before.

> “We let people just sit on the couch. They’re getting non-healthy by sitting on the couch watching TV. Parents are coming in. I’m on a soap box, I know, but I’ve seen this happen in my own family. They come in and they get on their computers at night. They start doing all their stuff. They stick a TV in front of the kids.” — Natchitoches Parish Key Informant
Youth also no longer have to **participate in physical education** in school due to the increased emphasis on testing. Key informants think that the limited physical education negatively impacts the youth’s knowledge about wellness and ways to lead a healthy life.

“Years ago, our children were required to take four years of health and physical education. Then that evolved where there's only two years of health and physical education, and unfortunately now they can get a waiver on that if they're involved in extracurricular activities like band or cheerleading and again, this is where we lose an opportunity to educate kids about healthy life because we just omit that.” — Natchitoches Parish Key Informant
Substance Abuse

Substance abuse and its related problems are among society’s most pervasive health and social concerns. Each year, about 100,000 deaths in the United States are related to alcohol consumption. Illicit drug abuse and related acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) deaths account for at least another 12,000 deaths. In 1995, the economic cost of alcohol and drug abuse was $276 billion. This represents more than $1,000 for every man, woman, and child in the United States to cover the costs of healthcare, motor vehicle crashes, crime, lost productivity, and other adverse outcomes of alcohol and drug abuse.

A substantial proportion of the population drinks alcohol. Alcohol use and alcohol-related problems also are common among adolescents. Excessive drinking has consequences for virtually every part of the body. The wide range of alcohol-induced disorders is due (among other factors) to differences in the amount, duration, and patterns of alcohol consumption, as well as differences in genetic vulnerability to particular alcohol-related consequences. Alcohol use has been linked with a substantial proportion of injuries and deaths from motor vehicle crashes, falls, fires, and drownings. It also is a factor in homicide, suicide, marital violence, and child abuse and has been associated with high-risk sexual behavior.


Alcohol Use

High-Risk Alcohol Use

Chronic Drinking

A total of 4.1% of area adults averaged two or more drinks of alcohol per day in the past month (chronic drinkers).

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Similar to the national figure.
- The chronic drinking prevalence has not changed significantly since 2002.

Chronic Drinkers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. (Item 206)
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
- Chronic drinkers are defined as having 60+ alcoholic drinks in the past month.
Chronic drinking is reported more often among:

- Men.
- Whites.

### Chronic Drinkers
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Level</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>18 to 39</th>
<th>40 to 64</th>
<th>65+</th>
<th>Very Low Income</th>
<th>Low Income</th>
<th>Middle/High Income</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:**
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 206]

**Notes:**
- Asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: “very low income” = below poverty; “low income” = 100% to 200% of poverty; “middle/high income” = over 200% of poverty.
- Chronic drinkers are defined as those having 60+ alcoholic drinks in the past month.

### Binge Drinking

A total of 11.2% of Natchitoches Parish adults are binge drinkers.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Lower than the prevalence in Louisiana.
- Lower than the prevalence reported nationwide.
- Satisfies the Healthy People 2020 target.
- Statistically unchanged since 2002.

**Binge Drinkers**

**Healthy People 2020 Target = 24.4% or Lower**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:**
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 207]
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

**Notes:**
- Asked of all respondents.
- Binge drinkers are defined as men having 5+ alcoholic drinks on any one occasion or women consuming 4+ drinks on any one occasion.
- Because the CDC implemented changes to the BRFSS weighting methodology in 2011, state findings might not be directly comparable to the regional or national findings outlined in this report.
Binge drinking is more prevalent among:

- Men.
- Younger adults (note negative correlation with age).
- Whites.

### Binge Drinkers
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Category</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>18 to 39</th>
<th>40 to 64</th>
<th>65+</th>
<th>Very Low Income</th>
<th>Low Income</th>
<th>Middle/High Income</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy People 2020 Target = 24.4% or Lower</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:**
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 207]

**Notes:**
- Asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: very low income = below poverty; “low income” = 100% to 200% of poverty; “middle/high income” = over 200% of poverty.
- Binge drinkers are defined as men having 5+ alcoholic drinks on any one occasion or women consuming 4+ drinks on any one occasion.

### Drinking & Driving

A total of 0.5% of Natchitoches Parish adults acknowledge having driven a vehicle in the past month after they had perhaps too much to drink.

- Lower than found regionally.
- Lower than the national figure.
- The drinking and driving prevalence has decreased since 2002.

### Have Driven in the Past Month
After Perhaps Having Too Much to Drink

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:**
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 63]
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

**Notes:**
- Asked of all respondents.

Note: As a self-reported measure – and because this indicator reflects potentially illegal behavior – it is reasonable to expect that it might be underreported, and that the actual incidence of drinking and driving in the community is likely higher.
In the past month, 4.6% of Natchitoches Parish adults have ridden with a driver who had perhaps too much to drink.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Similar to the national figure.
- The prevalence has decreased significantly since 2005.

**Have Ridden With a Driver in the Past Month Who Had Too Much to Drink**

![Graph showing prevalence of riding with a driver who had too much to drink from 2005 to 2013 for Natchitoches Parish, RFSA, and US.]

Sources:  
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 64]  
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes:  
- Asked of all respondents.

A total of 4.9% of Natchitoches Parish adults acknowledge either drinking and driving or riding with a drunk driver in the past month.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Lower than the national percentage.
- Marks a significant decrease over time.

**Have Driven Drunk OR Ridden With a Driver in the Past Month Who Had Too Much to Drink**

![Graph showing prevalence of driving drunk or riding with a driver who had too much to drink from 2005 to 2013 for Natchitoches Parish, RFSA, and US.]

Sources:  
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 208]  
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes:  
- Asked of all respondents.
Age-Adjusted Cirrhosis/Liver Disease Deaths

Between 2008 and 2010, there was an annual average age-adjusted cirrhosis/liver disease mortality rate of 7.3 deaths per 100,000 population in Natchitoches Parish.

- Better than the regional (RFSA) rate.
- Lower than the rate reported across Louisiana.
- Lower than the national rate.
- Satisfies the Health People 2020 target.

Cirrhosis/Liver Disease: Age-Adjusted Mortality
(2008-2010 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

Sources:

Notes:
- Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
- Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
- * Due to low numbers of deaths, the rate for Natchitoches Parish represents 2001-2010 data.
- NOTE: 2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.
Illicit Drug Use

Illegal use of drugs, such as heroin, marijuana, cocaine, and methamphetamine, is associated with other serious consequences, including injury, illness, disability, and death, as well as crime, domestic violence, and lost workplace productivity. Drug users and persons with whom they have sexual contact run high risks of contracting gonorrhea, syphilis, hepatitis, tuberculosis, and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). The relationship between injection drug use and HIV/AIDS transmission is well known. Injection drug use also is associated with hepatitis B and C infections. Long-term consequences, such as chronic depression, sexual dysfunction, and psychosis, may result from drug use.

Although there has been a long-term drop in overall use, many people in the United States still use illicit drugs. Drug use among adolescents age 12 to 17 years doubled between 1992 and 2005. Drug and alcohol use by youth also is associated with other forms of unhealthy and unproductive behavior, including delinquency and high-risk sexual activity.


A total of 3.0% of Natchitoches Parish adults acknowledge using an illicit drug in the past month.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Similar to the percentage reported across the nation.
- Satisfies the Healthy People 2020 objective.
- No significant change from previous findings.

For the purposes of this survey, “illicit drug use” includes use of illegal substances or of prescription drugs taken without a physician’s order.

Note: As a self-reported measure – and because this indicator reflects potentially illegal behavior – it is reasonable to expect that it might be underreported, and that actual illicit drug use in the community is likely higher.

Illicit Drug Use in the Past Month

0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%
Natchitoches Parish RFSA US

Sources:
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. (Item 65)
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes:
- As a self-reported measure – and because this indicator reflects potentially illegal behavior – it is reasonable to expect that it might be underreported, and that actual illicit drug use in the community is likely higher.
- Binge drinkers are defined as men having 5+ alcoholic drinks on any one occasion or women consuming 4+ drinks on any one occasion.

Healthy People 2020 Target = 7.1% or Lower

3.0% 2.1% 4.0%
2005 2010 2013
Natchitoches Parish RFSA US
Age-Adjusted Drug-Induced Deaths

Between 2008 and 2010, there was an annual average age-adjusted drug-induced mortality rate of 10.6 deaths per 100,000 population in Natchitoches Parish.

- Lower than the regional (RFSA) rate.
- Lower than the statewide rate.
- Lower than the national rate.
- Satisfies the Healthy People 2020 target.

Drug-Induced Deaths: Age-Adjusted Mortality
(2008–2010* Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Healthy People 2020 Target = 11.3 or Lower</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natchitoches Parish*</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Alcohol & Drug Treatment

The stigma attached to substance abuse increases the severity of the problem. The hiding of substance abuse, for example, can prevent persons from seeking and continuing treatment and from having a productive attitude toward treatment. Compounding the problem is the gap between the number of available treatment slots and the number of persons seeking treatment for illicit drug use or problem alcohol use.


A total of 3.1% of Natchitoches Parish adults say that they have sought professional help for an alcohol or drug problem at some point in their lives.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Similar to the prevalence reported across the nation.
- Statistically unchanged over time in Natchitoches Parish.
Related Focus Group Findings: Substance Abuse

Substance abuse in the community is of concern to many focus group attendees. The main issues discussed surrounding substance abuse included:

- Prevalence of drug use
- Prescription medication
- High drug use and experimentation in youth
- Need additional substance abuse treatment programs and facilities

A number of focus group participants worry about the prejudice of drug use in the parish because it negatively impacts every aspect of a person’s life. Drug use crosses socioeconomic statuses and age ranges. Attendees describe alcohol use as a part of the culture and a “way of life” in the rural communities.

Attendees agree that substance use occurs across all demographics and worry specifically about alcohol, over-the-counter, and prescription drugs. Participants feel that many residents have easy access to prescription medication and some community members “doctor shop” for opiates, or sell it to make additional money. An attendee explains the reality of prescription drug abuse:

“Then they’ll take the prescription and they’ll sell those drugs on the market. So the prescription drug situation is a really hard one because it’s your medicine cabinet. It’s very hard to deal with.”
— Natchitoches Parish Key Informant

Focus group members also believe that community members and adolescents transport drugs to make money.

High drug use and experimentation in youth, of any income, concerns focus group attendees. The Sheriff department works closely with the school and the DARE program, but drug use is still common. A key informant describes that parental acceptance further perpetuates the drug problems:
“And that’s the problem here is it’s okay to do drugs. It’s okay to do this and we all turn our heads and we all act like, ‘Well, I did it in college. It’s okay. Well, I did it here. It’s okay.’ So that’s the problem that we have. There is nothing that teaches you that it is not okay.” — Natchitoches Parish Key Informant

Drug use has become a “normal” part of adolescents with the media glamorizing it. Attendees think that youth are no longer held accountable for their actions and parents do not inform them of the potential negative consequences.

“A lack of knowledge of what the expected outcome is going to be. For instance, a child can huff a chemical, breathe it in, and not know it and that may be the one and only time they do it but their friends encourage them, their peer pressure, but none of those peer pressure group tells them, ‘Hey, you can die if you do that.’ So the expected outcomes, the knowledge of that is not there, and that’s supposed to be gotten obviously from their home, their instruction of what to do and what not to do.” — Natchitoches Parish Key Informant

The Natchitoches Parish Sheriff Department formed a Task Force to combat the drug issue in the community and the results have been promising:

“I know from knowing people that are on that task force that they have made an impact on some of the drugs, but it’s still, like she said, it’s just an overwhelming amount... But you’re constantly seeing law enforcement. They know it’s an issue. They’re keeping as much pressure on it.” — Natchitoches Parish Key Informant

Attendees feel that the community needs additional substance abuse treatment programs and facilities. Only a limited number of organizations provide substance abuse treatment and very few have programs for adolescents. Few inpatient options operate in the region.
Tobacco Use

Cigarette smoking causes heart disease, several kinds of cancer (lung, larynx, esophagus, pharynx, mouth, and bladder), and chronic lung disease. Cigarette smoking also contributes to cancer of the pancreas, kidney, and cervix. Smoking during pregnancy causes spontaneous abortions, low birthweight, and sudden infant death syndrome. Other forms of tobacco are not safe alternatives to smoking cigarettes.

Tobacco use is responsible for more than 430,000 deaths per year among adults in the United States [about 20% of all deaths]. If current tobacco use patterns persist in the United States, an estimated 5 million persons under age 18 years will die prematurely from a smoking-related disease. Direct medical costs related to smoking total at least $50 billion per year [other sources estimate more than $75 billion in 1998 (about 8% of the personal healthcare expenditures in the US)]; direct medical costs related to smoking during pregnancy are approximately $1.4 billion per year.

Evidence is accumulating that shows maternal tobacco use is associated with mental retardation and birth defects such as oral clefts. Exposure to secondhand smoke also has serious health effects. Researchers have identified more than 4,000 chemicals in tobacco smoke; of these, at least 43 cause cancer in humans and animals. Each year, because of exposure to secondhand smoke, an estimated 3,000 nonsmokers die of lung cancer, and 150,000 to 300,000 infants and children under age 18 months experience lower respiratory tract infections.


Cigarette Smoking

Cigarette Smoking Prevalence

A total of 21.7% of Natchitoches Parish adults currently smoke cigarettes, either regularly (16.9% every day) or occasionally (4.8% on some days).

Cigarette Smoking Prevalence
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

Sources: ● 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 201]
Notes: ● Asked of all respondents.
- Similar to what was found throughout the RFSA.
- Similar to state findings.
- Higher than national findings.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target.
- The current smoking percentage is statistically similar to that reported in Natchitoches Parish in 2002 (although the 2005-2010 change represents a significant decrease).

### Current Smokers

![Current Smokers Graph]

- Healthy People 2020 Target = 12.0% or Lower
- Includes 16.9% of adults who smoke every day, and 4.8% who smoke on some days.

#### Sources:
- PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 201]
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
- Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) Survey Data - Atlanta, Georgia, United States Department of Health and Human Services
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC): 2011 Louisiana Data

#### Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
- Includes regular and occasional smokers (everyday and some days).
- Because the CDC implemented changes to the BRFSS weighting methodology in 2011, state findings might not be directly comparable to the regional or national findings outlined in this report.

Cigarette smoking is more prevalent among:

- Adults under age 65 (note negative correlation with age).
- Low income residents (note negative correlation with income).

Note also:

- 20.9% of women of child-bearing age (ages 18 to 44) currently smoke. This is notable given that tobacco use increases the risk of infertility, as well as the risks for miscarriage, stillbirth and low birthweight for women who smoke during pregnancy.
Current Smokers
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

- Healthy People 2020 Target = 12% or Lower

Among women 18–44, 20.9% are regular or occasional smokers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>18 to 39</th>
<th>40 to 64</th>
<th>65+</th>
<th>Very Low Income</th>
<th>Low Income</th>
<th>Middle/High Income</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>43.1%</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>42.2%</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>20.2%</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 201-202]

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: very low income = below poverty; low income = 100% to 200% of poverty; middle/high income = over 200% of poverty.

Environmental Tobacco Smoke

A total of 21.6% of Natchitoches Parish adults (including smokers and non-smokers) report that a member of their household has smoked cigarettes in the home in the past month an average of four or more times per week.

- Worse than the regional finding.
- Worse than the national finding.
- This indicator has remained unchanged over time.
- Note that 8.6% of Natchitoches Parish non-smokers are exposed to cigarette smoke at home, similar to the US prevalence.

Member of Household Smokes at Home

Note that 8.6% of non-smokers are exposed to smoke in the home. (US = 6.3%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>21.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 55, 2013]
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
- “Smokes at home” refers to someone smoking cigarettes, cigar, or a pipe in the home an average of four or more times per week in the past month.
Notably higher among adults under age 65, residents living at lower incomes (especially very low incomes), and Blacks.

**Member of Household Smokes At Home**
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

Among households with children, 25.0% have someone who smokes cigarettes in the home.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Much higher than national findings.
- Marks a statistically significant increase over time among households with children.

**Percentage of Households With Children In Which Someone Smokes in the Home**
Smoking Cessation

Health Advice About Smoking Cessation

A total of 58.8% of smokers say that a doctor, nurse or other health professional has recommended in the past year that they quit smoking.

- Similar to what was found regionally.
- Statistically comparable to the national percentage.
- Statistically unchanged in Natchitoches Parish since 2005.

Received Advice to Quit Smoking by a Healthcare Professional
(Among Natchitoches Parish Current Smokers, 2013)

Sources:
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. (Item 54)
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes:
- Asked of all current smokers.

Smoking Cessation Attempts

A total of 64.2% of regular smokers went without smoking for one day or longer in the past year because they were trying to quit smoking.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Similar to the national percentage.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target.
- Marks a statistically significant increase over time.
Have Stopped Smoking for One Day or Longer in the Past Year in an Attempt to Quit Smoking
(Among Natchitoches Parish Everyday Smokers, 2013)

Sources:
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 53]
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes:
- Asked of respondents who smoke cigarettes every day.

Education & Programming

A total of 32.9% of Natchitoches Parish adults (including both smokers and non-smokers) are aware of services, programs, or classes to help smokers quit smoking.

- Lower than regional (RFSA) findings.
- Significantly higher than when it was first measured in 2010.

Aware of Services, Programs or Classes to Help Smokers Quit Smoking
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

Sources:
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 56]

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
In the past year or so, nearly half of the parents in Natchitoches Parish (48.2%) feel that their child has talked to them “less” about tobacco control activities in his or her school.

- 32.9% feel the amount of discussion has not changed over the past year or so (“about the same”) while fewer (18.9%) believe that their child has talked with them “more” about school tobacco control activities.

In the Past Year or So, Child Has Talked With Parents More/Less/Same Regarding School Tobacco Control Activities
(Natchitoches Parish Parents of Children Age 12-17, 2013)

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Statistically unchanged from 2010 survey findings.

Sources: ● 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 139]
Notes: ● Asked of respondents with children ages 12-17 at home.

Child Has Talked With Parents More in the Past Year or So Regarding School Tobacco Control Activities
(Natchitoches Parish Parents of Children Age 12-17, 2013)

Sources: ● PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 139]
Notes: ● Asked of respondents with children ages 12-17 at home.
Public Perceptions of Smoking

The majority of Natchitoches Parish survey respondents believes that most people are against smoking, indicating that the public feels a person “definitely should not smoke” (39.5%) or “probably should not smoke” (36.9%).

- Another 9.6% believe that the general public opinion is that it is “okay to smoke sometimes,” and another 14.0% believe that public opinion says it is okay to smoke “as much as a person wants.”

![Chart: Perception of How Most People in the Community Feel About Adults Smoking (Natchitoches Parish, 2013)]

- The proportion of respondents who feel that people “definitely should not smoke” is similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Statistically unchanged over time.

![Chart: Respondent Perceives That Most People in the Community Believe That Adults Definitely Should Not Smoke (Natchitoches Parish, 2013)]

Sources: ● 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 57]

Notes: ● Asked of all respondents.
No significant differences among demographics.

**Respondent Perceives That Most People in the Community Believe That Adults Definitely Should Not Smoke**

(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>39.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>39.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 39</td>
<td>33.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 to 64</td>
<td>43.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>46.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Low Income</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Income</td>
<td>40.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle/High Income</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>39.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natchitoches Parish</td>
<td>39.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 57]

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: very low income = below poverty; low income = 100% to 200% of poverty; middle/high income = over 200% of poverty.

Other Tobacco Use

**Smokeless Tobacco**

A total of 9.6% of Natchitoches Parish adults use chewing tobacco or snuff every day or on some days.

- Comparable to what was found throughout the RFSA.
- Significantly higher than the national percentage.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target.

Smokeless tobacco use in Natchitoches Parish remains statistically unchanged since 2002.

**Use of Smokeless Tobacco**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 58]

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
- Smokeless tobacco includes chewing tobacco or snuff.
SELF-REPORTED HEALTH STATUS
Self-Reported Health Status

**Overall Health Status**

Respondents were asked the following:

“Would you say that in general your health is: excellent, very good, good, fair or poor?”

A total of 46.4% of Natchitoches Parish adults rate their overall health as “excellent” or “very good.”

- Another 31.8% gave “good” ratings of their overall health.

### Self-Reported Health Status
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

- Excellent: 17.4%
- Very Good: 29.0%
- Good: 31.8%
- Fair: 16.5%
- Poor: 5.2%

**Experience “Fair” or “Poor” Physical Health**

- 21.7% of adults believes that their overall health is “fair” or “poor.”
  - Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
  - Similar to the Louisiana prevalence.
  - Higher than the national percentage.

Overall, “fair/poor” responses have remained unchanged in Natchitoches Parish since the 2002 survey.

**Sources:**
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.  [Item 5]
- Asked of all respondents.

**Notes:**
- Because the CDC implemented changes to the BRFSS weighting methodology in 2011, state findings might not be directly comparable to the regional or national findings outlined in this report.
Adults more likely to report experiencing “fair” or “poor” overall health include:

- Adults age 40 and older (specifically those age 40 to 64).
- Residents living at lower incomes (note the negative correlation with income).
- Blacks.

**Experience “Fair” or “Poor” Physical Health**
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>18 to 39</th>
<th>40 to 64</th>
<th>65+</th>
<th>Very Low Income</th>
<th>Low Income</th>
<th>Middle/High Income</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
<td>37.6%</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
<td>29.8%</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 to 64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Low Income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle/High Income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natchitoches Parish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: ● 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 5]

Notes: ● Asked of all respondents.
   ● Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: very low income = below poverty; low income = 100% to 200% of poverty; middle/high income = over 200% of poverty.

**Activity Limitations**

An estimated 54 million persons in the United States currently live with disabilities. The increase in disability among all age groups indicates a growing need for public health programs serving people with disabilities.

The direct medical and indirect annual costs associated with disability [in the US] are more than $300 billion, or 4 percent of the gross domestic product. This total cost includes $160 billion in medical care expenditures (1994 dollars) and lost productivity costs approaching $155 billion.

The health promotion and disease prevention needs of people with disabilities are not nullified because they are born with an impairing condition or have experienced a disease or injury that has long-term consequences. People with disabilities have increased health concerns and susceptibility to secondary conditions. Having a long-term condition increases the need for health promotion that can be medical, physical, social, emotional, or societal.


**A total of 26.6% of Natchitoches Parish adults are limited in some way in some activities due to a physical, mental or emotional problem.**

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Similar to the state prevalence.
- Less favorable than the prevalence nationwide.
- The prevalence of activity limitations has increased significantly in Natchitoches Parish since 2002.
Limited in Activities in Some Way
Due to a Physical, Mental or Emotional Problem

Sources:
● PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 118]
● 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes:
● Asked of all respondents.
● Because the CDC implemented changes to the BRFSS weighting methodology in 2011, state findings might not be directly comparable to the regional or national findings outlined in this report.

In looking at responses by key demographic characteristics, note the following:

- Men are often limited in activities.
- Adults age 40 or older are more often limited in activities (especially those age 40 to 64).
- Note also the negative correlation between limitations and household income.

A total of 22.4% of adults with activity limitations note that their impairment is due to a work-related illness or injury (similar to the 23.3% reported in 2002).

Limited in Activities in Some Way
Due to a Physical, Mental or Emotional Problem
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

Sources:
● 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 118, 120]

Notes:
● Asked of all respondents.
● Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: very low income = below poverty; low income = 100% to 200% of poverty; middle/high income = over 200% of poverty.

22.4% of these adults report that their impairment/health problem was the result of a work-related illness or injury (compared to 23.3% in 2002).
Among persons reporting activity limitations, these are most often attributed to musculoskeletal issues, such as back/neck problems, arthritis/rheumatism, fractures/joint injuries, or problems walking.

Other problems mentioned with less frequency include lung/breathing problems, heart conditions, and emotional/mental problems.

### Type of Problem That Limits Activities
(Among Those Reporting Activity Limitations; Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Back/Neck Problem</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthritis/Rheumatism</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture/Bone/Joint Injury</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional/Mental Problem</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung/Breathing</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking Problem</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart Condition</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various Other</td>
<td>44.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 119]
Notes: Asked of those respondents reporting activity limitations.

### Days of Limited Activity

While 75.8% of Natchitoches Parish adults report no days in the past month when poor physical or mental health prevented their usual activities, 18.7% report experiencing four or more such days.

- Close to regional findings.
- Marks a significant increase over time.

### Experience Four or More Days in the Past Month on Which Physical or Mental Health Prevented Usual Activities

Sources: PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 8]
Notes: Asked of all respondents.
Adults more likely to indicate that health limited their usual activities include:

- Residents age 40 to 64.
- Respondents with lower incomes (note the negative correlation).
- Blacks.

**Experience Four or More Days in the Past Month on Which Poor Physical/Mental Health Prevented Usual Activities**

(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>18 to 39</th>
<th>40 to 64</th>
<th>65+</th>
<th>Very Low Income</th>
<th>Low Income</th>
<th>Middle/High Income</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>41.5%</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 8]

Notes: Asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: very low income = below poverty; low income = 100% to 200% of poverty; middle/high income = over 200% of poverty.
In the past month, Natchitoches Parish adults averaged 4.7 days on which their physical health was not good.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- The current average is similar to the 2010 average.

**Average Number of Days in the Past Month on Which Respondents’ Physical Health Was Not Good**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:** PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 6]

**Notes:**
- Asked of all respondents.

Adults more likely to report days when physical health was not good include:

- Residents age 40 and older.
- Residents with lower incomes.
- Whites.

**Average Number of Days in the Past Month on Which Respondents’ Physical Health Was Not Good**

(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18 to 39</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 to 64</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Low Income</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Income</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle/High Income</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natchitoches Parish</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:** 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 6]

**Notes:**
- Asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: very low income = below poverty; "low income" = 100% to 200% of poverty; "middle/high income" = over 200% of poverty.
Mental Health & Mental Disorders

Mental health is a state of successful performance of mental function, resulting in productive activities, fulfilling relationships with other people, and the ability to adapt to change and to cope with adversity. Mental health is indispensable to personal well-being, family and interpersonal relationships, and contribution to community or society. Mental disorders are health conditions that are characterized by alterations in thinking, mood, or behavior (or some combination thereof), which are associated with distress and/or impaired functioning and spawn a host of human problems that may include disability, pain, or death. Mental illness is the term that refers collectively to all diagnosable mental disorders.

Mental disorders generate an immense public health burden of disability. The World Health Organization, in collaboration with the World Bank and Harvard University, has determined that the impact of mental illness on overall health and productivity in the United States and throughout the world often is profoundly underrecognized [Global Burden of Disease study]. In established market economies such as the United States, mental illness is on a par with heart disease and cancer as a cause of disability. Suicide—a major public health problem in the US—occurs most frequently as a consequence of a mental disorder.

Mental disorders occur across the lifespan, affecting persons of all racial and ethnic groups, both genders, and all educational and socioeconomic groups.

As the life expectancy of individuals continues to grow longer, the sheer number—although not necessarily the proportion—of persons experiencing mental disorders of late life will expand. This trend will present society with unprecedented challenges in organizing, financing, and delivering effective preventive and treatment services for mental health.


Mental Health Status

Self-Reported Mental Health Status

A total of 59.1% of Natchitoches Parish adults rate their overall mental health as “excellent” or “very good.”

- Another 27.2% gave “good” ratings of their own mental health status.

Self-Reported Mental Health Status
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

- Excellent: 33.1%
- Very Good: 26.0%
- Good: 27.2%
- Fair: 8.1%
- Poor: 5.6%

Sources: 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 111]
Notes: Asked of all respondents.
A total of 13.7% of Natchitoches Parish adults believe that their overall mental health is “fair” or “poor.”

- Similar to what is found in the region (RFSA).
- Comparable to the “fair/poor” percentage reported across the nation.
- Statistically similar to baseline 2005 findings (although lower than 2010 findings).

Experience “Fair” or “Poor” Mental Health

![Experience “Fair” or “Poor” Mental Health](chart)

Sources: PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 111]

Notes: Asked of all respondents.

Adults more likely to report experiencing “fair” or “poor” mental health include:

- Residents under age 65 (note negative correlation with age).
- Residents at lower incomes (note the strong negative correlation with income).
- Blacks.

Experience “Fair” or “Poor” Mental Health
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

![Experience “Fair” or “Poor” Mental Health](chart)

Sources: 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 111]

Notes: Asked of all respondents.

Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size. very low income” = below poverty; “low income” = 100% to 200% of poverty; “middle/high income” = over 200% of poverty.
Days of Poor Mental Health

In the past month, Natchitoches Parish residents averaged 4.6 days on which their mental health was not good.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- The current average is up from the 2010 average.

Average Number of Days in the Past Month on Which Respondents’ Mental Health Was Not Good

Sources: PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 7]
Notes: Asked of all respondents.

Adults more likely to report days when mental health was not good include:

- Respondents with very low income.
- Whites.

Average Number of Days in the Past Month on Which Respondents’ Mental Health Was Not Good
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

Sources: 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 7]
Notes: * Asked of all respondents.  
Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: very low income” = below poverty; “low income” = 100% to 200% of poverty; “middle/high income” = over 200% of poverty.
Days of Feeling Sad, Blue or Depressed

Natchitoches Parish adults average 3.8 days per month when they felt sad, blue, or depressed.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Similar to most prior survey findings.

**Average Number of Days Felt Sad, Blue, or Depressed in Past Month**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note in the following chart the negative correlations with age and income.**

**Averages are also higher among White residents of Natchitoches Parish.**

**Average Number of Days Felt Sad, Blue, or Depressed in Past Month**

(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>18 to 39</th>
<th>40 to 64</th>
<th>65+</th>
<th>Very Low Income</th>
<th>Low Income</th>
<th>Middle/High Income</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:** PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 114]

**Notes:**
- Asked of all respondents.
Depression

Diagnosed Major Depression

A total of 15.4% of Natchitoches Parish adults report having been diagnosed with major depression by a physician at some point in their lives.

- Comparable to what was found in the RFSA.

Note that the prevalence of diagnosed major depression is notably higher among:

- Adults under age 40.
- Community members living at lower income levels (note the negative correlation).

Sources: 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 112]
Notes: Asked of all respondents.

Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: very low income = below poverty; low income = 100% to 200% of poverty; middle/high income = over 200% of poverty.
Symptoms of Chronic Depression

A total of 31.8% of Natchitoches Parish adults have had two or more years in their lives when they felt depressed or sad on most days, although they may have felt okay sometimes.

- Comparable to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Comparable to national findings.
- Statistically unchanged from 2002 survey findings (although down from 2010 findings).

Have Experienced Symptoms of Chronic Depression

Note that the prevalence of chronic depression is notably higher among:

- Adults under age 40.
- Community members living at lower income levels (note the negative correlation).
- Blacks.

Have Experienced Symptoms of Chronic Depression
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

Sources: • 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 113]
Notes: • Asked of all respondents.

Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: very low income = below poverty; low income = 100% to 200% of poverty; middle/high income = over 200% of poverty.
Mental Health Treatment

Modern treatments for mental disorders are highly effective, with a variety of treatment options available for most disorders. However, the majority of persons with mental disorders do not receive mental health services.

Evidence that mental disorders are legitimate and highly responsive to appropriate treatment promises to be a potent antidote to stigma. Stigma creates barriers to providing and receiving competent and effective mental health treatment and can lead to inappropriate treatment, unemployment, and homelessness.

The co-occurrence of addictive disorders among persons with mental disorders is gaining increasing attention from mental health professionals. Having both mental and addictive disorders is a particularly significant clinical treatment issue, complicating treatment for each disorder.


Seeking Help

Among adults with chronic depression, 52.0% acknowledge that they have sought professional help for a mental or emotional problem.

- Similar to corresponding regional (RFSA) findings.
- Similar to national findings.
- Note the statistically significant increase in the percentage of Natchitoches Parish adults with chronic depression who sought professional help in the past year.
- Of those seeking help, 83.4% report getting the services they needed.

Have Sought Professional Help for a Mental or Emotional Problem
(Among Residents With Chronic Depression, 2013)

Sources: ● PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 115-116]
● 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes: ● Asked of those respondents who have experienced chronic depression.
A total of 11.4% of Natchitoches Parish adults are currently taking medication or receiving treatment from a doctor or other health professional for some type of mental health condition or emotional problem.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.

**Currently Taking Medication or Receiving Treatment for a Mental Health Condition or Emotional Problem**

There are no significant differences among demographic groups for mental health treatment.

**Currently Taking Medication or Receiving Treatment for a Mental Health Condition or Emotional Problem**

(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)
Mental Health designations are approved by the federal Office of Shortage Designation (OSD) in the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA). Louisiana’s Bureau of Primary Care and Rural Health (BPCRH) looks at the number of Psychiatrists only to calculate an area’s mental health ratio. A ratio of 30,000:1 is required. The ratio for High Needs is 20,000:1.

For each of the three HPSA Designation types, there are three sub-categories, which include:

- **Geographic designations**—these take into account the entire population of the requested area to all available psychiatrists.

- **Population Group designations**—these are special groups. The most common of these are Low Income and Medicaid-Eligible designations. Low income designations use a ratio built upon the low income population of the area and the physicians providing services to this population. Medicaid-eligible designations are based on the number of Medicaid-eligible people and the physicians that accept Medicaid.

- **Facility designations**—these look at a facility’s outpatient census, waiting times, patients’ residences and in-house faculty to evaluate a facility’s designation eligibility.

Natchitoches Parish is a geographically designated HPSAs for mental health.
Birth Rates

Between 2010 and 2012, Natchitoches Parish experienced 13.4 births per 1,000 population.

- Similar to what was found throughout the RFSA.
- Similar to the rate reported statewide.
- Similar to the national birth rate (which reflects 2009-2011 data).

The Natchitoches Parish birth rate has decreased somewhat in recent years, similar to state and national trends.

---

**Birth Rate**

(2010-2012* Annual Average Births per 1,000 Population)

Sources:
- Louisiana State Center for Health Statistics and Louisiana Center for Records and Statistics.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Vital Statistics System.

Notes:
- Rates are births per 1,000 population.
- Regional and statewide data for 2012 represent preliminary data.
- US rate represents 2009-2011 data.

---

**Birth Rate**

(Annual Average Births per 1,000 Population)

Sources:
- Louisiana State Center for Health Statistics and Louisiana Center for Records and Statistics.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Vital Statistics System.

Notes:
- Rates are births per 1,000 population.
- Regional and statewide data for 2012 represent preliminary data.
Prenatal Care

Many risk factors can be mitigated or prevented with good pre-conception and prenatal care. Prenatal visits offer an opportunity to provide information about the adverse effects of substance use, including alcohol and tobacco during pregnancy, and serve as a vehicle for referrals to treatment services. The use of timely, high-quality prenatal care can help to prevent poor birth outcomes and improve maternal health by identifying women who are at particularly high risk and taking steps to mitigate risks, such as the risk of high blood pressure or other maternal complications.

African American and Hispanic women also are less likely than Whites to enter prenatal care early. For both African American and White women, the proportion entering prenatal care in the first trimester rises with maternal age until the late thirties, then begins to decline ... Women in certain racial and ethnic groups also are less likely than White women to breastfeed their infants.


Between 2007 and 2009, 15.0% of Natchitoches Parish births did not receive early and adequate prenatal care.

- Less favorable than the regional proportion.
- Similar to the Louisiana proportion.

Mothers Not Receiving Early and Adequate Prenatal Care
(Percentage of Live Births, 2007-2009)

![Graph showing the percentage of mothers not receiving early and adequate prenatal care in Natchitoches Parish, RFSA, and LA. The graph indicates that 15.0% of births in Natchitoches Parish did not receive early and adequate prenatal care, compared to 12.2% in RFSA and 14.9% in LA.]

Sources: ● Agenda for Children and KIDS COUNT Data Center: http://datacenter.kidscount.org.
Note: ● Represents the percentage of all live births within each population who did not receive early and adequate prenatal care.
● The Kotelchuck Index is used to measure early and adequate prenatal care. “Early and Adequate Prenatal Care” means that prenatal care began in month 1, 2, 3, or 4 of pregnancy, and that 80% or more of expected prenatal care visits were received.
Receipt of early and adequate prenatal care in Natchitoches Parish has improved slightly overall, although no consistent trend is apparent.

**Mothers Not Receiving Early and Adequate Prenatal Care**  
(Percentage of Live Births)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001-03</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-04</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003-05</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-06</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-07</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-08</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-09</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: 
- Agenda for Children and KIDS COUNT Data Center: http://datacenter.kidscount.org

Note: 
- Numbers are a percentage of all live births within each population.
- The Kotelchuck Index is used to measure early and adequate prenatal care. "Early and Adequate Prenatal Care" means that prenatal care began in month 1, 2, 3, or 4 of pregnancy, and that 80% or more of expected prenatal care visits were received.
Birth Outcomes & Risks

The health of mothers, infants, and children is of critical importance, both as a reflection of the current health status of a large segment of the US population and as a predictor of the health of the next generation... Infant mortality is an important measure of a nation’s health and a worldwide indicator of health status and social well-being. As of 1995, the US infant mortality rates ranked 25th among industrialized nations. In the past decade, critical measures of increased risk of infant death, such as new cases of low birth weight (LBW) and very low birth weight (VLBW), actually have increased in the United States. In addition, the disparity in infant mortality rates between Whites and specific racial and ethnic groups (especially African Americans, American Indians or Alaska Natives, Native Hawaiians, and Puerto Ricans) persists. Although the overall infant mortality rate has reached record low levels, the rate for African Americans remains twice that of Whites.

LBW is associated with long-term disabilities, such as cerebral palsy, autism, mental retardation, vision and hearing impairments, and other developmental disabilities... The general category of LBW infants includes both those born too early (preterm infants) and those who are born at full term but who are too small, a condition known as intrauterine growth retardation (IUGR). Maternal characteristics that are risk factors associated with IUGR include maternal LBW, prior LBW birth history, low prepregnancy weight, cigarette smoking, multiple births, and low pregnancy weight gain. Cigarette smoking is the greatest known risk factor.


Low-Weight Births

A total of 11.7% of 2010-2012 Natchitoches Parish births were low weight.

- Less favorable than found regionally.
- Less favorable than the Louisiana proportion.
- Less favorable than the national proportion (which reflects 2009-2011 data).
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target.

Low-Weight Births
(Percentage of Live Births, 2010-2012*)

Sources:
- Louisiana State Center for Health Statistics and Louisiana Center for Records and Statistics.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Vital Statistics System.

Note:
- Numbers are a percentage of all live births within each population.
- Regional and statewide data for 2012 represent preliminary data.
- *US rate represents 2009-2011 data.
This proportion has not significantly changed in Natchitoches Parish in recent years.

Low-Weight Births
(Percentage of Live Births)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Healthy People 2020</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005-07</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-08</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-09</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-10</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-11</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-12</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: ● Louisiana State Center for Health Statistics and Louisiana Center for Records and Statistics.

Notes:
- Regional and statewide data for 2012 represent preliminary data.

Infant Mortality

Between 2008 and 2010, there was an annual average of 8.5 infant deaths per 1,000 live births.

- Higher than the regional (RFSA) rate.
- Comparable to the state rate.
- Higher than the national rate.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 goal.

Infant Mortality Rate
(2008-2010* Annual Average Infant Deaths per 1,000 Live Births)

![Infant Mortality Rate Graph]

● Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Center for Health Statistics.

Notes:
- Rates are three-year averages of deaths of children under 1 year old per 1,000 live births.
- * Due to low numbers of deaths: the rate for Natchitoches Parish represents 2006-2010 data.
- NOTE: 2006-2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.
Neonatal mortality rates reflect deaths of children within the first 28 days of life per 1,000 live births.

Between 2008 and 2010, there was an annual average of 4.7 neonatal deaths per 1,000 live births.

- Higher than the regional rate.
- Comparable to the Louisiana rate.
- Higher than the national rate.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 goal of 4.1 per 1,000 live births.

**Neonatal Mortality Rate**

(2008-2010* Annual Average Neonatal Deaths per 1,000 Live Births)

- **Healthy People 2020 Target = 4.1 or Lower**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish*</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2008-2010</strong></td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Due to low numbers of deaths: the rate for Natchitoches Parish represents 2001-2010 data.

**Notes:**
- Rates are averages of deaths of children within the first 28 days of life per 1,000 live births.
- * Due to low numbers of deaths: the rate for Natchitoches Parish represents 2001-2010 data.
- NOTE: 2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.

**Sources:**
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.
Family Planning

In an era when technology should enable couples to have considerable control over their fertility, half of all pregnancies in the United States are unintended. Although between 1987 and 1994 the proportion of pregnancies that were unintended declined in the United States from 57 to 49 percent, other industrialized nations report fewer unintended pregnancies, suggesting that the number of unintended pregnancies can be reduced further. Family planning remains a keystone in attaining a national goal aimed at achieving planned, wanted pregnancies and preventing unintended pregnancies.

Socially, the costs can be measured in unintended births, reduced educational attainment and employment opportunity, greater welfare dependency, and increased potential for child abuse and neglect. Economically, healthcare costs are increased ... The consequences of unintended pregnancy are not confined to those occurring in teenagers or unmarried couples. In fact, unintended pregnancy can carry serious consequences at all ages and life stages.

With an unintended pregnancy, the mother is less likely to seek prenatal care in the first trimester and more likely not to obtain prenatal care at all. She is less likely to breastfeed and more likely to expose the fetus to harmful substances, such as tobacco or alcohol. The child of such a pregnancy is at greater risk of low birth weight, dying in its first year, being abused, and not receiving sufficient resources for healthy development. A disproportionate share of the women bearing children whose conception was unintended are unmarried or at either end of the reproductive age span—factors that, in themselves, carry increased medical and social burdens for children and their parents. Pregnancy begun without some degree of planning often prevents individual women and men from participating in preconception risk identification and management.

Unintended pregnancies occur among females of all socioeconomic levels and all marital status and age groups, but females under age 20 years and poor and African American women are especially likely to become pregnant unintentionally. More than 4 in 10 pregnancies to White and Hispanic females [nationwide] are unintended; 7 in 10 pregnancies to African American females [nationwide] are unintended. Poverty is strongly related to greater difficulty in using reversible contraceptive methods successfully, with these females also the least likely to have the resources necessary to access family planning services and the most likely to be affected negatively by an unintended pregnancy.


Births to Unwed Mothers

Six out of 10 births (60.2%) in 2010-2012 were to women who were not married at the time.

- Higher than regional (RFSA) findings.
- Higher than the percentage reported statewide.
- Higher than that found nationally.
Births to Unwed Mothers
(Percentage of Live Births, 2010-2012*)

Sources:
- Louisiana State Center for Health Statistics and Louisiana Center for Records and Statistics.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Vital Statistics System.

Note:
- Numbers are a percentage of all live births within each population.
- Regional and statewide data for 2012 represent preliminary data.
- *US rate represents 2009-2011 data.

The percentage of births to unwed mothers in Natchitoches Parish is considerably higher in the Black population.

Births to Unwed Mothers by Race
(Percentage of Live Births, 2010-2012)

Sources:
- Louisiana State Center for Health Statistics and Louisiana Center for Records and Statistics.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Vital Statistics System.

Note:
- Numbers are a percentage of all live births within each population.
- Regional and statewide data for 2012 represent preliminary data.

The percentage of births to unwed mothers in Natchitoches Parish has remained relatively unchanged in recent years.
Births to Unwed Mothers

For teenagers, the problems associated with unintended pregnancy are compounded, and the consequences are well documented. Teenage mothers are less likely to get or stay married, less likely to complete high school or college, and more likely to require public assistance and to live in poverty than their peers who are not mothers. Infants born to teenage mothers, especially mothers under age 15 years, are more likely to suffer from low birth weight, neonatal death, and sudden infant death syndrome. The infants may be at greater risk of child abuse, neglect, and behavioral and educational problems at later stages. Nearly 1 million teenage pregnancies occur each year in the United States.


A total of 14.3% of 2010-2012 births were to mothers under the age of 20.

- Higher than regional (RFSA) findings.
- Higher than the percentage reported across Louisiana.
- Higher than the percentage found nationally.

Births to Mothers Under Age 20
(Percentage of Live Births, 2010-2012*)

Sources:
- Louisiana State Center for Health Statistics and Louisiana Center for Records and Statistics.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Vital Statistics System.

Note:
- Numbers are a percentage of all live births within each population.
- Regional and statewide data for 2012 represent preliminary data.
- *US rate represents 2009-2011 data.
The percentage of births to mothers under age 20 in Natchitoches Parish has decreased over time, echoing the state and national trends.

**Births to Mothers Under Age 20**  
(Percentage of Live Births)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natchitoches Parish</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:  
- Louisiana State Center for Health Statistics and Louisiana Center for Records and Statistics.  
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Vital Statistics System.  
- Regional and statewide data for 2012 represent preliminary data.
Vaccine-Preventable Conditions

Measles, Mumps, Rubella

Between 2010 and 2012, there were no reported cases of measles, mumps, or rubella in Natchitoches Parish.

Reported Case Rates for Vaccine-Preventable Diseases
(Incidence per 100,000 Population; 2010-2012*)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measles</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mumps</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubella</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pertussis</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>6.9*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: ● Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals Office of Public Health.
● Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Epidemiology Program Office.

Notes: ● Rates are annual average new cases per 100,000 population.
● *US rates represent 2009-2011 data. United States measles cases only include those infected while in the United States.

Pertussis

Between 2010 and 2012, there were no cases of pertussis reported in Natchitoches Parish.

- Lower than regional (RFSA) incidence.
- Lower than the Louisiana incidence rate.
- Much lower than the national incidence rate (2009-2011 data).

Incidence rates have fluctuated over the past several years in Natchitoches Parish.

Pertussis Incidence
(Annual Average Cases per 100,000 Population)

Sources: ● Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals Office of Public Health.
● Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.

Notes: ● Rates are annual average new cases per 100,000 population.
Acute Hepatitis C

There were no incidences of acute hepatitis C between 2010 and 2012 in Natchitoches Parish.

- The Natchitoches Parish rate is lower than the regional, statewide, and US rates (US rate reflects 2009-2011 data).
- The Natchitoches Parish rate satisfies the Healthy People 2020 target.

### Hepatitis C (Acute) Incidence

(2010-2012* Annual Average Cases per 100,000 Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>US*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003-2005</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-2006</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-2007</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-2008</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-2009</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-2010</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-2011</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-2012</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Healthy People 2020 Target = 0.25 or Lower

Sources: ● Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals Office of Public Health.
● Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.

Notes: ● Rates are annual average new cases per 100,000 population.
● *US rate reflects 2009-2011 data.

There have been no reported cases of Hepatitis C in Natchitoches Parish over the past several years.

### Hepatitis C (Acute) Incidence

(Annual Average Cases per 100,000 Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Healthy People 2020</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003-2005</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-2006</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-2007</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-2008</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-2009</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-2010</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-2011</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-2012</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: ● Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals Office of Public Health.
● Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.

Notes: ● Rates are annual average new cases per 100,000 population.
● *US rate represents 2009-2011 data.
Influenza & Pneumonia Vaccination

Flu Shots

Among adults age 65 and older, over three-fourths (76.2%) received a flu shot within the past year.

- Similar to RFSA findings.
- Similar to Louisiana findings.
- Similar to national findings.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 target.
- Statistically unchanged from 2002 (though higher than 2010).

Have Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year
(Among Natchitoches Parish Seniors 65+, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
<td>74.2%</td>
<td>70.2%</td>
<td>57.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>72.0%</td>
<td>69.4%</td>
<td>69.7%</td>
<td>66.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>68.3%</td>
<td>69.4%</td>
<td>69.7%</td>
<td>74.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
<td>69.7%</td>
<td>69.7%</td>
<td>74.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 177]
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents aged 65 and older.
- Because the CDC implemented changes to the BRFSS weighting methodology in 2011, state findings might not be directly comparable to the regional or national findings outlined in this report.
Pneumonia Vaccination

Among adults age 65 and older, 74.9% have received a pneumonia vaccination at some point in their lives.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Similar to Louisiana findings.
- Statistically comparable to national findings.
- Fails to satisfy the Healthy People 2020 objective.
- Statistically unchanged over time.

Have Ever Had a Pneumonia Vaccine
(Among Natchitoches Parish Seniors 65+, 2013)

Healthy People 2020 Target = 90% or Higher

Natchitoches Parish RFSA LA US

Sources:
- PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 179]
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants.

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents aged 65 and older.
- Because the CDC implemented changes to the BRFSS weighting methodology in 2011, state findings might not be directly comparable to the regional or national findings outlined in this report.
Tuberculosis

Tuberculosis (TB) is an infectious disease caused by a type of bacteria called Mycobacterium tuberculosis. TB is spread from person to person through the air, as someone with active tuberculosis of the respiratory tract coughs, sneezes, yells, or otherwise expels bacteria-laden droplets.

The Institute of Medicine (IOM), an arm of the National Academy of Sciences, released a report in May 2000 that lays out an action plan for eliminating tuberculosis in the United States ... As a key part of the plan, new TB treatment and prevention strategies must be developed that are tailored to the current environment. Among today’s hallmarks:

- Tuberculosis now occurs in ever-smaller numbers in most regions of the country.
- Foreign-born people (both legal and undocumented immigrants) coming to the United States from countries with high rates of TB now account for nearly half of all TB cases.
- Higher numbers of cases are concentrated in pockets located in major metropolitan areas, and this increased prevalence is due, in large part, to the increased number of people with or at risk for HIV/AIDS infection.
- Other groups, such as HIV-infected people and the growing population of prison inmates, the homeless, and intravenous drug abusers, are emerging as being at high risk.


Between 2010 and 2012, no cases of tuberculosis were reported in Natchitoches Parish.

- Lower than the regional incidence rate.
- Lower than the Louisiana incidence rate.
- Lower than the national incidence rate (which reflects 2009-2011 data).
- Satisfies the Healthy People 2020 target.

### Tuberculosis Incidence
(2010-2012 Annual Average Cases per 100,000 Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>US*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy People 2020 Target = 1.0 or Lower</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:**
- Louisiana Department of Health and Human Services.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Center for Health Statistics.

**Notes:**
- Rates are annual average new cases per 100,000 population.
- *US rate represents 2009-2011 data.
The latest reporting period represents the lowest incidence (0.0) reported in Natchitoches Parish in the past decade. A decreasing trend is noted across Louisiana and the US.

**Tuberculosis Incidence**

(Annual Average Cases per 100,000 Population)

- **Sources:**
  - Louisiana Department of Health and Human Services.
  - Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.

- **Notes:**
  - Rates are annual average new cases per 100,000 population.
Enteric Disease

Acute Hepatitis A

Between 2010 and 2012, no cases of hepatitis A were reported in Natchitoches Parish.

- Lower than the regional incidence rate.
- Lower than the Louisiana incidence rate.
- Lower than the national incidence rate (which reflects 2009-2011 data).
- Satisfies the Healthy People 2020 target.

**Hepatitis A Incidence**

*(2010-2012* Annual Average Cases per 100,000 Population)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals Office of Public Health</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2020. December 2010. <a href="http://www.healthypeople.gov">http://www.healthypeople.gov</a> [Objective IID-23]</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- Rates are annual average new cases per 100,000 population.
- US rate represents 2009-2011 data.

Hepatitis A incidence rates have generally decreased in Natchitoches Parish, in keeping with state and national trends.
Between 2010 and 2012, the annual average shigellosis rate was 3.5 cases per 100,000 population in Natchitoches Parish.

- Lower than the regional incidence rate.
- Lower than the Louisiana incidence rate.
- Similar to the US rate (which reflects 2009-2011 data).

Shigellosis incidence has fluctuated over time, showing no clear trend.
Salmonellosis

The 2010-2012 salmonellosis incidence rate in Natchitoches Parish was 12.7 per 100,000 population.

- Lower than the regional incidence rate.
- Lower than the state rate.
- Similar to the national rate (which reflects 2009-2011 data).

Salmonellosis Incidence

(2010-2012\* Annual Average Cases per 100,000 Population)

Salmonellosis incidence has generally decreased over time in Natchitoches Parish.

Salmonellosis Incidence

(Annual Average Cases per 100,000 Population)
Campylobacteriosis

Between 2010 and 2012, Natchitoches Parish reported a campylobacteriosis incidence rate of 2.5 cases per 100,000 population.

- Lower than the regional incidence rate.
- Lower than the Louisiana rate. (A national incidence rate is not available.)

Campylobacteriosis Incidence
(2010-2012 Annual Average Cases per 100,000 Population)

Sources:
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.

Notes:
- Rates are annual average new cases per 100,000 population.

Campylobacteriosis incidence has increased in recent years in Natchitoches Parish, as it has statewide.

Campylobacteriosis Incidence
(Annual Average Cases per 100,000 Population)

Sources:
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.

Notes:
- Rates are annual average new cases per 100,000 population.
In the United States, HIV/AIDS remains a significant cause of illness, disability, and death, despite declines in 2002 and 2005.

Principal health determinants. Behaviors (sexual practices, substance abuse, and accessing prenatal care) and biomedical status (having other STDs) are major determinants of HIV transmission. Unprotected sexual contact, whether homosexual or heterosexual, with a person infected with HIV and sharing drug-injection equipment with an HIV-infected individual account for most HIV transmission in the United States. Increasing the number of people who know their HIV serostatus is an important component of a national program to slow or halt the transmission of HIV in the United States.

For persons infected with HIV, behavioral determinants also play an important role in health maintenance. Although drugs are available specifically to prevent and treat a number of opportunistic infections, HIV-infected individuals also need to make lifestyle-related behavioral changes to avoid many of these infections. The new HIV antiretroviral drug therapies for HIV infection bring with them difficulties in adhering to complex, expensive, and demanding medication schedules, posing a significant challenge for many persons infected with HIV.

Because HIV infection weakens the immune system, people with tuberculosis (TB) infection and HIV infection are at very high risk of developing active TB disease.

Comparing the 1980s to the 1990s, the proportion of AIDS cases in White men who have sex with men declined, whereas the proportion in females and males in other racial and ethnic populations increased, particularly among African adults and Hispanics. AIDS cases also appeared to be increasing among injection drug users and their sexual partners. The true extent of the epidemic remains difficult to assess for several reasons, including the following:

- Because of the long period of time from initial HIV infection to AIDS and because highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) has slowed the progression to AIDS, new cases of AIDS no longer provide accurate information about the current HIV epidemic in the United States.
- Because of a lack of awareness of HIV serostatus as well as delays in accessing counseling, testing, and care services by individuals who may be infected or are at risk of infection, some populations do not perceive themselves to be at risk. As a result, some HIV-infected persons are not identified and provided care until late in the course of their infection.


Age-Adjusted HIV/AIDS Deaths

Between 2001 and 2010, there was an annual average age-adjusted HIV/AIDS mortality rate of 5.3 deaths per 100,000 population in the Rapides Foundation Service Area (parish-level data are not available).

- Lower than found statewide.
- Higher than found nationally.
- Fails to satisfy the Health People 2020 target.
HIV/AIDS mortality is dramatically higher among Blacks in the RFSA when compared with Whites (more than seven times higher, in fact). This disparity is also seen — and to an even greater degree — both statewide and nationally.
HIV/AIDS mortality has decreased over time in the RFSA, echoing the state and national trends.

### HIV/AIDS: Age-Adjusted Mortality Trends
(Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Healthy People 2020</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1993-2000</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-2010</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: ● CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted July 2013.

Notes: ● Deaths from 1999 forward are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10); pre-1999 data were coded using ICD-9 coding.
● Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population.
● State and national data are simple three-year averages; the RFSA three-year average is weighted by population.
● NOTE: 2006-2008 deaths for the RFSA are underreported due to problems registering Allen Parish deaths with the Louisiana Vital Statistics Office.

### HIV/AIDS Cases

#### HIV/AIDS Incidence

Between 2009 and 2012, there was an annual average of 22.8 new HIV/AIDS cases per 100,000 population in Natchitoches Parish.

- Higher than the regional incidence rate.
- Lower than what was found statewide.

### HIV/AIDS Incidence
(2009-2012 Annual Average Cases per 100,000 Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>26.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: ● Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals Office of Public Health.
Notes: ● Rates are annual average new cases per 100,000 population.
HIV/AIDS incidence has more than doubled over time in Natchitoches Parish.

### HIV/AIDS Incidence

**HIV/AIDS Incidence**

*(Annual Average Cases per 100,000 Population)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1999-2003</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-2008</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-2012</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>26.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- Rates are annual average new cases per 100,000 population.

### HIV/AIDS Characteristics

The following chart provides an illustration of the demographic characteristics of new HIV/AIDS cases (2009-2012) in the RFSA. Note:

- Incidence was more prevalent in **males**.
- **Black** residents made up the majority of new cases.
- The greatest proportion of new cases occurred in the **25-44** age group.

#### Characteristics of New HIV Cases

*(Rapides Foundation Service Area, 2009-2012)*

**Gender**

- Female: 29.6%
- Male: 70.4%

**Race/Ethnicity**

- Black: 57.9%
- White: 22.9%
- Hispanic: 17.2%
- Other: 2.0%

**Age**

- 0-12: 0.7%
- 13-19: 3.4%
- 20-24: 14.1%
- 25-34: 26.6%
- 35-44: 24.2%
- 45-54: 21.2%
- 55-64: 9.1%
- 65+: 0.7%
- 0-12: 0.7%
- 13-19: 1.4%
- 20-24: 14.1%
- 25-34: 26.6%
- 35-44: 24.2%
- 45-54: 21.2%
- 55-64: 9.1%
- 65+: 0.7%

**Sources:**
As of the end of 2012, there were 113 Natchitoches Parish residents living with HIV/AIDS.

- This represents 0.6% of the state’s 18,422 persons living with HIV/AIDS.

### Persons Living With HIV/AIDS
(As of December 31, 2012)

Throughout Louisiana, there were 18,422 persons living with HIV/AIDS as of 12/31/2012. Represents 0.6% of the total statewide.

### HIV Testing

Among Natchitoches Parish adults age 18-44, 30.7% report that they have been tested for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in the past year.

- Comparable to the regional percentage.
- Higher than the proportion found nationwide.
- Satisfies the Healthy People 2020 target.
- Statistically unchanged since 2002 survey findings.

### Tested for HIV in the Past Year
(Among Respondents 18-44)

Healthy People 2020 Target = 18.9% or Higher

Sources: 2013 PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 183]  
2013 PRC National Health Survey; Professional Research Consultants, Inc.  
Notes: Reflects respondents age 18 to 44.  
Note that the Healthy People 2020 objective is for ages 15-44.
By demographic characteristics, testing higher among:

- Women.
- Adults with low income.

Tested for HIV in the Past Year
(Among Respondents 18-44)

Sources:
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 183]

Notes:
- Reflects respondents age 18 to 44.
- Note that the Healthy People 2020 objective is for ages 15-44.
- Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: very low income” = below poverty; “low income” = 100% to 200% of poverty; “middle/high income” = over 200% of poverty.

Healthy People 2020 Target = 18.9% or Higher
Sexually Transmitted Diseases

Sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) refer to the more than 25 infectious organisms transmitted primarily through sexual activity. STDs are among many related factors that affect the broad continuum of reproductive health agreed on in 1994 by 180 governments at the International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD). At ICPD, all governments were challenged to strengthen their STD programs. STD prevention as an essential primary care strategy is integral to improving reproductive health.

Despite the burdens, costs, complications, and preventable nature of STDs, they remain a significant public health problem, largely unrecognized by the public, policymakers, and public health and healthcare professionals in the United States. STDs cause many harmful, often irreversible, and costly clinical complications, such as reproductive health problems, fetal and perinatal health problems, and cancer. In addition, studies of the worldwide human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) pandemic link other STDs to a causal chain of events in the sexual transmission of HIV infection.


Gonorrhea

Between 2010 and 2012, the annual average gonorrhea incidence rate was 330 cases per 100,000 population in Natchitoches Parish.

- Higher than the regional incidence rate.
- Higher than the Louisiana rate.
- Much higher than the national incidence rate (which reflects 2009-2011 data).

Gonorrhea Incidence
(2010-2012* Annual Average Cases per 100,000 Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Rate (per 100,000 population)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natchitoches Parish</td>
<td>330.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>173.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>196.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US*</td>
<td>101.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: ● Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals Office of Public Health. ● Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. Notes: ● Rates are annual average new cases per 100,000 population. ● *US rate represents 2009-2011 data.
Gonorrhea rates have **decreased** over time.

### Gonorrhea Incidence
(Annual Average Cases per 100,000 Population)

![Gonorrhea Incidence chart]

**Sources:**
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.

**Notes:**
- Rates are annual average new cases per 100,000 population.

---

**Syphilis**

**Between 2010 and 2012, the annual average primary/secondary syphilis incidence rate was 16 cases per 100,000 population in Natchitoches Parish.**

- Higher than the regional incidence rate.
- Higher than the Louisiana incidence rate.
- Higher than the national incidence rate (which reflects 2009-2011 data).

### Primary/Secondary Syphilis Incidence
(2010-2012* Annual Average Cases per 100,000 Population)

![Primary/Secondary Syphilis Incidence chart]

**Sources:**
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.

**Notes:**
- Rates are annual average new cases per 100,000 population.
- *US rate represents 2009-2011 data.
Natchitoches Parish syphilis incidence appears to be on the rise.

**Primary/Secondary Syphilis Incidence**
(Annual Average Cases per 100,000 Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natchitoches Parish</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: ● Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals Office of Public Health.
● Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.
Notes: ● Rates are annual average new cases per 100,000 population.

**Chlamydia**

Between 2010 and 2012, the annual average chlamydia incidence rate was 1003.4 cases per 100,000 population in Natchitoches Parish.

- Higher than the regional incidence rate.
- Higher than the state rate.
- Higher than the national incidence rate (which reflects 2009-2011 data).

**Chlamydia Incidence**
(2010-2012* Annual Average Cases per 100,000 Population)

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natchitoches Parish</td>
<td>1,003.4</td>
<td>616.9</td>
<td>642.3</td>
<td>429.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: ● Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals Office of Public Health.
● Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.
Notes: ● Rates are annual average new cases per 100,000 population.
*US rate represents 2009-2011 data.
Chlamydia incidence has increased in recent years across Natchitoches Parish, echoing the trends across Louisiana and the US overall.

**Chlamydia Incidence**
(Annual Average Cases per 100,000 Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007-2009</td>
<td>915.1</td>
<td>474.5</td>
<td>532.1</td>
<td>390.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-2010</td>
<td>1007.7</td>
<td>556.7</td>
<td>598.4</td>
<td>409.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-2011</td>
<td>1013.4</td>
<td>613.8</td>
<td>650.9</td>
<td>429.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-2012</td>
<td>1003.4</td>
<td>616.9</td>
<td>642.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: • Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals Office of Public Health.
• Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.

Notes: • Rates are annual average new cases per 100,000 population.

---

Acute Hepatitis B

Between 2010 and 2012, the annual average hepatitis B incidence rate was 0.8 cases per 100,000 population in Natchitoches Parish.

- Higher than the regional (RFSA) rate.
- Below the state rate.
- Below the national rate (which reflects 2009-2011 data).

**Hepatitis B (Acute) Incidence**
(2010-2012* Annual Average Cases per 100,000 Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>US*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: • Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals Office of Public Health.
• Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.

Notes: • Rates are annual average new cases per 100,000 population.
• *US rate represents 2009-2011 data.
Although fluctuating considerably, the general trend in Natchitoches Parish is downward.

**Hepatitis B (Acute) Incidence**
(Annual Average Cases per 100,000 Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natchitoches Parish</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Safe Sexual Practices**

**Sexual Partners**

Among unmarried Natchitoches Parish adults under age 65, the vast majority cites having one (42.1%) or no (40.4%) sexual partners in the past 12 months.

**Number of Sexual Partners in Past 12 Months**
(Among Unmarried Adults 18-64; Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

- None 40.4%
- One 42.1%
- Two 8.8%
- Three/More 8.7%

**Sources:**
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.  [Item 89]

**Notes:**
- Asked of all unmarried respondents under the age of 65.
However, 8.7% report three or more sexual partners in the past year.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Comparable to that reported nationally.

### Had Three or More Sexual Partners in the Past Year
(Among Unmarried Adults 18-64)

![Graph showing the percentage of individuals who had three or more sexual partners in the past year among unmarried adults 18-64 in Natchitoches Parish, RFSA, and US.](chart)

**Sources:**
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 89]
- 2013 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

**Notes:**
- Asked of all unmarried respondents under the age of 65.

Unmarried adults (under the age of 65) who are more likely to report three or more sexual partners in the past year include:

- White residents.

### Had Three or More Sexual Partners in the Past Year
(Among Unmarried Adults 18-64; Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

![Graph showing the percentage of individuals who had three or more sexual partners in the past year among unmarried adults 18-64 by gender, age group, race, and Natchitoches Parish.](chart)

**Sources:**
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 89]

**Notes:**
- Asked of all unmarried respondents under the age of 65.
- Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: very low income = below poverty; low income = 100% to 200% of poverty; middle/high income = over 200% of poverty.
Condom Use

Among Natchitoches Parish adults who are under age 65 and unmarried, 47.9% report that a condom was used during their last sexual intercourse.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Higher than national findings.

**Condom Was Used During Last Sexual Intercourse**
(Among Unmarried Adults 18-64)

Those less likely to report that a condom was used during their last sexual intercourse include:

- Women.
- Residents age 40 through 64.
- White residents.

**Condom Was Used During Last Sexual Intercourse**
(Among Unmarried Adults 18-64; Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

Sources: ● 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 90]
Notes: ● As of all unmarried respondents under the age of 65.

![Condom Use Chart](chart.png)
Related Focus Group Findings: Sexual Health

Many focus group participants discussed the high rates of teen pregnancy. The main issues included:

- Teen pregnancy rate

Key informants report a **high teen pregnancy rate** and limited prevention efforts. For those teenagers who become pregnant they obtain Medicaid coverage, but then lose it as soon as the baby is born. If they get pregnant again the coverage returns. This on again-off again insurance coverage is troublesome to attendees.

“I think another problem area is teen pregnancy and with healthcare, usually the child is taken care of through the healthcare system for a period of time but then the mother loses the insurance or the Medicaid benefits after a period of time and I’ve seen so many kids get pregnant then again because they don’t have appropriate birth control.” — Natchitoches Parish Key Informant
HOUSING
Housing Conditions

Type of Dwelling

The majority of Natchitoches Parish residents (66.1%) owns their own home, while 22.3% rent a house or apartment.

- Another 8.0% live with family members.

Condition of Local Housing

More than one-half (57.0%) of survey respondents consider the condition of homes in their neighborhoods to be “excellent” or “very good.”

- Another 21.0% gave good ratings.
However, 22.0% of Natchitoches Parish residents consider the condition of homes in their neighborhoods to be only “fair” or “poor.”

- Higher than regional (RFSA) findings.
- This indicator remains statistically unchanged since 2005.

Perceive Condition of Neighborhood Homes to Be “Fair” or “Poor”

Viewed by demographic segments, those residents more likely to give low ratings of the condition of neighborhood homes include the following:

- Adults under age 40.
- Residents living at lower incomes (note the strong negative correlation).
- Black residents of Natchitoches Parish.

Perceive Condition of Neighborhood Homes to Be “Fair” or “Poor”
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

Sources: ● PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 130]
Notes: ● Asked of all respondents.

Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: “very low income” = below poverty; “low income” = 100% to 200% of poverty; “middle/high income” = over 200% of poverty.
Housing Affordability

Availability of Affordable Housing

When asked to rate the availability of affordable local housing, just under one-fifth (18.2%) of survey respondents gave “excellent” or “very good” opinions.

- Another 33.8% gave “good” ratings.

Rating of the Availability of Affordable Local Housing
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

However, 48.1% of Natchitoches Parish residents consider the availability of affordable housing in their areas to be “fair” or “poor.”

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Unfavorably, this marks a significant increase in “fair/poor” ratings since this was first measured in 2005.

Perceive the Availability of Affordable Local Housing to Be “Fair” or “Poor”
Segmented by demographic characteristic, residents more likely to give low ratings of the availability of affordable homes in the community include:

- Residents under age 40.
- Low income and very low income residents (note negative correlation with age).
- Black residents.
- As might be expected, survey respondents who rent are more likely to give low ratings than those who own their own homes.

### Perceive the Availability of Affordable Local Housing to Be “Fair” or “Poor”
(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

#### Housing Displacement

A total of 13.3% of survey respondents report that they have had to go live with a friend or relative at some point in the past two years, even if only temporarily, because of an emergency.

- Similar to regional (RFSA) findings.
- Statistically unchanged over time.

#### Had to Live With a Friend/Relative in the Past Two Years Due to an Emergency (Even if Only Temporarily)
Segmented by demographic characteristic, those more likely to report having to live with a friend or relative in the past two years include:

- Young adults under age 40.
- Respondents with very low incomes.
- Renters (vs. homeowners).

**Had to Live With a Friend/Relative in the Past Two Years Due to an Emergency (Even if Only Temporarily)**

(Natchitoches Parish, 2013)

Sources:
- 2013 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 128]

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level for their household size: very low income” = below poverty; “low income” = 100% to 200% of poverty; “middle/high income” = over 200% of poverty.
PERCEPTIONS OF TEEN ISSUES
Teen Issues

Issues Perceived by Residents as “Major Problems” for Teens

Of five tested issues, teenage drug use and alcohol use are viewed by surveyed adults as the biggest concerns facing teens in Natchitoches Parish (40% or more of survey respondents rate these as “major problems” for teens in their own community).

Note that evaluations of each issue have decreased significantly since 2002 (meaning that fewer residents now consider each to be a “major problem”).

---

Sources: PRC Community Health Surveys, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. (Items 122-126)
Notes: Asked of all respondents.
OTHER ISSUES
Collaboration

Related Focus Group Findings

Participants spent time discussing the varying levels of collaboration occurring in the community between non-profit organizations, schools, healthcare providers and hospitals. The issues surrounding collaboration were:

- Varying opinions on the level of collaboration
- Chamber of Commerce
- Time and financial pressures

Attendees had varying opinions on the level of collaboration occurring in the community. Some participants spoke about the excellent coordination occurring between non-profit organizations and the larger healthcare system. Participants agree that many coalitions operate throughout the region to improve the health of the residents.

In Natchitoches Parish organizations realize that they need to work together to solve the problems the community faces. Respondents note that the Chamber of Commerce has done a good job of bringing people together:

> "I think in the last two or three years, the community has realized that there were a lot of things happening but nobody knew what everybody else was doing. So your finding the Chamber has become real active in the education area and workforce development. They're doing some neat things." — Natchitoches Parish Key Informant

However, key informants recognize that it may take several years of working together before real change is seen:

> "We've got to take baby steps before we get there because any time I've seen where a community has turned it around, it took a five to ten-year process to do it. And that's what we all do. We get impatient. We want to meet and within one or two years, we want results. You've just got to say where are we going to be next year at this time, three to five years, and ten years? Where do we want to be in this community? And I think some people are taking that approach and looking at it from that area. So I think it's a lot better than what it used to be and we've got still a lot of work to do but I think things are happening now." — Natchitoches Parish Key Informant

Other respondents think that organizations collaborate to some degree, but that this remains an area in need of improvement. Many local organizations operate under enormous time and financial pressures, limiting their capacity for collaboration. Other coalitions (Children and Family Planning Board) operate solely through volunteers, which makes funding initiatives difficult at times:

> "But one of the problems in that area (Children and Family Planning Board) is there's no funding. It's all just volunteers coming together. It's a great think tank. It's a great place for collaboration and there are a lot of ideas floating around but there is no money associated with it. So again, your funding can sometimes limit the implementation of some of the ideas." — Natchitoches Parish Key Informant
Older Adults

Related Focus Group Findings

Many focus group participants discussed the services available to senior citizens, with emphasis on the following:

- Aging population
- Adequate access to healthcare services
- Volunteerism

Participants believe that Natchitoches Parish has an **aging population**. Key informants think that medical advances continue to advance life expectancy and feel that most seniors have **adequate access to healthcare services**. Respondents describe that many seniors have access to healthcare services through Medicare, or an employer program, but worry for those seniors who were self-employed earlier in their life. As an attendee explains:

  "The older senior people now, they have more means to have access. They either qualify for Medicare; they're retired, and have insurance. So they have the means to get it, so I see senior citizens getting better care than the younger population from what we do, from my aspect of it. And then again, there are the seniors that are lifelong residents that may have farmed. That's another thing. Rural farmers, they don't generally pay into a healthcare program." — Natchitoches Parish Key Informant

Seniors in Natchitoches Parish also regularly participate in **volunteer** projects that positively impact the community.
Quality of Life

Related Focus Group Findings

Many focus group participants discussed the quality of life in the parish and the factors that contribute to it included:

- Negative reviews about the quality of life
- Poverty
- Employment options
- "Brain Drain"
- Economic development

Focus group attendees had **negative reviews about the quality of life** in Natchitoches Parish. In general, the attendees enjoy living in the parish, but do not feel that the quality of life is high. Overall, key informants believe that a high number of residents live in **poverty**.

In general, the **employment options** in the parish are discouraging for residents. For those residents that want to remain in Central Louisiana locating a job that requires a college degree can prove difficult. Respondents worry that the lack of good paying employment options for both adults and young people lead residents to participate in illegal activities like selling, transporting, or using drugs.

"Unfortunately, idle time, idle minds. So I really do think that employment is difficult and especially for 16 to 17-year-olds. A lot of places don’t hire till you’re 18 now. So in those multiple years, we’re losing a lot of kids just because they get involved in the wrong thing." — Natchitoches Parish Key Informant

This is reinforced by what attendees describe as the **“brain drain,”** or the fact that many intelligent, ambitious young people leave the community after high school graduation:

"The resources, the brain power in Natchitoches is amazing but they’re not in Natchitoches. They’re in Los Angeles. They’re in Dallas. They’re in Houston. They’re in Chicago. They’re in Washington. They’re everywhere else building up those communities because people, when you graduate, whether it’s high school or NSU, they tell you, ‘Leave.’" — Natchitoches Parish Key Informant

Other employers struggle to open businesses in the parish because the non-educated workforce do not have the appropriate skills, and, or, cannot pass a drug test. Natchitoches Parish organizations need employees, but struggle to locate qualified, local applicants. A participant describes the issue:

"When they brought in the employer and they were going to hire like 800 people, one is the skills weren’t there. The people didn’t have the skills for those jobs and the second thing was half of them couldn’t pass a drug test. So they ended up hiring 350." — Natchitoches Parish Key Informant
Key informants want the community to work to build capacity from within their community and describe efforts to improve economic development, through a parish-wide Economic Development Council.
DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE
The 2010 census population for Natchitoches Parish was 39,566, comprising 11.2% of the nine-parish Rapides Foundation Service Area:

Population Distribution of the RFSA
(2010 Population)

Sources:  
The median income in Natchitoches Parish in 2011 (in inflation-adjusted dollars) was $31,830.

- However, note that this is substantially below the US median income of $52,762.

### Median Income in the Past 12 Months
(2007-2011; In 2011 Inflation-Adjusted Dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>United States</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median Income</td>
<td>$52,762</td>
<td>$44,086</td>
<td>$31,830</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note the following breakout of 2007-2011 estimates of poverty status.

More than one out of four Natchitoches Parish residents (28.4%) lives below the federal poverty level.

- This is twice the percentage found nationally.

### Percent/Number of Total Population Living Below Poverty Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Natchitoches Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>Louisiana</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>28.4%</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>10,754</td>
<td>64,721</td>
<td>800,705</td>
<td>42,739,924</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In all, 41.2% Natchitoches Parish households have annual incomes below $25,000.

- Much higher than found nationally.

**Percentage of Households With Annual Incomes Below $25,000**


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natchitoches Parish</td>
<td>41.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>33.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>29.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A total of 54.3% of Natchitoches Parish population is White, while 41.4% is Black/African American, and 4.3% is other races.

Notes: Race includes Hispanics who also identify with a race category (White, Black, Other).
"Other" includes those reporting multiple races, as well as races other than White or Black/African American.
In Natchitoches Parish, 29.3% of the population is under the age of 20 years. Another 27.7% of residents are 20 to 39, and 29.5% are between 40 and 64 years of age.

A total of 13.5% of Natchitoches Parish population is age 65 or older.

Age Distribution of the Population
(2010 Population)