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Introduction
About This Assessment

This Community Health Needs Assessment, a follow-up to similar studies conducted in 2002, 2005, 2010, and 2013, is a systematic, data-driven approach to determining the health status, behaviors and needs of residents in Winn Parish, as part of a larger study conducted by The Rapides Foundation. Subsequently, this information may be used to inform decisions and guide efforts to improve community health and wellness.

A Community Health Needs Assessment provides information so that communities may identify issues of greatest concern and decide to commit resources to those areas, thereby making the greatest possible impact on community health status.

This assessment was conducted on behalf of The Rapides Foundation by Professional Research Consultants, Inc. (PRC). PRC is a nationally-recognized healthcare consulting firm with extensive experience conducting Community Health Needs Assessments in hundreds of communities across the United States since 1994.

Methodology

This assessment incorporates data from both quantitative and qualitative sources. Quantitative data input includes primary research (the PRC Community Health Survey) and secondary research (vital statistics and other existing health-related data); these quantitative components allow for comparison to benchmark data at the state and national levels. Qualitative data input includes primary research gathered through an Online Key Informant Survey of various community stakeholders.

PRC Community Health Survey

Survey Instrument

The survey instrument used for this study is based largely on the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), as well as various other public health surveys and customized questions addressing gaps in indicator data relative to health promotion and disease prevention objectives and other recognized health issues. The final survey instrument was developed by The Rapides Foundation and PRC.

Community Defined for This Assessment

The focus of the data presented in this report is Winn Parish, Louisiana.
Sample Approach & Design
A precise and carefully executed methodology is critical in asserting the validity of the results gathered in the PRC Community Health Survey. Thus, to ensure the best representation of the population surveyed, a mixed-mode methodology was implemented. This included surveys conducted via telephone (landline and cell phone), as well as through online questionnaires.

The sample design used for this effort included a random sample of 230 individuals age 18 and older in Winn Parish. All administration of the surveys, data collection and data analysis were conducted by PRC.

For statistical purposes, the maximum rate of error associated with a sample size of 230 respondents is ±6.4% at the 95 percent confidence level.

Sample Characteristics
To accurately represent the population studied, PRC strives to minimize bias through application of a proven telephone methodology and random-selection techniques. While this random sampling of the population produces a highly representative sample, it is a common and preferred practice to “weight” the raw data to improve this representativeness even further. This is accomplished by adjusting the results of a random sample to match the geographic distribution and demographic characteristics of the population surveyed (poststratification), so as to eliminate any naturally occurring bias.

The following chart outlines the characteristics of the Winn Parish sample for key demographic variables, compared to actual population characteristics revealed in census data. [Note that the sample consisted solely of area residents age 18 and older; data on children were given by proxy by the person most responsible for that child’s healthcare needs, and these children are not represented demographically in this chart.]
Further note that the poverty descriptions and segmentation used in this report are based on administrative poverty thresholds determined by the US Department of Health & Human Services. These guidelines define poverty status by household income level and number of persons in the household (e.g., the 2018 guidelines place the poverty threshold for a family of four at $25,100 annual household income or lower). In sample segmentation: “low income” refers to community members living in a household with defined poverty status or living just above the poverty level and earning up to twice (<200% of) the poverty threshold; “mid/high income” refers to those households living on incomes which are twice or more (≥200% of) the federal poverty level.

The sample design and the quality control procedures used in the data collection ensure that the sample is representative. Thus, the findings may be generalized to the total population of community members in the defined area with a high degree of confidence.

**Online Key Informant Survey**

To solicit input from key informants, those individuals who have a broad interest in the health of the community, an Online Key Informant Survey also was implemented as part of this process. A list of recommended participants was provided by The Rapides Foundation; this list included names and contact information for physicians, public health representatives, other health professionals, social service providers, and a variety of other community leaders. Potential participants were chosen because of their ability to identify primary concerns of the populations with whom they work, as well as of the community overall.

Key informants were contacted by email, introducing the purpose of the survey and providing a link to take the survey online; reminder emails were sent as needed to increase participation. In all, 7 community stakeholders in Winn Parish (and 1 who works more broadly throughout Central Louisiana) took part in the Online Key Informant Survey. Final participation included representatives of the organizations in the following list:
• Bank of Winnfield
• City of Winnfield
• Northwest Louisiana Human Services District
• Winn Chamber of Commerce
• Winn Community Health Center
• Winn Parish Police Jury
• Winn Parish School Board

Through this process, input was gathered from several individuals whose organizations work with low-income, minority, or other medically underserved populations.

In the online survey, key informants were asked to rate the degree to which various health issues are a problem in their own community. Follow-up questions asked them to describe why they identify problem areas as such and how these might better be addressed. Results of their ratings, as well as their verbatim comments, are included throughout this report as they relate to the various other data presented.

NOTE: These findings represent qualitative rather than quantitative data. The Online Key Informant Survey was designed to gather input regarding participants' opinions and perceptions of the health needs of the residents in the area. Thus, these findings are not necessarily based on fact.

Public Health, Vital Statistics & Other Data
A variety of existing (secondary) data sources was consulted to complement the research quality of this Community Health Needs Assessment. Data for Winn Parish were obtained from the following sources (specific citations are included with the graphs throughout this report):

• Center for Applied Research and Environmental Systems (CARES)
• Centers for Disease Control & Prevention, Office of Infectious Disease, National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention
• Centers for Disease Control & Prevention, Office of Public Health Science Services, Center for Surveillance, Epidemiology and Laboratory Services, Division of Health Informatics and Surveillance (DHIS)
• Centers for Disease Control & Prevention, Office of Public Health Science Services, National Center for Health Statistics
• Community Commons
• ESRI ArcGIS Map Gallery
• Louisiana Department of Health
• National Cancer Institute, State Cancer Profiles
• OpenStreetMap (OSM)
• US Census Bureau, American Community Survey
• US Census Bureau, County Business Patterns
• US Census Bureau, Decennial Census
• US Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service
Benchmark Data

Trending
A similar survey was administered in Winn Parish in 2002, 2005, 2010, and 2013 by PRC on behalf of The Rapides Foundation. Trending data, as revealed by comparison to prior survey results, are provided throughout this report whenever available. Historical data for secondary data indicators are also included for the purposes of trending.

Regional Data
Because this Winn Parish survey was part of a larger project covering much of Central Louisiana, comparisons can also be made for many indicators to the broader Rapides Foundation Service Area (referred to as the “RFSA” throughout this report). The RFSA is composed of data from nine Louisiana parishes: Allen, Avoyelles, Catahoula, Grant, LaSalle, Natchitoches, Rapides, Vernon, and Winn.

Louisiana Risk Factor Data
Statewide risk factor data are provided where available as an additional benchmark against which to compare local survey findings; these data are reported in the most recent BRFSS (Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System) Prevalence and Trend Data published by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the US Department of Health & Human Services. State-level vital statistics are also provided for comparison of secondary data indicators.

Nationwide Risk Factor Data
Nationwide risk factor data, which are also provided in comparison charts, are taken from the 2017 PRC National Health Survey; the methodological approach for the national study is similar to that employed in this assessment, and these data may be generalized to the US population with a high degree of confidence. National-level vital statistics are also provided for comparison of secondary data indicators.

Healthy People 2020
Healthy People provides science-based, 10-year national objectives for improving the health of all Americans. The Healthy People initiative is grounded in the principle that setting national objectives and monitoring progress can motivate action. For three decades, Healthy People has established benchmarks and monitored progress over time in order to:

- Encourage collaborations across sectors.
- Guide individuals toward making informed health decisions.
- Measure the impact of prevention activities.
Healthy People 2020 is the product of an extensive stakeholder feedback process that is unparalleled in government and health. It integrates input from public health and prevention experts, a wide range of federal, state and local government officials, a consortium of more than 2,000 organizations, and perhaps most importantly, the public. More than 8,000 comments were considered in drafting a comprehensive set of Healthy People 2020 objectives.

**Determining Significance**

Differences noted in this report represent those determined to be significant. For survey-derived indicators (which are subject to sampling error), statistical significance is determined based on confidence intervals (at the 95 percent confidence level) using question-specific samples and response rates. For the purpose of this report, "significance," of secondary data indicators (which do not carry sampling error but might be subject to reporting error) is determined by a 5% variation from the comparative measure.

**Information Gaps**

While this assessment is quite comprehensive, it cannot measure all possible aspects of health in the community, nor can it adequately represent all possible populations of interest. It must be recognized that these information gaps might in some ways limit the ability to assess all of the community’s health needs.

For example, certain population groups — such as the homeless, institutionalized persons, or those who only speak a language other than English or Spanish — are not represented in the survey data. Other population groups — for example, pregnant women, lesbian/gay/bisexual/transgender residents, undocumented residents, and members of certain racial/ethnic or immigrant groups — might not be identifiable or might not be represented in numbers sufficient for independent analyses.

In terms of content, this assessment was designed to provide a comprehensive and broad picture of the health of the overall community. However, there are certainly medical conditions that are not specifically addressed.
Summary of Findings
Key Data Findings

This summary presents key findings from the data collected for Winn Parish for the 2018 Community Health Needs Assessment sponsored by The Rapides Foundation. These include data collected through a (phone and internet-based) random sample population survey, an internet-based survey of key informants, and a review of existing public health data.

Highlighted are differences found when comparing to national data, as well as changes that have occurred since a similar survey was first implemented in Winn Parish in 2002.

HEALTH STATUS

Self-Reported Health Status. 39.0% of Winn Parish adults characterize their overall health as “fair” or “poor” — this is over double the 18.1% reported nationally and represents a significant increase from the 20.7% first measured in Winn Parish in 2002.

Activity Limitations. 36.1% of Winn Parish adults are limited in some way in some activities because of a health-related issue. Again, this is significantly above what is found nationally (25.0%) and much higher than first found in 2002 (19.2%).

Mental Health. 43.0% of Winn Parish residents have experienced bouts of depression lasting two or more years during their lives, higher than found nationwide (31.4%) and an increase from the 34.4% first reported in 2002. A total of 31.9% have been diagnosed with a depressive disorder by a healthcare professional, also higher than the 21.6% nationwide. Overall, 35.1% of the population have ever sought help for mental health, and 12.9% report a time in the past year when they needed such services but were unable to get them (higher than the 6.8% in the US).

DEATH & CHRONIC DISEASE

Causes of Death. Cardiovascular disease (heart disease and stroke) and cancers are leading causes of death in Winn Parish. Compared to US rates, age-adjusted death rates for many leading causes of death are generally higher in Winn Parish (including heart disease, cancer, pneumonia/influenza, kidney disease, motor vehicle accidents, and cirrhosis/liver disease).

Cancer. A total of 11.9% of adults have ever been diagnosed with cancer (increasing significantly from the 5.8% first reported).

Heart Disease & Stroke. 6.7% of Winn Parish adults report having heart disease, and 5.5% have ever suffered from a stroke.

Diabetes. A total of 22.9% of Winn Parish adults have been diagnosed with diabetes. This has increased considerably from the 13.5% reported in 2002 and is above what is found nationally (13.3%).

Lung Disease. 12.1% of Winn Parish residents have been diagnosed with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (which includes chronic bronchitis and emphysema), a prevalence that is significantly above 2002 findings (7.0%).
Overweight & Obesity. Based on reported heights and weights, a clear majority of Winn Parish adults (78.3%) are overweight, including 44.6% who are obese. The prevalence of obesity in the parish is higher than the nation (32.8%) and has increased significantly since 2002 (33.4%).

INFANT HEALTH & FAMILY PLANNING

Birth Outcomes. Of all births in Winn Parish, 9.5% are low-weight (under 2,500g), which is comparable to the nation (8.2%). Additionally, the parish experiences an infant mortality rate of 8.8 deaths for every 1,000 live births (deaths of infants before their first birthday). Nationally, the infant mortality rate is lower at 6.2 per 1,000 live births.

Teen Births. The teen birth rate in Winn Parish is high, with 67.5 births to girls age 15-19 for every 1,000 girls in this age group (nationally, the teen birth rate is 36.6).

INJURY & VIOLENCE

Unintentional Injury. Death rates due to unintentional injuries (including motor vehicle-related deaths) are similar to that reported nationally (a rate of 44.8 versus 43.7 nationally).

Violence. Rates of violent crime are considerably worse in Winn Parish than they are nationwide (493.3 versus 379.7); additionally, 5.3% of Winn Parish adults report experiencing violent crime in the area in the past five years (an increase from the 1.2% when first measured), and 22.9% report ever experiencing domestic violence (higher than the 14.2% nationwide and nearly tripling since first reported).

MODIFIABLE HEALTH RISKS

Nutrition. Only one in four of Winn Parish adults (24.9%) get the recommended 5 or more servings of fruits and vegetables per day, much lower than the nation (33.5%). It is important to note that 16.6% of parish adults report difficulty getting fresh produce, and 35.1% say they “sometimes” or “often” ran out of food in the past year before having money to buy more (significantly higher than the 18.0% nationally).

Physical Activity. Currently, only 18.9% of Winn Parish adults meet physical activity guidelines (compared to 22.8% nationally). Further, one-third of parish adults (33.3%) reports not engaging in any type of physical activity outside of work in the month before the survey interview; this level of inactivity is significantly higher than the nation (26.2%).

Blood Pressure & Cholesterol. In comparison to the nation, Winn Parish exhibits a significantly high proportion of adults reporting high blood pressure (50.7% versus 37.0% across the US); this is also significantly above what was first reported in 2002 (41.1%). A total of 30.5% of parish adults report having high blood cholesterol (36.2% nationally).

Tobacco Use. 25.5% of Winn Parish adults currently smoke cigarettes, much higher than found nationally (16.3%). Another 6.2% use smokeless tobacco (a decrease since the 11.5% when first measured), and 7.8% use electronic cigarettes or vaping devices (versus 3.8% nationally).
COMMUNITY HEALTH NEEDS ASSESSMENT

Cardiovascular Risk. A very high percentage of Winn Parish adults (92.8%) present one or more risk factors or behaviors for heart disease and stroke (including smoking, not getting physical activity, being overweight, or having high blood pressure or cholesterol), much higher than the nation (87.2%).

Substance Use. Regarding alcohol use, 13.2% of parish adults are considered to be “binge drinkers,” having had a high number of drinks on a single occasion during the past month (lower than the 20.0% nationwide). Another 6.7% of adults report illicit drug use in the past month (use of illegal drugs or improper use of prescription drugs), much higher than the nation (2.5%). A total of 28.6% have used prescription opiates (either legally or illegally) in the past year.

PREVENTION

Routine Medical Care. Most parish adults (71.5%) have been to a doctor or clinic for a routine checkup in the past year, statistically similar to national findings (68.3%).

Cancer Screenings. Cancer screening levels in Winn Parish are fairly good, including for: female breast cancer (76.6% of women age 50-74 have had a mammogram in the past 2 years, compared to 77.0% nationally); cervical cancer (85.0% of women age 21-65 have had a Pap smear in the past 3 years, compared to 73.5% nationally); and colorectal cancer (72.8% of all adults age 50-75 have had appropriate screening, compared to 76.4% nationally).

Dental Care. A relatively low proportion of adults in Winn Parish (49.0%) have received dental care in the past year (compared to 59.7% nationally).

Vision Care. A total of 47.7% of Winn Parish adults have had a comprehensive eye exam in the past two years (compared to 55.3% nationally).

ACCESS

Health Insurance Coverage. A total of 13.0% of Winn Parish adults between the ages of 18 and 64 are without any type of insurance coverage for health care, either through private or public sources. This is a significant improvement from what was recorded in 2002 (34.4%). Still, cost remains the top barrier preventing residents from getting medical care (28.4% said they didn’t get needed medical care in the past year because of the cost).

Difficulties/Delays in Accessing Health Care. A total of 45.6% of Winn Parish adults have experienced some type of difficulty or delay in receiving health care in the past year, compared to 43.2% of adults nationwide. Cost, difficulty finding physicians, and lack of transportation are the barriers impacting the greatest shares of adults in Winn Parish.

Cost of Prescriptions. A total of 24.6% of Winn Parish adults have gone without a needed prescription in the past year because they could not afford it; this is much worse than reported nationwide in 2002 (14.9%).

Emergency Room Utilization. The proportion of Winn Parish adults who have used a local emergency room more than once in the past year (21.2%) is over double that found nationwide (9.3%) and above that seen locally in 2002 (13.9%).
Perceptions of Key Informants

In an online survey of key informants in the area (e.g., public health professionals, physicians, other health providers, social services representatives, community leaders), the following health issues were most often characterized as "major problems" for Winn Parish:

- Cancer (83.3% said this is a “major problem” in Winn Parish)
- Substance Abuse (83.3% “major problem”)  
- Family Planning (66.7% “major problem”)  
- Tobacco Use (60.0% “major problem”)  
- Nutrition/Physical Activity & Weight (56.1% “major problem”)
### Significant Trends

The following tables highlight both positive and negative trends observed among the health indicators assessed in this project in comparison with baseline data.

- **Survey Data Indicators**: Trends for survey-derived indicators represent significant changes since 2002 (or 2005, 2010, or 2013 for questions not asked in earlier years).
- **Other Data Indicators**: Trends for other indicators (e.g., public health data) represent point-to-point changes between the most current reporting period and the earliest presented in this report (typically representing the span of 10 to 15 years).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social Determinants</th>
<th>FAVORABLE TRENDS</th>
<th>UNFAVORABLE TRENDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing Displacement</td>
<td>Affordable Housing</td>
<td>Condition of Neighborhood Homes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Access to Healthcare Services</th>
<th>Favorable Trends</th>
<th>Unfavorable Trends</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Healthcare Coverage</td>
<td>Difficulty Finding Physician</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty Getting Appointment with Physician</td>
<td>Transportation Hindered Physician Visit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of Primary Care Physicians</td>
<td>ER Visits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cancer</th>
<th>Favorable Trends</th>
<th>Unfavorable Trends</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cancer Deaths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diabetes</th>
<th>Favorable Trends</th>
<th>Unfavorable Trends</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diabetes Prevalence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heart Disease &amp; Stroke</th>
<th>Favorable Trends</th>
<th>Unfavorable Trends</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol Screenings</td>
<td>High Blood Pressure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking Action to Control High Blood Cholesterol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injury &amp; Violence</th>
<th>Favorable Trends</th>
<th>Unfavorable Trends</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unintentional Injury Deaths</td>
<td>Victim of Violent Crime</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Seat Belts (Adults)</td>
<td>Victim of Domestic Violence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mental Health</th>
<th>Favorable Trends</th>
<th>Unfavorable Trends</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ever Sought Help for Mental Health</td>
<td>“Fair/Poor” Mental Health</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Fair/Poor” Mental Health</td>
<td>Symptoms of Chronic Depression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Taking Medication/Receiving Treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrition, Overweight &amp; Physical Activity</th>
<th>Favorable Trends</th>
<th>Unfavorable Trends</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medical Advice on Exercise</td>
<td>Healthy Weight (Adults)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overweight/Obesity (Adults)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overweights Trying to Lose Weight (Adults)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3+ Servings of Vegetables</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local Opportunities for Physical Activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Disabling Conditions</th>
<th>Favorable Trends</th>
<th>Unfavorable Trends</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLRD Deaths</td>
<td>“Fair/Poor” Overall Health</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Activity Limitations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respiratory Diseases</th>
<th>Favorable Trends</th>
<th>Unfavorable Trends</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLRD Deaths</td>
<td>COPD Prevalence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance Abuse</th>
<th>Favorable Trends</th>
<th>Unfavorable Trends</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sought Help for Alcohol/Drug Problem</td>
<td>Drinking &amp; Driving</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tobacco Use</th>
<th>Favorable Trends</th>
<th>Unfavorable Trends</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use Smokeless Tobacco</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of Cessation Programs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Summary Tables**

**Comparisons With Benchmark Data**

The following tables provide an overview of indicators in Winn Parish. These data are grouped to correspond with the Focus Areas presented in Healthy People 2020.

**Reading the Data Summary Tables**

- In the following tables, Winn Parish results are shown in the larger, blue column. *Tip: Indicator labels beginning with a “%” symbol are taken from the PRC Community Health Survey; the remaining indicators are taken from secondary data sources.*

- The columns to the right of the Winn Parish column provide trending comparisons (trending from the earliest data year available), as well as comparisons between local data and any available regional (RFSA), state (LA), and national findings, as well as Healthy People 2020 targets. Symbols indicate whether Winn Parish compares favorably (☉), unfavorably (☉☉), or comparably (☉☉☉) to these external data.

*Note that blank table cells signify that data are not available or are not reliable for that area and/or for that indicator.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social Determinants</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>Winn Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TRENDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs. RFSA</td>
<td>vs. LA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linguistically Isolated Population (Percent)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population in Poverty (Percent)</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Below 200% FPL (Percent)</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>39.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children Below 200% FPL (Percent)</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>49.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No High School Diploma (Age 25+, Percent)</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment Rate (Age 16+, Percent)</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Displaced From Housing in Past 2 Years</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% “Fair/Poor” Availability of Affordable Housing</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Social Determinants (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>Winn Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% “Fair/Poor” Condition of Neighborhood Homes</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs. RFSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Overall Health

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>Winn Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% “Fair/Poor” Overall Health</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>vs. RFSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% 3+ Days Poor Physical Health in Past Month</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>vs. LA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Activity Limitations</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>vs. US</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Limited Activities] Impairment Is Work-Related</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>vs. HP2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% 4+ Days Health Prevented Usual Activities</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>vs. LA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Access to Health Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>Winn Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% [Age 18-64] Lack Health Insurance</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>vs. RFSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Difficulty Accessing Healthcare in Past Year (Composite)</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>vs. LA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>47.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Difficulty Finding Physician in Past Year</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>vs. US</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Difficulty Getting Appointment in Past Year</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>vs. HP2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Access to Health Services (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>Winn Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Cost Prevented Physician Visit in Past Year</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>🌧 16.6 🌧 17.6 🌧 15.4</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Transportation Hindered Dr Visit in Past Year</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>🌦 9.7 🌦 8.3</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Inconvenient Hrs Prevented Dr Visit in Past Year</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>🌧 12.4 🌧 12.5</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Cost Prevented Getting Prescription in Past Year</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>🌧 16.6 🌧 14.9</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Care Doctors per 100,000</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>🌧 58.7 🌧 78.7 🌧 87.8</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Have a Specific Source of Ongoing Care</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>🌦 76.7 🌦 74.1 🌦 95.0</td>
<td>68.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Have Had Routine Checkup in Past Year</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>🌦 77.0 🌦 72.1 🌦 68.3</td>
<td>67.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Two or More ER Visits in Past Year</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>🌦 12.9 🌦 9.3</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Rate Local Healthcare &quot;Fair/Poor&quot;</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>🌪 19.1 🐐 16.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: 🌧 better, 🌦 similar, 🐐 worse
### Community Health Needs Assessment

#### Winn Parish vs. Benchmarks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cancer</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>vs. RFSA</th>
<th>vs. LA</th>
<th>vs. US</th>
<th>vs. HP2020</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cancer (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>187.7</td>
<td>184.4</td>
<td>179.4</td>
<td>158.5</td>
<td>161.4</td>
<td>231.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Breast Cancer Incidence Rate</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate</td>
<td>129.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung Cancer Incidence Rate</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal Cancer Incidence Rate</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Cancer</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Women 50-74] Mammogram in Past 2 Years</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>76.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Women 21-65] Pap Smear in Past 3 Years</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>79.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Men 50+] Prostate Exam in Past 2 Years</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>73.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Age 50-75] Colorectal Cancer Screening</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>67.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Dementias, Including Alzheimer's Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dementias, Including Alzheimer's Disease</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>vs. RFSA</th>
<th>vs. LA</th>
<th>vs. US</th>
<th>vs. HP2020</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer's Disease (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Better 🌞  Similar ₪  Worse 🌡️
# Community Health Needs Assessment

## Diabetes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>Winn Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TRENDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="24.2" /> <img src="#" alt="25.5" /> <img src="#" alt="21.1" /> <img src="#" alt="20.5" /></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="sunny" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Diabetes/High Blood Sugar</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="16.3" /> <img src="#" alt="12.1" /> <img src="#" alt="13.3" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Borderline/Pre-Diabetes</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="7.3" /> <img src="#" alt="sunny" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Diabetics] Taking Action to Control Diabetes</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Non-Diabetes] Blood Sugar Tested in Past 3 Years</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="53.9" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Heart Disease & Stroke

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>Winn Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TRENDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diseases of the Heart (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>286.5</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="244.7" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="52.3" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Heart Disease (Heart Attack, Angina, Coronary Disease)</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="8.8" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Stroke</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="4.5" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Blood Pressure Checked in Past 2 Years</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="94.2" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Told Have High Blood Pressure (Ever)</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="46.7" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [HBP] Taking Action to Control High Blood Pressure</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="92.4" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Cholesterol Checked in Past 5 Years</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="87.2" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /> <img src="#" alt="cloud" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Heart Disease & Stroke (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>Winn Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TRENDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs. RFSA vs. LA vs. US vs. HP2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Told Have High Cholesterol (Ever)</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>36.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [HBC] Taking Action to Control High Blood Cholesterol</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>87.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% 1+ Cardiovascular Risk Factor</td>
<td>92.8</td>
<td>91.3</td>
<td>87.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### HIV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>Winn Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TRENDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs. RFSA vs. LA vs. US vs. HP2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV Prevalence Rate</td>
<td>448.5</td>
<td>369.6</td>
<td>502.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Immunization & Infectious Diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>Winn Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TRENDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs. RFSA vs. LA vs. US vs. HP2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Age 65+] Flu Vaccine in Past Year</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>51.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Age 65+] Pneumonia Vaccine Ever</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>73.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Infant Health & Family Planning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>vs. RFSA</th>
<th>vs. LA</th>
<th>vs. US</th>
<th>vs. HP2020</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low Birthweight Births (Percent)</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant Death Rate</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teen Births per 1,000 (Age 15-19)</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Injury & Violence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>vs. RFSA</th>
<th>vs. LA</th>
<th>vs. US</th>
<th>vs. HP2020</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unintentional Injury (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>69.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicle Crashes (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% &quot;Always&quot; Wear Seat Belt</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violent Crime Rate</td>
<td>493.3</td>
<td>590.3</td>
<td>512.9</td>
<td>379.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Victim of Violent Crime in Past 5 Years</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Victim of Domestic Violence (Ever)</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Victim of Domestic Violence in Past 5 Years</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Community Health Needs Assessment

### Kidney Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>Winn Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kidney Disease (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 21.4 vs. LA 23.9 vs. US 13.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Better**: ☀
- **Similar**: ◼
- **Worse**: ☂

### Mental Health

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>Winn Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% “Fair/Poor” Mental Health</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 18.6 vs. LA 13.0 vs. US 12.2</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% 3+ Days Poor Mental Health in Past Month</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 33.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Diagnosed Depression</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 27.9 vs. LA 19.9 vs. US 21.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Symptoms of Chronic Depression (2+ Years)</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 38.2 vs. LA 31.4 vs. US 34.4</td>
<td>34.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Had Someone to Turn to “All/Most” of the Time in Past Month</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 81.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Taking Rx/Receiving Mental Health Trtmt</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 21.1 vs. LA 13.9 vs. US 13.8</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Have Ever Sought Help for Mental Health</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 34.1 vs. LA 30.8 vs. US 21.3</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Those With Diagnosed Depression] Seeking Help</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 85.0 vs. LA 87.1 vs. US 87.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Unable to Get Mental Health Svcs in Past Yr</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 7.3 vs. LA 6.8 vs. US 6.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Better**: ☀
- **Similar**: ◼
- **Worse**: ☂
### Nutrition, Physical Activity & Weight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>Winn Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TRENDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Eat 5+ Servings of Fruit or Vegetables per Day</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>34.1 33.5 22.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Adults] Eats 2+ Servings of Fruit per Day</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>47.9 42.4 28.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Adults] Eats 3+ Servings of Vegetables per Day</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>28.0 28.6 26.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Difficulty Getting Fresh Fruits &amp; Vegetables</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>15.0 11.4 11.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Medical Advice About Nutrition in Past Year</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>44.0 35.7 35.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% &quot;Often/Sometimes&quot; Ran Out of Food in the Past Year</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>32.9 18.0 18.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population With Low Food Access (Percent)</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>31.5 26.8 22.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% No Leisure-Time Physical Activity</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>30.3 26.2 32.6 38.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Meeting Physical Activity Guidelines</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>19.9 18.7 22.8 20.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Adults] Vigorous Physical Activity</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>29.6 28.6 21.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Adults] Moderate Physical Activity</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>23.7 22.1 21.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Strengthening Activity</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>28.6 27.2 33.8 30.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Walk Regularly (5+ Times Per Week For &gt;10 Minutes)</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>40.1 50.9 50.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% &quot;Often&quot; See Others in Community Being Physically Active</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>39.7 41.0 41.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% &quot;Fair/Poor&quot; Local Physical Activity Opportunities</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>36.5 44.3 44.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation/Fitness Facilities per 100,000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.8 9.5 11.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Nutrition, Physical Activity & Weight (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>Winn Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>Trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Medical Advice About Exercise in Past Year</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>☀</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Overweight (BMI 25+)</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>☽</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Healthy Weight (BMI 18.5-24.9)</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>☼</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Overweights] Trying to Lose Weight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Overweights] Trying to Lose Weight Both Diet/Exercise</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>☽</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Obese (BMI 30+)</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>☽</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Medical Advice on Weight in Past Year</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>☽</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Overweights] Counseled About Weight in Past Year</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>☽</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Oral Health

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>Winn Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>Trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% [Age 18+] Dental Visit in Past Year</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>☽</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Potentially Disabling Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>Winn Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs. RFSA</td>
<td>vs. LA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [50+] Arthritis/Rheumatism</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>38.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [18+] Arthritis/Rheumatism</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Eye Exam in Past 2 Years</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>55.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Respiratory Diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>Winn Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs. RFSA</td>
<td>vs. LA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLRD (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>43.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia/Influenza (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% COPD (Lung Disease)</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Sexually Transmitted Diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>Winn Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs. RFSA</td>
<td>vs. LA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlamydia Incidence Rate</td>
<td>411.8</td>
<td>536.0</td>
<td>625.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonorrhea Incidence Rate</td>
<td>114.8</td>
<td>154.6</td>
<td>194.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Substance Abuse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>Winn Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cirrhosis/Liver Disease (Age-Adjusted Death Rate)</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 9.4 vs. LA 8.8 vs. US 9.9 vs. HP2020 8.2</td>
<td>better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Current Drinker</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 49.0 vs. LA 51.9 vs. US 55.0</td>
<td>similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Binge Drinker (Single Occasion - 5+ Drinks Men, 4+ Women)</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 22.1 vs. LA 16.9 vs. US 20.0 vs. HP2020 24.4</td>
<td>worse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Excessive Drinker</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 23.6 vs. LA 22.5 vs. US 25.4</td>
<td>better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Drinking &amp; Driving in Past Month</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 3.6 vs. LA 3.5 vs. US 5.2</td>
<td>similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Rode w/Drunk Driver in Past Month</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 5.9</td>
<td>worse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Illicit Drug Use in Past Month</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 3.2 vs. LA 2.5 vs. US 7.1</td>
<td>worse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Have Used Prescription Opiates in Past Year</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 25.0</td>
<td>better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Ever Sought Help for Alcohol or Drug Problem</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 4.3 vs. LA 3.4</td>
<td>better</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Tobacco Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>Winn Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TREND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Current Smoker</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 23.6 vs. LA 22.8 vs. US 16.3 vs. HP2020 12.0</td>
<td>worse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Someone Smokes at Home</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 16.6 vs. LA 10.7 vs. US 4.0</td>
<td>better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [Nonsmokers] Someone Smokes in the Home</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 7.0 vs. LA 4.0</td>
<td>worse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Aware of Smoking Cessation Services/Programs</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>vs. RFSA 41.2</td>
<td>better</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Tobacco Use (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>Winn Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TRENDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs. RFSA</td>
<td>vs. LA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Community Believes Adults &quot;Definitely&quot; Should Not Smoke</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Currently Use Vaping Products</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Use Smokeless Tobacco</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Quality of Life

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>Winn Parish vs. Benchmarks</th>
<th>TRENDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs. RFSA</td>
<td>vs. LA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% &quot;Fair/Poor&quot; Overall Quality of Life in Central Louisiana</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Parish Life: Wrong Track and Getting Worse</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Know 10+ People Benefiting from Charities</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% &quot;Frequently/Sometimes&quot; Donate to Charity</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Have Received Charitable Assistance in Past Year</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% &quot;Frequently/Sometimes&quot; Volunteer</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Voted in Each of the Past 5 Elections</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary of Key Informant Perceptions

In the Online Key Informant Survey, community stakeholders were asked to rate the degree to which each of 20 health issues is a problem in their own community, using a scale of “major problem,” “moderate problem,” “minor problem,” or “no problem at all.” The following chart summarizes their responses; these findings also are outlined throughout this report, along with the qualitative input describing reasons for their concerns. (Note that these ratings alone do not establish priorities for this assessment; rather, they are one of several data inputs considered for the prioritization process described earlier.)

Key Informants: Relative Position of Health Topics as Problems in the Community
Data Charts &
Key Informant Input

The following sections present data from multiple sources, including the random-sample PRC Community Health Survey, public health and other existing data sets (secondary data), as well as qualitative input from the Online Key Informant Survey. Data indicators from these sources are intermingled and organized by health topic. To better understand the source data for specific indicators, please refer to the footnotes accompanying each chart.
Community Characteristics

Population Characteristics

Land Area, Population Size & Density
Data from the US Census Bureau reveal the following statistics for our community relative to size, population, and density.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Population</th>
<th>Total Land Area (Square Miles)</th>
<th>Population Density (Per Square Mile)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Winn Parish</td>
<td>14,695</td>
<td>950.09</td>
<td>15.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>4,645,670</td>
<td>43,206.73</td>
<td>107.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>318,558,162</td>
<td>3,532,068.58</td>
<td>90.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:  
US Census Bureau American Community Survey 5-year estimates.  

Age
It is important to understand the age distribution of the population, as different age groups have unique health needs that should be considered separately from others along the age spectrum.

- Winn Parish has a higher proportion of seniors compared to Louisiana and the US.

Total Population by Age Groups, Percent
(2012-2016)

Sources:  
US Census Bureau American Community Survey 5-year estimates.  
Race & Ethnicity

The following charts illustrate the racial and ethnic makeup of our community. Note that ethnicity (Hispanic or Latino) can be of any race.

- Winn Parish is racially more diverse than the nation but similar to the state.

**Total Population by Race Alone, Percent**
(2012-2016)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>66.4%</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
<td>73.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some Other Race</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple Races</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:  
- US Census Bureau American Community Survey 5-year estimates.  

- The Winn Parish Hispanic proportion is lower than the state and especially the US.

**Hispanic Population**
(2012-2016)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:  
- US Census Bureau American Community Survey 5-year estimates.  

Notes: Origin can be viewed as the heritage, nationality group, lineage, or country of birth of the person or the person’s parents or ancestors before their arrival in the United States. People who identify their origin as Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish may be of any race.
Social Determinants of Health

About Social Determinants

Health starts in our homes, schools, workplaces, neighborhoods, and communities. We know that taking care of ourselves by eating well and staying active, not smoking, getting the recommended immunizations and screening tests, and seeing a doctor when we are sick all influence our health. Our health is also determined in part by access to social and economic opportunities; the resources and supports available in our homes, neighborhoods, and communities; the quality of our schooling; the safety of our workplaces; the cleanliness of our water, food, and air; and the nature of our social interactions and relationships. The conditions in which we live explain in part why some Americans are healthier than others and why Americans more generally are not as healthy as they could be.

- Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Poverty

The following chart outlines the proportion of our population below the federal poverty threshold, as well as below 200% of the federal poverty level, in comparison to state and national proportions.

- The proportion of the parish population living in poverty (both 100% and 200% of the federal level) is considerably higher than the state and nation.

### Population in Poverty

(Populations Living Below 100% and Below 200% of the Poverty Level; 2012-2016)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>&lt;100% of Poverty</th>
<th>&lt;200% of Poverty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Winn Parish</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
<td>48.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>39.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>33.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:
- US Census Bureau American Community Survey 5-year estimates.

Notes:
- Poverty is considered a key driver of health status. This indicator is relevant because poverty creates barriers to access including health services, healthy food, and other necessities that contribute to poor health status.
Education

Education levels are reflected in the proportion of our population without a high school diploma:

- The proportion of Winn Parish adults without a high school education is less favorable than found across Louisiana and the US but similar to the Rapides Foundation Service Area.

![Population With No High School Diploma](chart)

**Population With No High School Diploma**
(Population Age 25+ Without a High School Diploma or Equivalent, 2012-2016)


Notes: This indicator is relevant because educational attainment is linked to positive health outcomes.

Housing

Survey respondents were asked:

“Overall, how would you rate the availability of affordable housing in your community? Would you say: excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor?”

“How would you describe the condition of the homes in your neighborhood? Would you say: excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor?”

- Adults in Winn Parish rate the availability of affordable housing and the condition of local housing less favorably than those across the Rapides Foundation Service Area.
- “Fair/poor” responses regarding affordability and the condition of housing in Winn Parish have increased significantly over time.
“Because of an emergency, have you had to live with a friend or relative in the past two years, even if this was only temporary?”

- The current parish finding is less favorable than found throughout the Rapides Foundation Service Area and has increased significantly over the years.
Had to Live With a Friend/Relatives in the Past Two Years Due to an Emergency (Even if Only Temporarily)

Sources: 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 337]
Notes: Asked of all respondents.
General Health Status

Overall Health Status

Self-Reported Health Status

The initial inquiry of the PRC Community Health Survey asked respondents the following:

“Would you say that in general your health is: excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor?”

The following charts further detail “fair/poor” overall health responses in Winn Parish in comparison to past findings and benchmark data, as well as by basic demographic characteristics (namely by sex, age groupings, and income [based on poverty status]).

- “Fair/poor” evaluations of overall health in Winn Parish are considerably higher than what is found regionally (RFSA), statewide, and nationally. The parish response has increased significantly since the baseline 2002 assessment.
“Fair/poor” health evaluations in Winn Parish are considerably higher among men, lower-income residents, and non-White respondents. There is no significant difference by age.

### Experience “Fair” or “Poor” Overall Health
(Winn Parish, 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Category</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>18 to 64</th>
<th>65+</th>
<th>Low/Mid Income</th>
<th>High Income</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low/Mid Income</td>
<td>48.5%</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
<td>40.7%</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
<td>63.3%</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
<td>51.2%</td>
<td>39.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winn Parish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:**
- 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 5]
- 2017 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

**Notes:**
- Asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level. "Mid High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.
Activity Limitations

About Disability & Health

An individual can get a disabling impairment or chronic condition at any point in life. Compared with people without disabilities, people with disabilities are more likely to:

- Experience difficulties or delays in getting the health care they need.
- Not have had an annual dental visit.
- Not have had a mammogram in past 2 years.
- Not have had a Pap test within the past 3 years.
- Not engage in fitness activities.
- Use tobacco.
- Be overweight or obese.
- Have high blood pressure.
- Experience symptoms of psychological distress.
- Receive less social-emotional support.
- Have lower employment rates.

There are many social and physical factors that influence the health of people with disabilities. The following three areas for public health action have been identified, using the International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health (ICF) and the three World Health Organization (WHO) principles of action for addressing health determinants.

- **Improve the conditions of daily life** by: encouraging communities to be accessible so all can live in, move through, and interact with their environment; encouraging community living; and removing barriers in the environment using both physical universal design concepts and operational policy shifts.
- **Address the inequitable distribution of resources among people with disabilities and those without disabilities** by increasing: appropriate health care for people with disabilities; education and work opportunities; social participation; and access to needed technologies and assistive supports.
- **Expand the knowledge base and raise awareness about determinants of health for people with disabilities** by increasing: the inclusion of people with disabilities in public health data collection efforts across the lifespan; the inclusion of people with disabilities in health promotion activities; and the expansion of disability and health training opportunities for public health and health care professionals.

Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)
“Are you limited in any way in any activities because of physical, mental, or emotional problems?”

- The proportion of parish adults with activity limitations is worse than found across the region, state, and nation. The parish rate has increased significantly over time.

**Limited in Activities in Some Way Due to a Physical, Mental or Emotional Problem**

Sources:
- 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 128]
- 2017 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.

- Lower-income adults are much more likely than higher-income adults to have activity limitations. Differences within the other demographic groups are not statistically significant.

**Limited in Activities in Some Way Due to a Physical, Mental or Emotional Problem**

(Winn Parish, 2018)

Sources:
- 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 109]

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. "Low/Mid Income" includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; "High Income" includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.
Mental Health

About Mental Health & Mental Disorders

Mental health is a state of successful performance of mental function, resulting in productive activities, fulfilling relationships with other people, and the ability to adapt to change and to cope with challenges. Mental health is essential to personal well-being, family and interpersonal relationships, and the ability to contribute to community or society. Mental disorders are health conditions that are characterized by alterations in thinking, mood, and/or behavior that are associated with distress and/or impaired functioning. Mental disorders contribute to a host of problems that may include disability, pain, or death. Mental illness is the term that refers collectively to all diagnosable mental disorders. Mental disorders are among the most common causes of disability. The resulting disease burden of mental illness is among the highest of all diseases.

Mental health and physical health are closely connected. Mental health plays a major role in people’s ability to maintain good physical health. Mental illnesses, such as depression and anxiety, affect people’s ability to participate in health-promoting behaviors. In turn, problems with physical health, such as chronic diseases, can have a serious impact on mental health and decrease a person’s ability to participate in treatment and recovery.

The existing model for understanding mental health and mental disorders emphasizes the interaction of social, environmental, and genetic factors throughout the lifespan. In behavioral health, researchers identify: risk factors, which predispose individuals to mental illness; and protective factors, which protect them from developing mental disorders. Researchers now know that the prevention of mental, emotional, and behavioral (MEB) disorders is inherently interdisciplinary and draws on a variety of different strategies. Over the past 20 years, research on the prevention of mental disorders has progressed. The major areas of progress include evidence that:

- MEB disorders are common and begin early in life.
- The greatest opportunity for prevention is among young people.
- There are multiyear effects of multiple preventive interventions on reducing substance abuse, conduct disorder, antisocial behavior, aggression, and child maltreatment.
- The incidence of depression among pregnant women and adolescents can be reduced.
- School-based violence prevention can reduce the base rate of aggressive problems in an average school by 25 to 33%.
- There are potential indicated preventive interventions for schizophrenia.
- Improving family functioning and positive parenting can have positive outcomes on mental health and can reduce poverty-related risk.
- School-based preventive interventions aimed at improving social and emotional outcomes can also improve academic outcomes.
- Interventions targeting families dealing with adversities, such as parental depression or divorce, can be effective in reducing risk for depression in children and increasing effective parenting.
- Some preventive interventions have benefits that exceed costs, with the available evidence strongest for early childhood interventions.
- Implementation is complex, and it is important that interventions be relevant to the target audiences.
- In addition to advancements in the prevention of mental disorders, there continues to be steady progress in treating mental disorders as new drugs and stronger evidence-based outcomes become available.

Self-Reported Mental Health Status

“Now thinking about your mental health, which includes stress, depression and problems with emotions, would you say that, in general, your mental health is: excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor?”
• Reports of “fair” or “poor” mental health in the parish are significantly higher than the US finding.
• Men, adults younger than 65, and lower-income residents are more likely to report “fair” or “poor” mental health. There is no statistical difference by race.

Experience “Fair” or “Poor” Mental Health
(Winn Parish, 2018)
**Depression**

**Diagnosed Depression:** “Has a doctor or other healthcare provider ever told you that you have a depressive disorder, including depression, major depression, dysthymia, or minor depression?”

- The proportion of adults with diagnosed depression is higher than found across Louisiana and the US but similar to the service area.

**Have Been Diagnosed With a Depressive Disorder**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31.9%</td>
<td>27.9%</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
<td>21.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 119]

**Notes:** Depressive disorders include depression, major depression, dysthymia, or minor depression.

**Symptoms of Chronic Depression:** “Have you had two years or more in your life when you felt depressed or sad most days, even if you felt okay sometimes?”

- Symptoms of chronic depression in Winn Parish are worse than found across the US but similar to the service area. The parish rate has increased significantly from previous survey results.

**Have Experienced Symptoms of Chronic Depression**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>43.0%</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>31.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 117]

**Notes:** Chronic depression includes periods of two or more years during which the respondent felt depressed or sad on most days, even if (s)he felt okay sometimes.
Chronic depression is more prevalent among adults younger than 65 and lower-income residents. Differences within the other demographic groups are not statistically significant.

### Have Experienced Symptoms of Chronic Depression
(Winn Parish, 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>18 to 64</th>
<th>65+</th>
<th>Low Income</th>
<th>Mid/High Income</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>48.2%</td>
<td>37.2%</td>
<td>47.2%</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
<td>63.4%</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
<td>38.4%</td>
<td>50.6%</td>
<td>43.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 100]
Notes: Asked of all respondents.
Chronic depression includes periods of two or more years during which the respondent felt depressed or sad on most days, even if (s)he felt okay sometimes.
Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. “Low Income” includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; “Mid/High Income” includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.

### Emotional Support

“In the past month, how often have you had someone you could turn to if you needed or wanted help? Would you say: all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, little of the time, or none of the time?”

- The parish response is less favorable than the service area response.

### Had Someone to Turn to
“All” or “Most” of the Time in the Past Month
(Winn Parish, 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>74.5%</td>
<td>81.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 330]
Notes: Asked of all respondents.
Mental Health Treatment

“Have you ever sought help from a professional for a mental or emotional problem?”

“Are you now taking medication or receiving treatment from a doctor or other health professional for any type of mental health condition or emotional problem?”

- The proportion of parish adults seeking help is comparable to the national finding, while the proportion of those taking medication/receiving treatment is considerably higher than the US figure.

![Mental Health Treatment Chart]

Sources: 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. (Items 103-104)
2017 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes:
- Reflects the total sample of respondents.

“Was there a time in the past 12 months when you needed mental health services but were not able to get them?”

- The parish response is considerably higher than the national response.
- Adults younger than 65 and lower-income respondents are more likely to have had trouble getting needed services. Differences by gender and race are not statistically significant.
Key Informant Input: Mental Health

The following chart outlines key informants’ perceptions of the severity of Mental Health as a problem in the community:

**Perceptions of Mental Health as a Problem in the Community**
(Key Informants, 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major Problem</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Problem</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Problem</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Problem At All</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:** PRC Online Key Informant Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
**Notes:** Asked of all respondents.

Challenges

Among those rating this issue as a “major problem,” the following represent what key informants see as the main challenges for persons with mental illness:

**Access to Care/Services**

*Mental health is an issue in our community, due to the lack of services available. There are almost no options in the parish available.* – Community Leader (Winn Parish)

**Denial/Stigma**

*Stigma of diagnosis. Lack of wraparound services.* – Other Health Provider (Winn Parish)

**Lack of Providers**

*No specialist to address issues.* – Community Leader (Winn Parish)
Death, Disease, & Chronic Conditions

Leading Causes of Death

Distribution of Deaths by Cause

Cancers and cardiovascular disease (heart disease and stroke) are leading causes of death in the community.

leading causes of death
(Winn Parish, 2016-2018)

Age-Adjusted Death Rates for Selected Causes

In order to compare mortality in the region with other localities (in this case, the state and the United States), it is necessary to look at rates of death — these are figures which represent the number of deaths in relation to the population size (such as deaths per 100,000 population, as is used here).

Furthermore, in order to compare localities without undue bias toward younger or older populations, the common convention is to adjust the data to some common baseline age distribution. Use of these “age-adjusted” rates provides the most valuable means of gauging mortality against benchmark data, as well as Healthy People 2020 targets.

Charts throughout this report outline annual average age-adjusted death rates per 100,000 population for selected causes of death in the area. (For infant mortality data, see also Birth Outcomes & Risks in the Births section of this report.)
Cardiovascular Disease

About Heart Disease & Stroke

Heart disease is the leading cause of death in the United States, with stroke following as the third leading cause. Together, heart disease and stroke are among the most widespread and costly health problems facing the nation today, accounting for more than $500 billion in healthcare expenditures and related expenses in 2010 alone. Fortunately, they are also among the most preventable.

The leading modifiable (controllable) risk factors for heart disease and stroke are:

- High blood pressure
- High cholesterol
- Cigarette smoking
- Diabetes
- Poor diet and physical inactivity
- Overweight and obesity

The risk of Americans developing and dying from cardiovascular disease would be substantially reduced if major improvements were made across the US population in diet and physical activity, control of high blood pressure and cholesterol, smoking cessation, and appropriate aspirin use.

The burden of cardiovascular disease is disproportionately distributed across the population. There are significant disparities in the following based on gender, age, race/ethnicity, geographic area, and socioeconomic status:

- Prevalence of risk factors
- Access to treatment
- Appropriate and timely treatment
- Treatment outcomes
- Mortality

Disease does not occur in isolation, and cardiovascular disease is no exception. Cardiovascular health is significantly influenced by the physical, social, and political environment, including: maternal and child health; access to educational opportunities; availability of healthy foods, physical education, and extracurricular activities in schools; opportunities for physical activity, including access to safe and walkable communities; access to healthy foods; quality of working conditions and worksite health; availability of community support and resources; and access to affordable, quality healthcare.

Age-Adjusted Heart Disease & Stroke Deaths

The greatest share of cardiovascular deaths is attributed to heart disease. The following charts outline age-adjusted mortality rates for heart disease and for stroke in our community.

- The heart disease death rate in Winn Parish is significantly higher than found statewide and nationwide. The parish rate is similar to the baseline rate.
The parish stroke death rate is significantly lower than found across the service area but similar to Louisiana and the US.
Prevalence of Heart Disease & Stroke

“Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional ever told you that you had: a heart attack, also called a myocardial infarction; or angina or coronary heart disease?” (Heart disease prevalence here is a calculated prevalence that includes those responding affirmatively to either.)

“Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional ever told you that you had a stroke?”

- Prevalence of heart disease in the parish is comparable to regional and US findings. The parish rate has not varied significantly over time.

Prevalence of Heart Disease

Prevalence of Stroke

Prevalence of stroke among parish adults is similar to all populations shown. The parish rate has not varied statistically since 2002.
Cardiovascular Risk Factors

About Cardiovascular Risk

Controlling risk factors for heart disease and stroke remains a challenge. High blood pressure and cholesterol are still major contributors to the national epidemic of cardiovascular disease. High blood pressure affects approximately 1 in 3 adults in the United States, and more than half of Americans with high blood pressure do not have it under control. High sodium intake is a known risk factor for high blood pressure and heart disease, yet about 90% of American adults exceed their recommendation for sodium intake.

- Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

High Blood Pressure & Cholesterol Prevalence

“Have you ever been told by a doctor, nurse, or other health care professional that you had high blood pressure?”

“Are you currently taking any action to help control your high blood pressure, such as taking medication, changing your diet, or exercising?”

“Blood cholesterol is a fatty substance found in the blood. Have you ever been told by a doctor, nurse, or other health care professional that your blood cholesterol is high?”

“Are you currently taking any action to help control your high cholesterol, such as taking medication, changing your diet, or exercising?”

- Prevalence of high blood pressure in the parish is considerably higher than found across the state and nation but similar to the service area. The parish rate has increased significantly over time.

Prevalence of High Blood Pressure

Healthy People 2020 Target = 26.9% or Lower

Sources:
- 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 41, 129]
- Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC); 2016 LA data.
- 2017 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
• Prevalence of high cholesterol in the parish is statistically similar to regional and national proportions. The parish rate is comparable to the baseline rate.

### Prevalence of High Blood Cholesterol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>US</th>
<th>Healthy People 2020 Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13.5% or Lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>36.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
<td>34.3%</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
<td>34.3%</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:
- 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 46, 148]
- 2017 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.

### About Cardiovascular Risk

Individual level risk factors which put people at increased risk for cardiovascular diseases include:

- High Blood Pressure
- High Blood Cholesterol
- Tobacco Use
- Physical Inactivity
- Poor Nutrition
- Overweight/Obesity
- Diabetes

Three health-related behaviors contribute markedly to cardiovascular disease:

**Poor nutrition.** People who are overweight have a higher risk for cardiovascular disease. Almost 60% of adults are overweight or obese. To maintain a proper body weight, experts recommend a well-balanced diet which is low in fat and high in fiber, accompanied by regular exercise.

**Lack of physical activity.** People who are not physically active have twice the risk for heart disease of those who are active. More than half of adults do not achieve recommended levels of physical activity.

**Tobacco use.** Smokers have twice the risk for heart attack of nonsmokers. Nearly one-fifth of all deaths from cardiovascular disease, or about 190,000 deaths a year nationally, are smoking-related. Every day, more than 3,000 young people become daily smokers in the US.

Modifying these behaviors is critical both for preventing and for controlling cardiovascular disease. Other steps that adults who have cardiovascular disease should take to reduce their risk of death and disability include adhering to treatment for high blood pressure and cholesterol, using aspirin as appropriate, and learning the symptoms of heart attack and stroke.

- National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
Total Cardiovascular Risk

The following chart reflects the percentage of adults in the Winn Parish who report one or more of the following: being overweight; smoking cigarettes; being physically inactive; or having high blood pressure or cholesterol. See also Nutrition, Physical Activity, Weight Status, and Tobacco Use in the Modifiable Health Risks section of this report.

- Cardiovascular risk factors are higher in Winn Parish than across the nation.
- Men and lower-income adults are more likely to present cardiovascular risk factors. There are no significant differences within the other groups.

Present One or More Cardiovascular Risks or Behaviors
(Winn Parish, 2018)

Key Informant Input: Heart Disease & Stroke

The following chart outlines key informants’ perceptions of the severity of Heart Disease & Stroke as a problem in the community:

Perceptions of Heart Disease and Stroke as a Problem in the Community
(Key Informants, 2018)

Major Problem  Moderate Problem  Minor Problem  No Problem At All

50.0%  33.3%  16.7%

Sources:  PRC Online Key Informant Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
Notes:  Asked of all respondents.
Top Concerns

Among those rating this issue as a “major problem,” reasons related to the following:

Diet/Exercise

Heart disease and stroke are a major problem because of bad diet, lack of exercise, poor lifestyle choices, such as drugs and tobacco. Also, we have a small rural hospital to treat these problems. When possible, the best options are out of town. – Community Leader (Winn Parish)

Obesity

Many individuals are obese, lack proper regiment of exercise, do not follow healthy eating life style, and are unaware of potential danger signs for the diseases. – Community Leader (Winn Parish)

Access to Care/Services

Limited cardiology services. – Community Leader (Winn Parish)
Cancer

About Cancer

Continued advances in cancer research, detection, and treatment have resulted in a decline in both incidence and death rates for all cancers. Among people who develop cancer, more than half will be alive in five years. Yet, cancer remains a leading cause of death in the United States, second only to heart disease.

Many cancers are preventable by reducing risk factors such as: use of tobacco products; physical inactivity and poor nutrition; obesity; and ultraviolet light exposure. Other cancers can be prevented by getting vaccinated against human papillomavirus and hepatitis B virus. In the past decade, overweight and obesity have emerged as new risk factors for developing certain cancers, including colorectal, breast, uterine corpus (endometrial), and kidney cancers. The impact of the current weight trends on cancer incidence will not be fully known for several decades. Continued focus on preventing weight gain will lead to lower rates of cancer and many chronic diseases.

Screening is effective in identifying some types of cancers (see US Preventive Services Task Force [USPSTF] recommendations), including:

- Breast cancer (using mammography)
- Cervical cancer (using Pap tests)
- Colorectal cancer (using fecal occult blood testing, sigmoidoscopy, or colonoscopy)
- Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Age-Adjusted Cancer Deaths

The following chart illustrates age-adjusted cancer mortality (all types) in Winn Parish.

- The cancer death rate in Winn Parish is higher than the national prevalence but similar to the statewide figure. The parish rate is lower than the baseline rate, despite an increase in recent years.

Cancer: Age-Adjusted Mortality Trends

(Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

Healthy People 2020 Target = 161.4 or Lower

Sources:
- CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted August 2018.

Notes:
- Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
- Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 US Standard Population.
Cancer Risk

About Cancer Risk
Reducing the nation’s cancer burden requires reducing the prevalence of behavioral and environmental factors that increase cancer risk.

- All cancers caused by cigarette smoking could be prevented. At least one-third of cancer deaths that occur in the United States are due to cigarette smoking.
- According to the American Cancer Society, about one-third of cancer deaths that occur in the United States each year are due to nutrition and physical activity factors, including obesity.
- National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Cancer Screenings
The American Cancer Society recommends that both men and women get a cancer-related checkup during a regular doctor’s checkup. It should include examination for cancers of the thyroid, testicles, ovaries, lymph nodes, oral cavity, and skin, as well as health counseling about tobacco, sun exposure, diet and nutrition, risk factors, sexual practices, and environmental and occupational exposures.

Screening levels in the community were measured in the PRC Community Health Survey relative to: female breast cancer (mammography); cervical cancer (Pap smear testing); and colorectal cancer (sigmoidoscopy and fecal occult blood testing).

Female Breast Cancer Screening

About Screening for Breast Cancer
The US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) recommends screening mammography, with or without clinical breast examination (CBE), every 1-2 years for women age 40 and older.

Rationale: The USPSTF found fair evidence that mammography screening every 12-33 months significantly reduces mortality from breast cancer. Evidence is strongest for women age 50-69, the age group generally included in screening trials. For women age 40-49, the evidence that screening mammography reduces mortality from breast cancer is weaker, and the absolute benefit of mammography is smaller, than it is for older women. Most, but not all, studies indicate a mortality benefit for women undergoing mammography at ages 40-49, but the delay in observed benefit in women younger than 50 makes it difficult to determine the incremental benefit of beginning screening at age 40 rather than at age 50.

The absolute benefit is smaller because the incidence of breast cancer is lower among women in their 40s than it is among older women. The USPSTF concluded that the evidence is also generalizable to women age 70 and older (who face a higher absolute risk for breast cancer) if their life expectancy is not compromised by comorbid disease. The absolute probability of benefits of regular mammography increase along a continuum with age, whereas the likelihood of harms from screening (false-positive results and unnecessary anxiety, biopsies, and cost) diminish from ages 40-70. The balance of benefits and potential harms, therefore, grows more favorable as women age. The precise age at which the potential benefits of mammography justify the possible harms is a subjective choice. The USPSTF did not find sufficient evidence to specify the optimal screening interval for women age 40-49.


Note that other organizations (e.g., American Cancer Society, American Academy of Family Physicians, American College of Physicians, National Cancer Institute) may have slightly different screening guidelines.
Breast Cancer Screening: “A mammogram is an x-ray of each breast to look for cancer. How long has it been since you had your last mammogram?” (Calculated here among women age 50 to 74 who indicate screening within the past 2 years.)

- Screenings in the parish are similar to that found across the region, state, and nation. The parish rate is comparable to the 2002 rate, though fluctuating in the interim.

### Have Had a Mammogram in the Past Two Years

(Among Women Age 50-74)

**Healthy People 2020 Target = 81.1% or Higher**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>76.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>79.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>78.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>77.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>76.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Winn Parish: 76.6%, 79.8%, 78.5%, 77.0%, 76.9%

Sources:
- 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 151]
- 2017 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes:
- Reflects female respondents 50-74.
Cervical Cancer Screenings

About Screening for Cervical Cancer

The US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) strongly recommends screening for cervical cancer in women who have been sexually active and have a cervix.

Rationale: The USPSTF found good evidence from multiple observational studies that screening with cervical cytology (Pap smears) reduces incidence of and mortality from cervical cancer. Direct evidence to determine the optimal starting and stopping age and interval for screening is limited. Indirect evidence suggests most of the benefit can be obtained by beginning screening within 3 years of onset of sexual activity or age 21 (whichever comes first) and screening at least every 3 years. The USPSTF concludes that the benefits of screening substantially outweigh potential harms.

The USPSTF recommends against routinely screening women older than age 65 for cervical cancer if they have had adequate recent screening with normal Pap smears and are not otherwise at high risk for cervical cancer.

Rationale: The USPSTF found limited evidence to determine the benefits of continued screening in women older than 65. The yield of screening is low in previously screened women older than 65 due to the declining incidence of high-grade cervical lesions after middle age. There is fair evidence that screening women older than 65 is associated with an increased risk for potential harms, including false-positive results and invasive procedures. The USPSTF concludes that the potential harms of screening are likely to exceed benefits among older women who have had normal results previously and who are not otherwise at high risk for cervical cancer.

The USPSTF recommends against routine Pap smear screening in women who have had a total hysterectomy for benign disease.

Rationale: The USPSTF found fair evidence that the yield of cytologic screening is very low in women after hysterectomy and poor evidence that screening to detect vaginal cancer improves health outcomes. The USPSTF concludes that potential harms of continued screening after hysterectomy are likely to exceed benefits.


Note that other organizations (e.g., American Cancer Society, American Academy of Family Physicians, American College of Physicians, National Cancer Institute) may have slightly different screening guidelines.

Cervical Cancer Screening: “A Pap test is a test for cancer of the cervix. How long has it been since you had your last Pap test?” (Calculated here among women age 21 to 65 who indicate screening within the past 3 years.)

- Screenings in the parish are considerably higher than the regional and national rates but similar to the statewide prevalence. The parish rate is comparable to the baseline rate.
Have Had a Pap Smear in the Past Three Years
(Among Women Age 21-65)
Healthy People 2020 Target = 93.0% or Higher

Sources:
- 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 152]
- 2017 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes:
- Reflects female respondents age 21 to 65.
Prostate Cancer Screenings

About Screening for Prostate Cancer

Prostate cancer is one of the most common types of cancer that affects men. In the United States, the lifetime risk of being diagnosed with prostate cancer is approximately 11%, and the lifetime risk of dying of prostate cancer is 2.5%. Many men with prostate cancer never experience symptoms and, without screening, would never know they have the disease. In autopsy studies of men who died of other causes, more than 20% of men aged 50 to 59 years and more than 33% of men aged 70 to 79 years were found to have prostate cancer. In some men, the cancer is more aggressive and leads to death. The median age of death from prostate cancer is 80 years, and more than two-thirds of all men who die of prostate cancer are older than 75 years.

Screening for prostate cancer begins with a test that measures the amount of PSA protein in the blood. An elevated PSA level may be caused by prostate cancer but can also be caused by other conditions, including an enlarged prostate (benign prostatic hyperplasia) and inflammation of the prostate (prostatitis). Some men without prostate cancer may therefore have positive screening results (i.e., “false-positive” results). Men with a positive PSA test result may undergo a transrectal ultrasound-guided core-needle biopsy of the prostate to diagnose prostate cancer.

PSA-based screening for prostate cancer has both potential benefits and harms. The USPSTF does not recommend screening for prostate cancer unless men express a preference for screening after being informed of and understanding the benefits and risks. The decision about whether to be screened for prostate cancer requires that each man incorporate his own values about the potential benefits and harms. The potential harms of screening, diagnostic procedures, and treatment occur soon after screening takes place. Although the potential benefits may occur any time after screening, they generally occur years after treatment, because progression from asymptomatic, screen-detected cancer to symptomatic, metastasized cancer or death (if it occurs at all) may take years or decades to occur.

The USPSTF concludes with moderate certainty that the net benefit of PSA-based screening for prostate cancer in men aged 55 to 69 years is small for some men. How each man weighs specific benefits and harms will determine whether the overall net benefit is small.

The USPSTF concludes with moderate certainty that the potential benefits of PSA-based screening for prostate cancer in men 70 years and older do not outweigh the expected harms.


Note that other organizations (e.g., American Cancer Society, American Academy of Family Physicians, American College of Physicians, National Cancer Institute) may have slightly different screening guidelines.

Prostate Cancer Screening: “A prostate-specific antigen test, also called a PSA test, is a blood test used to check men for prostate cancer. How long has it been since you had your last PSA test?”

“A digital rectal exam is when a doctor, nurse or other health professional places a gloved finger in the rectum to feel the size, hardness and shape of the prostate gland. How long has it been since your last digital rectal exam?” (Calculated here among men age 50 and older who indicate either screening within the past 2 years.)

- Screenings are comparable across the parish and region. Despite fluctuations, the parish rate is similar to the baseline rate.
Colorectal Cancer Screenings

**About Screening for Colorectal Cancer**

The USPSTF recommends screening for colorectal cancer using fecal occult blood testing, sigmoidoscopy, or colonoscopy in adults, beginning at age 50 years and continuing until age 75 years.

The evidence is convincing that screening for colorectal cancer with fecal occult blood testing, sigmoidoscopy, or colonoscopy detects early-stage cancer and adenomatous polyps. There is convincing evidence that screening with any of the three recommended tests (fecal occult blood testing, sigmoidoscopy, colonoscopy) reduces colorectal cancer mortality in adults age 50 to 75 years. Follow-up of positive screening test results requires colonoscopy regardless of the screening test used.


Note that other organizations (e.g., American Cancer Society, American Academy of Family Physicians, American College of Physicians, National Cancer Institute) may have slightly different screening guidelines.

**Colorectal Cancer Screening:** “Sigmoidoscopy and colonoscopy are exams in which a tube is inserted in the rectum to view the colon for signs of cancer or other health problems. How long has it been since your last sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy?” and

“A blood stool test is a test that may use a special kit at home to determine whether the stool contains blood. How long has it been since you had your last blood stool test?”

(Calculated here among both sexes age 50 to 75 who indicated fecal occult blood testing within the past year and/or sigmoidoscopy/colonoscopy [lower endoscopy] within the past 10 years.)

- Screenings in the parish are better than found statewide but similar to the service area and US. The parish rate is similar to the 2013 rate.
Key Informant Input: Cancer

The following chart outlines key informants’ perceptions of the severity of Cancer as a problem in the community:

Perceptions of Cancer as a Problem in the Community
(Key Informants, 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Major Problem</th>
<th>Moderate Problem</th>
<th>Minor Problem</th>
<th>No Problem At All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Winn Parish 2018</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA 2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA 2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: PRC Online Key Informant Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes: Asked of all respondents.

Top Concerns

Among those rating this issue as a “major problem,” reasons related to the following:

Prevalence/Incidence

- *Tobacco and drug use is a huge problem in our community.* – Community Leader (Winn Parish)
- *Observation of many smokers. High cancer rate.* – Other Health Provider (Winn Parish)
- *Usage rates of tobacco, cigarettes, Skoal, etc.* – Community Leader (Winn Parish)
Respiratory Disease

About Asthma & COPD

Asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) are significant public health burdens. Specific methods of detection, intervention, and treatment exist that may reduce this burden and promote health.

Asthma is a chronic inflammatory disorder of the airways characterized by episodes of reversible breathing problems due to airway narrowing and obstruction. These episodes can range in severity from mild to life threatening. Symptoms of asthma include wheezing, coughing, chest tightness, and shortness of breath. Daily preventive treatment can prevent symptoms and attacks and enable individuals who have asthma to lead active lives.

COPD is a preventable and treatable disease characterized by airflow limitation that is not fully reversible. The airflow limitation is usually progressive and associated with an abnormal inflammatory response of the lung to noxious particles or gases (typically from exposure to cigarette smoke). Treatment can lessen symptoms and improve quality of life for those with COPD.

The burden of respiratory diseases affects individuals and their families, schools, workplaces, neighborhoods, cities, and states. Because of the cost to the healthcare system, the burden of respiratory diseases also falls on society; it is paid for with higher health insurance rates, lost productivity, and tax dollars. Annual healthcare expenditures for asthma alone are estimated at $20.7 billion.

Asthma. The prevalence of asthma has increased since 1980. However, deaths from asthma have decreased since the mid-1990s. The causes of asthma are an active area of research and involve both genetic and environmental factors.

Risk factors for asthma currently being investigated include:

- Having a parent with asthma
- Sensitization to irritants and allergens
- Respiratory infections in childhood
- Overweight

Asthma affects people of every race, sex, and age. However, significant disparities in asthma morbidity and mortality exist, in particular for low-income and minority populations. Populations with higher rates of asthma include: children; women (among adults) and boys (among children); African Americans; Puerto Ricans; people living in the Northeast United States; people living below the Federal poverty level; and employees with certain exposures in the workplace.

While there is not a cure for asthma yet, there are diagnoses and treatment guidelines that are aimed at ensuring that all people with asthma live full and active lives.

- Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

[NOTE: COPD was changed to chronic lower respiratory disease (CLRD) with the introduction of ICD-10 codes. CLRD is used in vital statistics reporting, but COPD is still widely used and commonly found in surveillance reports.]

Age-Adjusted Respiratory Disease Deaths

Chronic lower respiratory diseases (CLRD) are diseases affecting the lungs; the most deadly of these is chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), which includes emphysema and chronic bronchitis.

Pneumonia and influenza mortality also is illustrated in the following chart. For prevalence of vaccinations against pneumonia and influenza, see also Immunization & Infectious Diseases in the Infectious Disease section of this report.
The parish CLRD death rate has improved over time and is similar to state and national rates.

**CLRD: Age-Adjusted Mortality Trends**

(Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)


Sources: CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted August 2018.

Notes: Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10). Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 US Standard Population. CLRD is chronic lower respiratory disease.

The pneumonia/influenza death rate in the parish is considerably higher than found across the state and nation but is similar to the Rapides Foundation Service Area.

**Pneumonia/Influenza: Age-Adjusted Mortality Trends**

(2012-2016 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

- Winn Parish: 28.5
- RFSA: 26.5
- LA: 16.6
- US: 14.8

Sources: CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted August 2018.

Notes: Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10). Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 US Standard Population.
Prevalence of Respiratory Diseases

COPD

“Would you please tell me if you have ever suffered from or been diagnosed with COPD or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, including bronchitis or emphysema?”

- Parish prevalence of COPD is similar to the RFSA, state, and US and has increased over time.

![Prevalence of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD)](chart)

Key Informant Input: Respiratory Disease

The following chart outlines key informants’ perceptions of the severity of Respiratory Disease as a problem in the community:

![Perceptions of Respiratory Diseases as a Problem in the Community](chart)

Top Concerns

Among those rating this issue as a “major problem,” the following reason was given:

Lack of Providers

No specialist. – Community Leader (Winn Parish)
Injury & Violence

About Injury & Violence

Injuries and violence are widespread in society. Both unintentional injuries and those caused by acts of violence are among the top 15 killers for Americans of all ages. Many people accept them as “accidents,” “acts of fate,” or as “part of life.” However, most events resulting in injury, disability, or death are predictable and preventable.

Injuries are the leading cause of death for Americans ages 1 to 44, and a leading cause of disability for all ages, regardless of sex, race/ethnicity, or socioeconomic status. More than 180,000 people die from injuries each year, and approximately 1 in 10 sustains a nonfatal injury serious enough to be treated in a hospital emergency department.

Beyond their immediate health consequences, injuries and violence have a significant impact on the well-being of Americans by contributing to:

- Premature death
- Disability
- Poor mental health
- High medical costs
- Lost productivity

The effects of injuries and violence extend beyond the injured person or victim of violence to family members, friends, coworkers, employers, and communities.

Numerous factors can affect the risk of unintentional injury and violence, including individual behaviors, physical environment, access to health services (ranging from pre-hospital and acute care to rehabilitation), and social environment (from parental monitoring and supervision of youth to peer group associations, neighborhoods, and communities).

Interventions addressing these social and physical factors have the potential to prevent unintentional injuries and violence. Efforts to prevent unintentional injury may focus on:

- Modifications of the environment
- Improvements in product safety
- Legislation and enforcement
- Education and behavior change
- Technology and engineering

Efforts to prevent violence may focus on:

- Changing social norms about the acceptability of violence
- Improving problem-solving skills (for example, parenting, conflict resolution, coping)
- Changing policies to address the social and economic conditions that often give rise to violence

Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)
Unintentional Injury

Age-Adjusted Unintentional Injury Deaths

The following chart outlines age-adjusted mortality rates for unintentional injury in the area.

- The death rate in Winn Parish is lower than the statewide rate but similar to the national finding. The parish rate has improved over time.

Unintentional Injuries: Age-Adjusted Mortality Trends
(Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)
Healthy People 2020 Target = 36.4 or Lower

![Graph showing mortality rates over time for Winn Parish, LA, and US.]

Winn Parish 69.3 48.3 46.6 44.0 44.0 43.2 44.0 44.8
LA 53.2 49.1 46.1 47.1 49.1 50.4 51.7 54.0
US 39.0 38.6 38.6 39.1 39.2 39.7 41.0 43.7

Sources:
- CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted August 2018.

Notes:
- Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
- Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 US Standard Population.

Intentional Injury (Violence)

Violent Crime

Violent crime is composed of four offenses (FBI Index offenses): murder and non-negligent manslaughter; forcible rape; robbery; and aggravated assault. Note that the quality of crime data can vary widely from location to location, depending on the consistency and completeness of reporting among various jurisdictions.

- The violent crime rate in Winn Parish is better than the regional rate, similar to the statewide rate, and worse than the national rate.
Violent Crime Experience: “Have you been the victim of a violent crime in your area in the past 5 years?”

- The parish response is similar to the national response.
- Men, lower-income adults, and White respondents are more likely to report being a victim of violent crime. There is no statistical variance by age.

### Violent Crime
(Rate per 100,000 Population, 2012-2014)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parish</th>
<th>Rate (per 100,000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Winn Parish</td>
<td>493.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFSA</td>
<td>590.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>512.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>379.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Notes: This indicator reports the rate of violent crime offenses reported by the sheriff's office or county police department per 100,000 residents. Violent crime includes homicide, rape, robbery, and aggravated assault. This indicator is relevant because it assess community safety. Participation by law enforcement agencies in the UCR program is voluntary. Sub-state data do not necessarily represent an exhaustive list of crimes due to gaps in reporting. Also, some institutions of higher education have their own police departments, which handle offenses occurring within campus grounds; these offenses are not included in the violent crime statistics, but can be obtained from the Uniform Crime Reports Universities and Colleges data tables.

### Victim of a Violent Crime in the Past Five Years
(Winn Parish, 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 64</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Income</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid/High Income</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winn Parish</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 46]

Notes: Asked of all respondents. Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. “Low Income” includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; “Mid/High Income” includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.
Intimate Partner Violence: “The next questions are about different types of violence in relationships with an intimate partner. By an intimate partner, I mean any current or former spouse, boyfriend, or girlfriend. Someone you were dating, or romantically or sexually intimate with, would also be considered an intimate partner. Has an intimate partner ever hit, slapped, pushed, kicked, or hurt you in any way?”

- The parish response is worse than the national response but similar to the regional figure. The parish rate has increased considerably from previous survey results.

![Chart showing percentage of respondents who have ever been hit, slapped, pushed, kicked, or hurt by an intimate partner.]

Key Informant Input: Injury & Violence
The following chart outlines key informants’ perceptions of the severity of Injury & Violence as a problem in the community:

![Chart showing perceptions of injury and violence as a problem in the community.]

Sources: 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 50]
2017 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
Notes: Asked of all respondents.

Perceptions of Injury and Violence as a Problem in the Community
(Key Informants, 2018)

- Major Problem: 66.7%
- Moderate Problem: 33.3%

Sources: PRC Online Key Informant Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
Notes: Asked of all respondents.
Diabetes

About Diabetes

Diabetes mellitus occurs when the body cannot produce or respond appropriately to insulin. Insulin is a hormone that the body needs to absorb and use glucose (sugar) as fuel for the body’s cells. Without a properly functioning insulin signaling system, blood glucose levels become elevated and other metabolic abnormalities occur, leading to the development of serious, disabling complications. Many forms of diabetes exist; the three common types are Type 1, Type 2, and gestational diabetes. Effective therapy can prevent or delay diabetic complications.

Diabetes mellitus:
- Lowers life expectancy by up to 15 years.
- Increases the risk of heart disease by 2 to 4 times.
- Is the leading cause of kidney failure, lower limb amputations, and adult-onset blindness.

The rate of diabetes mellitus continues to increase both in the United States and throughout the world. Due to the steady rise in the number of persons with diabetes mellitus, and possibly earlier onset of type 2 diabetes mellitus, there is growing concern about the possibility that the increase in the number of persons with diabetes mellitus and the complexity of their care might overwhelm existing healthcare systems.

People from minority populations are more frequently affected by type 2 diabetes. Minority groups constitute 25% of all adult patients with diabetes in the US and represent the majority of children and adolescents with type 2 diabetes.

Lifestyle change has been proven effective in preventing or delaying the onset of type 2 diabetes in high-risk individuals.
- Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Age-Adjusted Diabetes Deaths

Age-adjusted diabetes mortality for the area is shown in the following chart.

- The Winn Parish death rate is lower than the statewide rate but similar to what is found across the region and the US.

Diabetes: Age-Adjusted Mortality Rate
(2012-2016 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)
Healthy People 2020 Target = 20.5 or Lower (Adjusted)

Sources:

Notes:
- Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10).
- Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 US Standard Population.
- The Healthy People 2020 target for Diabetes is adjusted to account for only diabetes mellitus coded deaths.
Prevalence of Diabetes

“Have you ever been told by a doctor, nurse, or other health professional that you have diabetes? (If female, add: not counting diabetes only occurring during pregnancy?)”

“Have you ever been told by a doctor, nurse, or other health professional that you have pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes? (If female, add: other than during pregnancy?)”

- Prevalence of diabetes in the parish is less favorable than found across the region, state, and nation. The parish rate has worsened over time.

- Men and non-White residents are considerably more likely to be diabetic.

Prevalence of Diabetes

(Winn Parish, 2018)

**Sources:**
- 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 140]
- 2017 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

**Notes:**
- Asked of all respondents.

Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. “Low Income” includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level. “Mid/High Income” includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.
- Excludes gestational diabetes (occurring only during pregnancy).
Diabetes Testing

Adults who do not have diabetes: “Have you had a test for high blood sugar or diabetes within the past three years?”

- Testing in the parish is statistically similar to regional and national levels.

### Have Had Blood Sugar Tested in the Past Three Years (Among Nondiabetics)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>47.2%</td>
<td>53.9%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 39]

Notes: Asked of respondents who have not been diagnosed with diabetes.

### Key Informant Input: Diabetes

The following chart outlines key informants’ perceptions of the severity of Diabetes as a problem in the community:

### Perceptions of Diabetes as a Problem in the Community (Key Informants, 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Major Problem</th>
<th>Moderate Problem</th>
<th>Minor Problem</th>
<th>No Problem At All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: PRC Online Key Informant Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes: Asked of all respondents.
Challenges
Among those rating this issue as a “major problem,” the biggest challenges for people with diabetes are seen as:

Disease Management
Following a dietary plan to control diabetes and regularly visiting doctors to monitor and manage plan. – Community Leader (Winn Parish)

Lack of Providers
No specialist. – Community Leader (Winn Parish)

Obesity and Lifestyle
Culture, diet and access to chronic care management. – Other Health Provider (Winn Parish)
### Alzheimer’s Disease

**About Dementia**

Dementia is the loss of cognitive functioning—thinking, remembering, and reasoning—to such an extent that it interferes with a person’s daily life. Dementia is not a disease itself, but rather a set of symptoms. Memory loss is a common symptom of dementia, although memory loss by itself does not mean a person has dementia. Alzheimer’s disease is the most common cause of dementia, accounting for the majority of all diagnosed cases.

Alzheimer’s disease is the 6th leading cause of death among adults age 18 years and older. Estimates vary, but experts suggest that up to 5.1 million Americans age 65 years and older have Alzheimer’s disease. These numbers are predicted to more than double by 2050 unless more effective ways to treat and prevent Alzheimer’s disease are found.

- Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

#### Key Informant Input: Dementias, Including Alzheimer’s Disease

The following chart outlines key informants’ perceptions of the severity of *Dementias, Including Alzheimer’s Disease* as a problem in the community:

**Perceptions of Dementia/Alzheimer’s Disease as a Problem in the Community**

(Key Informants, 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perception</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major Problem</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Problem</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Problem</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Problem At All</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Top Concerns**

Among those rating this issue as a “major problem,” reasons related to the following:

- **Aging Population**
  - *Aging community.* – Community Leader (Winn Parish)

- **Lack of Specialists**
  - *No specialist.* – Community Leader (Winn Parish)
**Kidney Disease**

**About Kidney Disease**

Chronic kidney disease and end-stage renal disease are significant public health problems in the United States and a major source of suffering and poor quality of life for those afflicted. They are responsible for premature death and exact a high economic price from both the private and public sectors. Nearly 25% of the Medicare budget is used to treat people with chronic kidney disease and end-stage renal disease.

Genetic determinants have a large influence on the development and progression of chronic kidney disease. It is not possible to alter a person’s biology and genetic determinants; however, environmental influences and individual behaviors also have a significant influence on the development and progression of chronic kidney disease. As a result, some populations are disproportionately affected. Successful behavior modification is expected to have a positive influence on the disease.

Diabetes is the most common cause of kidney failure. The results of the Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) funded by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK) show that moderate exercise, a healthier diet, and weight reduction can prevent development of type 2 diabetes in persons at risk.

- Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

**Age-Adjusted Kidney Disease Deaths**

Age-adjusted kidney disease mortality is described in the following chart.

- The death rate in Winn Parish is worse than the national rate but similar to the regional and statewide rates.

**Kidney Disease: Age-Adjusted Mortality Rate**

(2012-2016 Annual Average Deaths per 100,000 Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Epidemiology Program Office, Division of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics. Data extracted August 2018.

Notes: Deaths are coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10). Rates are per 100,000 population, age-adjusted to the 2000 US Standard Population.
Key Informant Input: Kidney Disease

The following chart outlines key informants’ perceptions of the severity of Kidney Disease as a problem in the community:

Perceptions of Kidney Disease as a Problem in the Community
(Key Informants, 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Major Problem</th>
<th>Moderate Problem</th>
<th>Minor Problem</th>
<th>No Problem At All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: PRC Online Key Informant Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
Notes: Asked of all respondents.

Top Concerns

Among those rating this issue as a “major problem,” the following reason was given:

Access to Care/Services

No specialist. – Community Leader (Winn Parish)
Potentially Disabling Conditions

**About Arthritis, Osteoporosis, & Chronic Back Conditions**

There are more than 100 types of arthritis. Arthritis commonly occurs with other chronic conditions, such as diabetes, heart disease, and obesity. Interventions to treat the pain and reduce the functional limitations from arthritis are important, and may also enable people with these other chronic conditions to be more physically active. Arthritis affects 1 in 5 adults and continues to be the most common cause of disability. It costs more than $128 billion per year. All of the human and economic costs are projected to increase over time as the population ages. There are interventions that can reduce arthritis pain and functional limitations, but they remain underused. These include: increased physical activity; self-management education; and weight loss among overweight/obese adults.

Osteoporosis is a disease marked by reduced bone strength leading to an increased risk of fractures (broken bones). In the United States, an estimated 5.3 million people age 50 years and older have osteoporosis. Most of these people are women, but about 0.8 million are men. Just over 34 million more people, including 12 million men, have low bone mass, which puts them at increased risk for developing osteoporosis. Half of all women and as many as 1 in 4 men age 50 years and older will have an osteoporosis-related fracture in their lifetime.

Chronic back pain is common, costly, and potentially disabling. About 80% of Americans experience low back pain in their lifetime. It is estimated that each year:

- 15%-20% of the population develop protracted back pain.
- 2-8% have chronic back pain (pain that lasts more than 3 months).
- 3-4% of the population is temporarily disabled due to back pain.
- 1% of the working-age population is disabled completely and permanently as a result of low back pain.

Americans spend at least $50 billion each year on low back pain. Low back pain is the:

- 2nd leading cause of lost work time (after the common cold).
- 3rd most common reason to undergo a surgical procedure.
- 5th most frequent cause of hospitalization.

Arthritis, osteoporosis, and chronic back conditions all have major effects on quality of life, the ability to work, and basic activities of daily living.

- Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

**Arthritis**

“Would you please tell me if you have ever suffered from or been diagnosed with arthritis or rheumatism?”

See also **Overall Health Status: Activity Limitations** in the **General Health Status** section of this report.

- Prevalence of arthritis/rheumatism among parish adults age 50 and older is worse than found across the US.
Key Informant Input: Arthritis, Osteoporosis, & Chronic Back Conditions
The following chart outlines key informants’ perceptions of the severity of Arthritis, Osteoporosis, & Chronic Back Conditions as a problem in the community:

Perceptions of Arthritis/Osteoporosis/Back Conditions as a Problem in the Community (Key Informants, 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Problem</th>
<th>Moderate Problem</th>
<th>Minor Problem</th>
<th>No Problem At All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Top Concerns
Among those rating this issue as a “major problem,” the following reason was given:

Lack of Resources
No specialist to address. – Community Leader (Winn Parish)
Vision & Hearing Impairment

Key Informant Input: Vision & Hearing

The following chart outlines key informants’ perceptions of the severity of Vision & Hearing as a problem in the community:

Perceptions of Vision and Hearing as a Problem in the Community
(Key Informants, 2018)

- Major Problem: 50.0%
- Moderate Problem: 50.0%
- Minor Problem: 50.0%
- No Problem At All: 50.0%

Top Reasons for “Major Problem” Responses:
- ...
- ...
- ...

Sources: PRC Online Key Informant Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
Notes: Asked of all respondents.
Infectious Disease

**About Immunization & Infectious Diseases**

The increase in life expectancy during the 20th century is largely due to improvements in child survival; this increase is associated with reductions in infectious disease mortality, due largely to immunization. However, infectious diseases remain a major cause of illness, disability, and death. Immunization recommendations in the United States currently target 17 vaccine-preventable diseases across the lifespan.

People in the US continue to get diseases that are vaccine-preventable. Viral hepatitis, influenza, and tuberculosis (TB) remain among the leading causes of illness and death across the nation and account for substantial spending on the related consequences of infection.

The infectious disease public health infrastructure, which carries out disease surveillance at the national, state, and local levels, is an essential tool in the fight against newly emerging and re-emerging infectious diseases. Other important defenses against infectious diseases include:

- Proper use of vaccines
- Antibiotics
- Screening and testing guidelines
- Scientific improvements in the diagnosis of infectious disease-related health concerns

Vaccines are among the most cost-effective clinical preventive services and are a core component of any preventive services package. Childhood immunization programs provide a very high return on investment. For example, for each birth cohort vaccinated with the routine immunization schedule, society:

- Saves 33,000 lives.
- Prevents 14 million cases of disease.
- Reduces direct healthcare costs by $9.9 billion.
- Saves $33.4 billion in indirect costs.

Key Informant Input: Immunization & Infectious Diseases

The following chart outlines key informants’ perceptions of the severity of *Immunization & Infectious Diseases* as a problem in the community:

**Perceptions of Immunization and Infectious Diseases as a Problem in the Community**

*(Key Informants, 2018)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Major Problem</th>
<th>Moderate Problem</th>
<th>Minor Problem</th>
<th>No Problem At All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: PRC Online Key Informant Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes: Asked of all respondents.
Influenza & Pneumonia Vaccination

**About Influenza & Pneumonia**

Acute respiratory infections, including pneumonia and influenza, are the 8th leading cause of death in the nation, accounting for 56,000 deaths annually. Pneumonia mortality in children fell by 97% in the last century, but respiratory infectious diseases continue to be leading causes of pediatric hospitalization and outpatient visits in the US. On average, influenza leads to more than 200,000 hospitalizations and 36,000 deaths each year. The 2009 H1N1 influenza pandemic caused an estimated 270,000 hospitalizations and 12,270 deaths (1,270 of which were of people younger than age 18) between April 2009 and March 2010.

- Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

**Vaccinations**

“During the past 12 months, have you had a flu shot?”

“A pneumonia shot or pneumococcal vaccine is usually given only once or twice in a person’s lifetime and is different from the seasonal flu shot. Have you ever had a pneumonia shot?”

Columns in the following chart show these findings among those age 65+.

- The flu vaccination rate among parish seniors is better than found across Louisiana but similar to regional and national figures. The parish rate is comparable to the baseline rate.

**Older Adults: Have Had a Flu Vaccination in the Past Year**

(Among Adults Age 65+)

Healthy People 2020 Target = 70.0% or Higher

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>75.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>70.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>51.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>76.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>65.9%</td>
<td>71.8%</td>
<td>65.8%</td>
<td>68.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The pneumonia vaccination rate among parish seniors is statistically similar to all populations shown. The parish rate is comparable to the 2002 rate, despite fluctuations over time.

**Notes:**

- Reflects respondents 65 and older.
Older Adults: Have Ever Had a Pneumonia Vaccine
(Among Adults Age 65+)
Healthy People 2020 Target = 90.0% or Higher

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>75.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>71.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>73.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>82.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>75.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- Reflects respondents 65 and older.
- “High-Risk” includes adults age 18 to 64 who have been diagnosed with heart disease, diabetes or respiratory disease.

Sources:
- 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 146]
- 2017 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
HIV

**About Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV)**

The HIV epidemic in the United States continues to be a major public health crisis. An estimated 1.1 million Americans are living with HIV, and 1 in 5 people with HIV do not know they have it. HIV continues to spread, leading to about 56,000 new HIV infections each year.

HIV is a preventable disease, and effective HIV prevention interventions have been proven to reduce HIV transmission. People who get tested for HIV and learn that they are infected can make significant behavior changes to improve their health and reduce the risk of transmitting HIV to their sex or drug-using partners. More than 50% of new HIV infections occur as a result of the 21% of people who have HIV but do not know it.

In the era of increasingly effective treatments for HIV, people with HIV are living longer, healthier, and more productive lives. Deaths from HIV infection have greatly declined in the United States since the 1990s. As the number of people living with HIV grows, it will be more important than ever to increase national HIV prevention and healthcare programs.

There are gender, race, and ethnicity disparities in new HIV infections:

- Nearly 75% of new HIV infections occur in men.
- More than half occur in gay and bisexual men, regardless of race or ethnicity.
- 45% of new HIV infections occur in African Americans, 35% in whites, and 17% in Hispanics.

Improving access to quality healthcare for populations disproportionately affected by HIV, such as persons of color and gay and bisexual men, is a fundamental public health strategy for HIV prevention. People getting care for HIV can receive:

- Antiretroviral therapy
- Screening and treatment for other diseases (such as sexually transmitted infections)
- HIV prevention interventions
- Mental health services
- Other health services

As the number of people living with HIV increases and more people become aware of their HIV status, prevention strategies that are targeted specifically for HIV-infected people are becoming more important. Prevention work with people living with HIV focuses on:

- Linking to and staying in treatment.
- Increasing the availability of ongoing HIV prevention interventions.
- Providing prevention services for their partners.

Public perception in the US about the seriousness of the HIV epidemic has declined in recent years. There is evidence that risky behaviors may be increasing among uninfected people, especially gay and bisexual men. Ongoing media and social campaigns for the general public and HIV prevention interventions for uninfected persons who engage in risky behaviors are critical.

- Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)
HIV Prevalence

The following chart outlines prevalence (current cases, regardless of when they were diagnosed) of HIV per 100,000 population in the area.

- HIV prevalence in Winn Parish is higher than found across the service area and nation but is statistically similar to Louisiana.

HIV Prevalence
(Prevalence Rate of HIV per 100,000 Population, 2013)

![HIV Prevalence Chart]

Sources: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention.

Notes: This indicator is relevant because HIV is a life-threatening communicable disease that disproportionately affects minority populations and may also indicate the prevalence of unsafe sex practices.

Key Informant Input: HIV/AIDS

The following chart outlines key informants’ perceptions of the severity of HIV/AIDS as a problem in the community:

Perceptions of HIV/AIDS as a Problem in the Community
(Key Informants, 2018)

- Major Problem
- Moderate Problem
- Minor Problem
- No Problem At All

20.0% 80.0%

Sources: PRC Online Key Informant Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes: Asked of all respondents.
Sexually Transmitted Diseases

About Sexually Transmitted Diseases

STDs refer to more than 25 infectious organisms that are transmitted primarily through sexual activity. Despite their burdens, costs, and complications, and the fact that they are largely preventable, STDs remain a significant public health problem in the United States. This problem is largely unrecognized by the public, policymakers, and health care professionals. STDs cause many harmful, often irreversible, and costly clinical complications, such as: reproductive health problems; fetal and perinatal health problems; cancer; and facilitation of the sexual transmission of HIV infection.

Because many cases of STDs go undiagnosed—and some common viral infections, such as human papillomavirus (HPV) and genital herpes, are not reported to CDC at all—the reported cases of chlamydia, gonorrhea, and syphilis represent only a fraction of the true burden of STDs in the US. Untreated STDs can lead to serious long-term health consequences, especially for adolescent girls and young women. Several factors contribute to the spread of STDs.

Biological Factors. STDs are acquired during unprotected sex with an infected partner. Biological factors that affect the spread of STDs include:

- Asymptomatic nature of STDs. The majority of STDs either do not produce any symptoms or signs, or they produce symptoms so mild that they are unnoticed; consequently, many infected persons do not know that they need medical care.
- Gender disparities. Women suffer more frequent and more serious STD complications than men do. Among the most serious STD complications are pelvic inflammatory disease, ectopic pregnancy (pregnancy outside of the uterus), infertility, and chronic pelvic pain.
- Age disparities. Compared to older adults, sexually active adolescents ages 15 to 19 and young adults ages 20 to 24 are at higher risk for getting STDs.
- Lag time between infection and complications. Often, a long interval, sometimes years, occurs between acquiring an STD and recognizing a clinically significant health problem.

Social, Economic, and Behavioral Factors. The spread of STDs is directly affected by social, economic, and behavioral factors. Such factors may cause serious obstacles to STD prevention due to their influence on social and sexual networks, access to and provision of care, willingness to seek care, and social norms regarding sex and sexuality. Among certain vulnerable populations, historical experience with segregation and discrimination exacerbates these factors. Social, economic, and behavioral factors that affect the spread of STDs include: racial and ethnic disparities; poverty and marginalization; access to healthcare; substance abuse; sexuality and secrecy (stigma and discomfort discussing sex); and sexual networks (persons “linked” by sequential or concurrent sexual partners).

Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Chlamydia & Gonorrhea

Chlamydia. Chlamydia is the most commonly reported STD in the United States; most people who have chlamydia are unaware, since the disease often has no symptoms.

Gonorrhea. Anyone who is sexually active can get gonorrhea. Gonorrhea can be cured with the right medication; left untreated, however, gonorrhea can cause serious health problems in both women and men.

The following chart outlines local incidence for these STDs.

- The parish rates for chlamydia and gonorrhea are considerably lower than found across Louisiana but similar to the US rates.
Key Informant Input: Sexually Transmitted Diseases

The following chart outlines key informants’ perceptions of the severity of Sexually Transmitted Diseases as a problem in the community:

**Perceptions of Sexually Transmitted Diseases as a Problem in the Community**
*(Key Informants, 2018)*

- **Major Problem**: 25.8%
- **Moderate Problem**: 38.1%
- **Minor Problem**: 29.4%
- **No Problem At All**: 6.7%

**Sources**: PRC Online Key Informant Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
**Notes**: Asked of all respondents.

**Top Concerns**

Among those rating this issue as a “major problem,” the following reason was given:

**Lack of Providers**

*No gynecologist in the area to address issues.* – Community Leader (Winn Parish)
Births

About Infant & Child Health

Improving the well-being of mothers, infants, and children is an important public health goal for the US. Their well-being determines the health of the next generation and can help predict future public health challenges for families, communities, and the healthcare system. The risk of maternal and infant mortality and pregnancy-related complications can be reduced by increasing access to quality preconception (before pregnancy) and inter-conception (between pregnancies) care. Moreover, healthy birth outcomes and early identification and treatment of health conditions among infants can prevent death or disability and enable children to reach their full potential. Many factors can affect pregnancy and childbirth, including pre-conception health status, age, access to appropriate healthcare, and poverty.

Infant and child health are similarly influenced by socio-demographic factors, such as family income, but are also linked to the physical and mental health of parents and caregivers. There are racial and ethnic disparities in mortality and morbidity for mothers and children, particularly for African Americans. These differences are likely the result of many factors, including social determinants (such as racial and ethnic disparities in infant mortality; family income; educational attainment among household members; and health insurance coverage) and physical determinants (i.e., the health, nutrition, and behaviors of the mother during pregnancy and early childhood).

- Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Birth Outcomes & Risks

Infant Mortality

Infant mortality rates reflect deaths of children less than one year old per 1,000 live births. These rates are outlined in the following chart.

- The parish rate is significantly higher than the national rate but similar to the regional and state rates.

Infant Mortality Rate
(2007-2016 Annual Average Infant Deaths per 1,000 Live Births)
Healthy People 2020 Target = 6.0 or Lower

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infant Mortality Rate</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:

- CDC WONDER Online Query System. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, Division of Vital Statistics. Data extracted August 2018.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.

Notes:

- Rates are ten-year averages of deaths of children under 1 year old per 1,000 live births.
Key Informant Input: Infant & Child Health

The following chart outlines key informants’ perceptions of the severity of Infant & Child Health as a problem in the community:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perception</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major Problem</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Problem</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: PRC Online Key Informant Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes: Asked of all respondents.
Family Planning

Births to Teen Mothers

About Teen Births

The negative outcomes associated with unintended pregnancies are compounded for adolescents. Teen mothers:

- Are less likely to graduate from high school or attain a GED by the time they reach age 30.
- Earn an average of approximately $3,500 less per year, when compared with those who delay childbearing.
- Receive nearly twice as much Federal aid for nearly twice as long.

Similarly, early fatherhood is associated with lower educational attainment and lower income. Children of teen parents are more likely to have lower cognitive attainment and exhibit more behavior problems. Sons of teen mothers are more likely to be incarcerated, and daughters are more likely to become adolescent mothers.

Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

The following chart describes local teen births.

- The parish rate is considerably higher than the state and national findings and has not varied statistically over time.

---

### Teen Birth Rate

(Births to Women Age 15-19 Per 1,000 Female Population Age 15-19)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002-2008</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>41.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003-2009</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>40.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-2010</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>39.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-2011</td>
<td>69.1</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-2012</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>36.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Notes: This indicator reports the rate of total births to women under the age of 15-19 per 1,000 female population age 15-19. This indicator is relevant because in many cases, teen parents have unique social, economic, and health support services. Additionally, high rates of teen pregnancy may indicate the prevalence of unsafe sex practices.

- The birth rate among White parish teens is proportionally higher than found across the state and nation.
Key Informant Input: Family Planning

The following chart outlines key informants’ perceptions of the severity of Family Planning as a problem in the community:

Perceptions of Family Planning as a Problem in the Community
(Key Informants, 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Problem</th>
<th>Moderate Problem</th>
<th>Minor Problem</th>
<th>No Problem At All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: PRC Online Key Informant Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
Notes: Asked of all respondents.

Top Concerns

Among those rating this issue as a “major problem,” reasons related to the following:

Access to Care/Services

No programs, no parental guidance, no stigma for youth pregnancies. – Community Leader (Winn Parish)
No specialist. – Community Leader (Winn Parish)

Prevalence/Incidence

High incidence of teen pregnancy and single income parenting which are then living in a vicious cycle of needing government funding to sustain living. – Community Leader (Winn Parish)

Unmarried/Single Parent Families

We have a high rate of unmarried parents having children. Our schools are about 75% free or reduced lunch. Most of these families have multiple children without a means to support them. – Community Leader (Winn Parish)
Unwed mothers. – Other Health Provider (Winn Parish)
Modifiable Health Risks

Nutrition, Physical Activity, & Weight

Nutrition

About Healthful Diet & Healthy Weight

Strong science exists supporting the health benefits of eating a healthful diet and maintaining a healthy body weight. Efforts to change diet and weight should address individual behaviors, as well as the policies and environments that support these behaviors in settings such as schools, worksites, healthcare organizations, and communities.

The goal of promoting healthful diets and healthy weight encompasses increasing household food security and eliminating hunger.

Americans with a healthful diet:

- Consume a variety of nutrient-dense foods within and across the food groups, especially whole grains, fruits, vegetables, low-fat or fat-free milk or milk products, and lean meats and other protein sources.
- Limit the intake of saturated and trans fats, cholesterol, added sugars, sodium (salt), and alcohol.
- Limit caloric intake to meet caloric needs.

Diet and body weight are related to health status. Good nutrition is important to the growth and development of children. A healthful diet also helps Americans reduce their risks for many health conditions, including: overweight and obesity; malnutrition; iron-deficiency anemia; heart disease; high blood pressure; dyslipidemia (poor lipid profiles); type 2 diabetes; osteoporosis; oral disease; constipation; diverticular disease; and some cancers.

Diet reflects the variety of foods and beverages consumed over time and in settings such as worksites, schools, restaurants, and the home. Interventions to support a healthier diet can help ensure that:

- Individuals have the knowledge and skills to make healthier choices.
- Healthier options are available and affordable.

Social Determinants of Diet. Demographic characteristics of those with a more healthful diet vary with the nutrient or food studied. However, most Americans need to improve some aspect of their diet.

Social factors thought to influence diet include:

- Knowledge and attitudes
- Skills
- Social support
- Societal and cultural norms
- Food and agricultural policies
- Food assistance programs
- Economic price systems

Physical Determinants of Diet. Access to and availability of healthier foods can help people follow healthful diets. For example, better access to retail venues that sell healthier options may have a positive impact on a person's diet; these venues may be less available in low-income or rural neighborhoods.

The places where people eat appear to influence their diet. For example, foods eaten away from home often have more calories and are of lower nutritional quality than foods prepared at home.

Marketing also influences people's—particularly children's—food choices.

- Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)
Daily Recommendation of Fruits/Vegetables

To measure fruit and vegetable consumption, survey respondents were asked multiple questions, specifically about the foods and drinks they consumed on the day prior to the interview.

“For the next questions, please think about the foods you ate yesterday. How many servings of fruit did you have yesterday?”

“How many servings of 100% fruit juice did you have yesterday?”

“How many servings of dark green or orange vegetables, such as carrots, broccoli, or sweet potatoes, did you have yesterday? (Examples of dark green vegetables are broccoli, spinach, collards, etc.) (Examples of orange vegetables are carrots and sweet potatoes, etc.)”

“How many servings of other vegetables did you have yesterday? (Examples are potatoes, corn, onions, peas, etc.)?”

The questions above are used to calculate daily fruit/vegetable consumption for respondents. The proportion reporting having 5 or more servings per day is shown here.

- Parish adults are less likely to get the recommended servings of fruits and vegetables when compared to adults across the nation.
- There are no significant differences within the demographic groups.

**Consume Five or More Servings of Fruits/Vegetables Per Day**

(Winn Parish, 2018)

Sources: 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 361]

Notes:

- Asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. “Low Income” includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; “Mid/High Income” includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.
- For this issue, respondents were asked to recall their food intake on the previous day.
Access to Fresh Produce

“How difficult is it for you to buy fresh produce like fruits and vegetables at a price you can afford — would you say: very difficult, somewhat difficult, not too difficult, or not at all difficult?”

- Adults across the parish and region have similar difficulty buying affordable produce. The increase over time is not statistically significant.

Find It “Very” or “Somewhat” Difficult to Buy Affordable Fresh Produce

A food desert is defined as a low-income area where a significant number or share of residents is far from a supermarket, where “far” is more than 1 mile in urban areas and more than 10 miles in rural areas. This related chart is based on US Department of Agriculture data.

- The proportion of those with low food access is better than found across the region, state, and US.

Population With Low Food Access
(Percent of Population That Is Far From a Supermarket or Large Grocery Store, 2015)
Physical Activity

**About Physical Activity**

Regular physical activity can improve the health and quality of life of Americans of all ages, regardless of the presence of a chronic disease or disability. Among adults, physical activity can lower the risk of: early death; coronary heart disease; stroke; high blood pressure; type 2 diabetes; breast and colon cancer; falls; and depression. Among children and adolescents, physical activity can: improve bone health; improve cardiorespiratory and muscular fitness; decrease levels of body fat; and reduce symptoms of depression. For people who are inactive, even small increases in physical activity are associated with health benefits.

Personal, social, economic, and environmental factors all play a role in physical activity levels among youth, adults, and older adults. Understanding the barriers to and facilitators of physical activity is important to ensure the effectiveness of interventions and other actions to improve levels of physical activity.

Factors **positively** associated with adult physical activity include: postsecondary education; higher income; enjoyment of exercise; expectation of benefits; belief in ability to exercise (self-efficacy); history of activity in adulthood; social support from peers, family, or spouse; access to and satisfaction with facilities; enjoyable scenery; and safe neighborhoods.

Factors **negatively** associated with adult physical activity include: advancing age; low income; lack of time; low motivation; rural residency; perception of great effort needed for exercise; overweight or obesity; perception of poor health; and being disabled. Older adults may have additional factors that keep them from being physically active, including lack of social support, lack of transportation to facilities, fear of injury, and cost of programs.

Among children ages 4 to 12, the following factors have a positive association with physical activity: gender (boys); belief in ability to be active (self-efficacy); and parental support.

Among adolescents ages 13 to 18, the following factors have a positive association with physical activity: parental education; gender (boys); personal goals; physical education/school sports; belief in ability to be active (self-efficacy); and support of friends and family.

Environmental influences positively associated with physical activity among children and adolescents include:
- Presence of sidewalks
- Having a destination/walking to a particular place
- Access to public transportation
- Low traffic density
- Access to neighborhood or school play area and/or recreational equipment

People with disabilities may be less likely to participate in physical activity due to physical, emotional, and psychological barriers. Barriers may include the inaccessibility of facilities and the lack of staff trained in working with people with disabilities.

---

**Leisure-Time Physical Activity**

Leisure-time physical activity includes any physical activities or exercises (such as running, calisthenics, golf, gardening, walking, etc.) which take place outside of one's line of work.

“During the past month, other than your regular job, did you participate in any physical activities or exercises, such as running, calisthenics, golf, gardening, or walking for exercise?”

- The proportion of parish adults with no leisure-time activity is worse than found across the US but similar to the service area and state. The parish percentage is similar to the baseline survey results.
Recommended Levels of Physical Activity

Adults should do 2 hours and 30 minutes a week of moderate-intensity (such as walking), or 1 hour and 15 minutes (75 minutes) a week of vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity (such as jogging), or an equivalent combination of moderate- and vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity. The guidelines also recommend that adults do muscle-strengthening activities, such as push-ups, sit-ups, or activities using resistance bands or weights. These activities should involve all major muscle groups and be done on two or more days per week.

The report finds that nationwide nearly 50 percent of adults are getting the recommended amounts of aerobic activity and about 30 percent are engaging in the recommended muscle-strengthening activity.

Meeting Physical Activity Recommendations

To measure physical activity frequency, duration and intensity, respondents were asked:

“During the past month, what type of physical activity or exercise did you spend the most time doing?”

“And during the past month, how many times per week or per month did you take part in this activity?”

“And when you took part in this activity, for how many minutes or hours did you usually keep at it?”

Respondents could answer the above series for up to two types of physical activity. The specific activities identified (e.g., jogging, basketball, treadmill, etc.) determined the intensity values assigned to that respondent when calculating total aerobic physical activity hours/minutes.

Respondents were also asked about strengthening exercises:

“During the past month, how many times per week or per month did you do physical activities or exercises to strengthen your muscles? Do not count aerobic activities like walking, running, or bicycling. Please include activities using your own body weight, such as yoga, sit-ups, or push-ups, and those using weight machines, free weights, or elastic bands.”
“Meeting physical activity recommendations” includes adequate levels of both aerobic and strengthening activity:

- Aerobic activity is at least 150 minutes per week of light to moderate activity, 75 minutes per week of vigorous physical activity, or an equivalent combination of both;
- Strengthening activity is at least 2 sessions per week of exercise designed to strengthen muscles.
- The proportion of those in the parish who meet both recommendations is statistically comparable to the national figure.
- Seniors are much less likely than adults 18 to 64 to meet both recommendations. There are no significant differences within other groups.

### Meets Physical Activity Recommendations

(Winn Parish, 2018)

**Healthy People 2020 Target = 20.1% or Higher**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>18 to 64</th>
<th>65+</th>
<th>Low Income</th>
<th>Mid/High Income</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
<td>22.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:
- 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 152]

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. “Low Income” includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; “Mid/High Income” includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.
- Meeting both guidelines is defined as the number of persons age 18+ who report light or moderate aerobic activity for at least 150 minutes per week or who report vigorous physical activity 75 minutes per week or an equivalent combination of moderate and vigorous-intensity activity and report doing physical activities specifically designed to strengthen muscles at least twice per week.

### Walking

“How many days per week or per month do you walk for more than 10 minutes at a time?”

- The parish response is statistically similar to the regional response and is statistically unchanged from the 2010 survey results (despite increasing significantly since 2013).
Community Opportunities for Physical Activity

“How would you rate the availability of opportunities to participate in physical activity in your community? Would you say: excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor?”

- “Fair” and “poor” ratings in the parish are considerably higher than found in the Rapides Foundation Service Area. The parish rate has increased over time.
Weight Status

About Overweight & Obesity

Because weight is influenced by energy (calories) consumed and expended, interventions to improve weight can support changes in diet or physical activity. They can help change individuals’ knowledge and skills, reduce exposure to foods low in nutritional value and high in calories, or increase opportunities for physical activity. Interventions can help prevent unhealthy weight gain or facilitate weight loss among obese people. They can be delivered in multiple settings, including healthcare settings, worksites, or schools.

The social and physical factors affecting diet and physical activity (see Physical Activity topic area) may also have an impact on weight. Obesity is a problem throughout the population. However, among adults, the prevalence is highest for middle-aged people and for non-Hispanic black and Mexican American women. Among children and adolescents, the prevalence of obesity is highest among older and Mexican American children and non-Hispanic black girls. The association of income with obesity varies by age, gender, and race/ethnicity.

- Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Body Mass Index (BMI), which describes relative weight for height, is significantly correlated with total body fat content. The BMI should be used to assess overweight and obesity and to monitor changes in body weight. In addition, measurements of body weight alone can be used to determine efficacy of weight loss therapy. BMI is calculated as weight (kg)/height squared (m^2). To estimate BMI using pounds and inches, use: 

\[
\text{BMI} = \frac{\text{weight (pounds)}}{\text{height squared (inches^2)}} \times 703.
\]

In this report, overweight is defined as a BMI of 25.0 to 29.9 kg/m^2 and obesity as a BMI \( \geq 30 \) kg/m^2. The rationale behind these definitions is based on epidemiological data that show increases in mortality with BMIs above 25 kg/m^2. The increase in mortality, however, tends to be modest until a BMI of 30 kg/m^2 is reached. For persons with a BMI \( \geq 30 \) kg/m^2, mortality rates from all causes, and especially from cardiovascular disease, are generally increased by 50 to 100 percent above that of persons with BMIs in the range of 20 to 25 kg/m^2.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification of Overweight and Obesity by BMI</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Underweight</td>
<td>&lt; 18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Weight</td>
<td>18.5 – 24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight, not Obese</td>
<td>25.0 – 29.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese</td>
<td>( \geq 30 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Adult Weight Status

“About how much do you weigh without shoes?”

“About how tall are you without shoes?”

“Are you now trying to lose weight?”

Reported height and weight were used to calculate a Body Mass Index or BMI value (described above) for each respondent. This calculation allows us to examine the proportion of the population who is at a healthy weight, or who is overweight or obese (see table above).
Prevalence of overweight in Winn Parish is less favorable than found across the state and nation but similar to the regional finding. The parish rate has increased significantly over time.

![Graph showing prevalence of total overweight in Winn Parish, RFSA, LA, and US from 2002 to 2018.]

Prevalence of Obesity in Winn Parish is worse than found across the state and nation but similar to the service area. The parish obesity prevalence has worsened over time.

![Graph showing prevalence of obesity in Winn Parish, RFSA, LA, and US from 2002 to 2018.]

Sources:
- 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 154-367]
- 2017 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes:
- Based on reported heights and weights, asked of all respondents.
- The definition of overweight is having a body mass index (BMI), a ratio of weight to height (kilograms divided by meters squared), greater than or equal to 25.0, regardless of gender. The definition for obesity is a BMI greater than or equal to 30.0.

Notes:
- Based on reported heights and weights, asked of all respondents.
- The definition of obesity is having a body mass index (BMI), a ratio of weight to height (kilograms divided by meters squared), greater than or equal to 30.0, regardless of gender.
Men, lower-income adults and non-White residents are more likely to be obese.

Prevalence of Obesity
(Percent of Adults With a BMI of 30.0 or Higher; Winn Parish, 2018)

Healthy People 2020 Target = 30.5% or Lower

Key Informant Input: Nutrition, Physical Activity, & Weight
The following chart outlines key informants’ perceptions of the severity of Nutrition, Physical Activity & Weight as a problem in the community:

Perceptions of Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Weight as a Problem in the Community
(Key Informants, 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Major Problem</th>
<th>Moderate Problem</th>
<th>Minor Problem</th>
<th>No Problem At All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>56.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 64</td>
<td>45.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>41.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Income</td>
<td>56.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid/High Income</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>58.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winn Parish</td>
<td>44.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:
- 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 154]

Notes:
- Based on reported heights and weights, asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. “Low Income” includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level. “Mid/High Income” includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.
- The definition of obesity is having a body mass index (BMI), a ratio of weight to height (kilograms divided by meters squared), greater than or equal to 30.0, regardless of gender.

Top Concerns
Among those rating this issue as a “major problem,” reasons related to the following:

Built Environment/Opportunity for Safe Physical Activity
There is a lack of adult workout equipment available to those that are interested, outside of gyms that require paid monthly dues. There are two walking tracks available for adults that both need to be widened and repaired. The gyms available to adults are locked after 4 pm, and only certain people have access to them. – Community Leader (Winn Parish)

Access to Healthy Foods/Nutrition
No dieticians in the area. – Community Leader (Winn Parish)
Substance Abuse

About Substance Abuse

Substance abuse has a major impact on individuals, families, and communities. The effects of substance abuse are cumulative, significantly contributing to costly social, physical, mental, and public health problems. These problems include:

- Teenage pregnancy
- Human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (HIV/AIDS)
- Other sexually transmitted diseases (STDs)
- Domestic violence
- Child abuse
- Motor vehicle crashes
- Physical fights
- Crime
- Homicide
- Suicide

Substance abuse refers to a set of related conditions associated with the consumption of mind- and behavior-altering substances that have negative behavioral and health outcomes. Social attitudes and political and legal responses to the consumption of alcohol and illicit drugs make substance abuse one of the most complex public health issues. In addition to the considerable health implications, substance abuse has been a flash-point in the criminal justice system and a major focal point in discussions about social values: people argue over whether substance abuse is a disease with genetic and biological foundations or a matter of personal choice.

Advances in research have led to the development of evidence-based strategies to effectively address substance abuse. Improvements in brain-imaging technologies and the development of medications that assist in treatment have gradually shifted the research community's perspective on substance abuse. There is now a deeper understanding of substance abuse as a disorder that develops in adolescence and, for some individuals, will develop into a chronic illness that will require lifelong monitoring and care.

Improved evaluation of community-level prevention has enhanced researchers' understanding of environmental and social factors that contribute to the initiation and abuse of alcohol and illicit drugs, leading to a more sophisticated understanding of how to implement evidence-based strategies in specific social and cultural settings.

A stronger emphasis on evaluation has expanded evidence-based practices for drug and alcohol treatment. Improvements have focused on the development of better clinical interventions through research and increasing the skills and qualifications of treatment providers.

Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Alcohol Use

Excessive Drinkers. Excessive drinking reflects the number of adults (age 18+) who drank more than two drinks per day on average (for men) or more than one drink per day on average (for women), or who drank 5 or more drinks during a single occasion (for men) or 4 or more drinks during a single occasion (for women) during the past 30 days.

“During the past 30 days, on how many days did you have at least one drink of any alcoholic beverage such as beer, wine, a malt beverage, or liquor?”

“On the day(s) when you drank, about how many drinks did you have on the average?”

“Considering all types of alcoholic beverages, how many times during the past 30 days did you have 5 (if male)/4 (if female) or more drinks on an occasion?”
Excessive drinking in Winn Parish is more favorable than the national prevalence. Differences within the demographic groups are not statistically significant.

### Excessive Drinkers

(Winn Parish, 2018)

Healthy People 2020 Target = 25.4% or Lower

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 64</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Income</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid/High Income</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winn Parish</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:**
- 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 168]

**Notes:**
- Asked of all respondents.
- Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. “Low Income” includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; “Mid/High Income” includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.
- Excessive drinking reflects the number of persons aged 18 years and over who drank more than two drinks per day on average (for men) or more than one drink per day on average (for women) OR who drank 5 or more drinks during a single occasion (for men) or 4 or more drinks during a single occasion (for women) during the past 30 days.

**Drinking & Driving.**

As a self-reported measure – and because this indicator reflects potentially illegal behavior – it is reasonable to expect that it might be underreported, and that the actual incidence of drinking and driving in the community is likely higher.

“During the past 30 days, how many times have you driven when you've had perhaps too much to drink?”

- Drinking and driving in Winn Parish is considerably higher than found across the region and Louisiana but similar to the US. The parish rate is much higher than in years past.
Illicit Drug Use

“During the past 30 days, have you used an illegal drug or taken a prescription drug that was not prescribed to you?”

- The parish response is considerably higher than the service area and national response. The parish rate is similar to the baseline rate.

Illicit Drug Use in the Past Month

Healthy People 2020 Target = 7.1% or Lower

Sources:  
- 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 66]  
- 2017 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes:  
- Asked of all respondents.
Use of Opiates/Opioids

“Opiates or opioids are drugs that doctors prescribe to treat pain. Examples of prescription opiates include morphine, codeine, hydrocodone, oxycodone, methadone, and fentanyl. In the PAST YEAR, have you used any of these prescription opiates, whether or not a doctor had prescribed them to you?”

- Use of prescription opiates is comparable across Winn Parish and the service area overall.

### Used Prescription Opioids or Opiates in the Past Year (Whether Prescribed or Not) (2018)

- Winn Parish: 28.6%
- RFSA: 25.0%

Sources: 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 314]
Notes: Asked of all respondents.
Examples of prescription opiates include morphine, codeine, hydrocodone, oxycodone, methadone, and fentanyl.

Alcohol & Drug Treatment

“Have you ever sought professional help for an alcohol or drug-related problem?”

- The response is statistically similar to the RFSA and US response and has increased over time.

### Have Ever Sought Professional Help for an Alcohol/Drug-Related Problem

- Winn Parish:
  - 2002: 1.6%
  - 2005: 2.3%
  - 2010: 5.2%
  - 2013: 3.7%
  - 2018: 6.3%

Sources: 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 68]
2017 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
Notes: Asked of all respondents.
Key Informant Input: Substance Abuse

The following chart outlines key informants’ perceptions of the severity of Substance Abuse as a problem in the community:

**Perceptions of Substance Abuse as a Problem in the Community**
(Key Informants, 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Major Problem</th>
<th>Moderate Problem</th>
<th>Minor Problem</th>
<th>No Problem At All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Barriers to Treatment**

Among those rating this issue as a “major problem,” the greatest barriers to accessing substance abuse treatment are viewed as:

**Prevalence/Incidence**

- Substance abuse is a huge problem in our community amongst young and older citizens. It’s an easy way for young teens who come from poor backgrounds to make money, since there are very limited jobs available and most of those are for 18 or older. There is a major lack of programs and facilities to keep teens off the streets. Local parks and recreation facilities are a breeding ground for drug dealers, since there is no security and a limited park staff. No one patrols these areas in the afternoon or nights, and there is no surveillance equipment available. – Community Leader (Winn Parish)
- High incidence of Meth and drug usage – Community Leader (Winn Parish)

**Health Education and Awareness**

- No AA available. – Community Leader (Winn Parish)

**Lack of Facilities/Providers**

- The only resource for in-house is the emergency room, until a bed opens up around the state. – Other Health Provider (Winn Parish)

**Policies**

- Lax law enforcement, no substantial legal penalties. – Community Leader (Winn Parish)
Tobacco Use

About Tobacco Use
Tobacco use is the single most preventable cause of death and disease in the United States. Scientific knowledge about the health effects of tobacco use has increased greatly since the first Surgeon General’s report on tobacco was released in 1964.

Tobacco use causes:
- Cancer
- Heart disease
- Lung diseases (including emphysema, bronchitis, and chronic airway obstruction)
- Premature birth, low birth weight, stillbirth, and infant death

There is no risk-free level of exposure to secondhand smoke. Secondhand smoke causes heart disease and lung cancer in adults and a number of health problems in infants and children, including: severe asthma attacks; respiratory infections; ear infections; and sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS).

Smokeless tobacco causes a number of serious oral health problems, including cancer of the mouth and gums, periodontitis, and tooth loss. Cigar use causes cancer of the larynx, mouth, esophagus, and lung.

Sources:
- Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Cigarette Smoking
“Do you now smoke cigarettes every day, some days, or not at all?”

- Prevalence of cigarette smoking in Winn Parish is higher than found across the nation but similar to regional and statewide rates. The parish rate has not varied statistically over time.

Current Smokers
Healthy People 2020 Target = 12.0% or Lower

Sources:
- 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 181]
- 2017 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents
- Includes regular and occasional smokers (those who smoke cigarettes every day or on some days)
Smoking Cessation

**About Reducing Tobacco Use**

Preventing tobacco use and helping tobacco users quit can improve the health and quality of life for Americans of all ages. People who stop smoking greatly reduce their risk of disease and premature death. Benefits are greater for people who stop at earlier ages, but quitting tobacco use is beneficial at any age.

Many factors influence tobacco use, disease, and mortality. Risk factors include race/ethnicity, age, education, and socioeconomic status. Significant disparities in tobacco use exist geographically; such disparities typically result from differences among states in smoke-free protections, tobacco prices, and program funding for tobacco prevention.

- **Healthy People 2020** ([www.healthypeople.gov](http://www.healthypeople.gov))

“Are you aware of any services, programs or classes in your area to help smokers quit smoking?”
(Asked of all respondents.)

- Awareness of local smoking cessation programs is considerably lower in the parish than across the Rapides Foundation Service Area but has increased over time.

### Aware of Services, Programs, or Classes to Help Smokers Quit Smoking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>21.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:** 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 310]
**Notes:** Asked of all respondents.

**Secondhand Smoke**

“In the past 30 days, has anyone, including yourself, smoked cigarettes, cigars or pipes anywhere in your home on an average of four or more days per week?”

- The proportion of parish households with someone who smokes at home is worse than found across the US but similar to the regional finding. The parish rate has not varied statistically over time.
Use of Vaping Products

“The next questions are about electronic vaping products, such as electronic cigarettes, also known as e-cigarettes. These are battery-operated devices that simulate traditional cigarette smoking, but do not involve the burning of tobacco. The cartridge or liquid “e-juice” used in these devices produces vapor and comes in a variety of flavors. Have you ever used an electronic vaping product, such as an e-cigarette, even just one time in your entire life?”

“Do you now use electronic vaping products, such as e-cigarettes, "every day," "some days," or "not at all"?”

Sources: 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 54]
Notes: Asked of all respondents.
Smokeless Tobacco
“Do you currently use chewing tobacco, snuff, or snus every day, some days, or not at all?”

- The proportion of parish adults who use smokeless tobacco is comparable to the region, state, and nation. The parish rate has improved since 2002 (and especially since 2013).

**Use of Smokeless Tobacco**

**Healthy People 2020 Target = 0.3% or Lower**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:**
- 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 312]
- 2017 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.
- Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC); 2016 Louisiana data.

**Notes:**
- Asked of all respondents.
- Includes chewing tobacco, snuff, or snus.

**Key Informant Input: Tobacco Use**

The following chart outlines key informants’ perceptions of the severity of Tobacco Use as a problem in the community:

**Perceptions of Tobacco Use as a Problem in the Community**

(Key Informants, 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perception</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major Problem</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Problem</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Problem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Problem At All</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:**
- PRC Online Key Informant Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

**Notes:**
- Asked of all respondents.
Top Concerns

Among those rating this issue as a “major problem,” reasons related to the following:

Prevalence/Incidence

* Tobacco and drug use is a huge problem in our community. – Community Leader (Winn Parish)*
* Observation of many smokers. High cancer rate. – Other Health Provider (Winn Parish)*
* Usage rates of tobacco, cigarettes, Skoal, etc. – Community Leader (Winn Parish)*
Access to Health Services

Lack of Health Insurance Coverage (Age 18 to 64)

Survey respondents were asked a series of questions to determine their healthcare insurance coverage, if any, from either private or government-sponsored sources. Here, lack of health insurance coverage reflects respondents age 18 to 64 (thus excluding the Medicare population), who have no type of insurance coverage for healthcare services – neither private insurance nor government-sponsored plans (e.g., Medicaid).

“Do you have any government-assisted healthcare coverage, such as Medicare, Medicaid (or another state-sponsored program), or VA/military benefits?”

“Do you currently have: health insurance you get through your own or someone else’s employer or union; health insurance you purchase yourself; or, you do not have health insurance and pay for health care entirely on your own?”

- The proportion of uninsured adults in Winn Parish is comparable to the service area, state and nation. The parish rate has improved considerably over time.

Lower-income residents are more likely than higher-income residents to be without healthcare coverage. Differences within the other groups are not statistically significant.
Lack of Healthcare Insurance Coverage
(Among Adults Age 18-64; Winn Parish, 2018)

Healthy People 2020 Target = 0.0% (Universal Coverage)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>18 to 64</th>
<th>Low Income</th>
<th>Mid/High Income</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16.9%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:
- 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 168]

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents under the age of 65.
- Income categories reflect respondent’s household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. “Low Income” includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; “Mid/High Income” includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.
Difficulties Accessing Healthcare

About Access to Healthcare

Access to comprehensive, quality health care services is important for the achievement of health equity and for increasing the quality of a healthy life for everyone. It impacts: overall physical, social, and mental health status; prevention of disease and disability; detection and treatment of health conditions; quality of life; preventable death; and life expectancy.

Access to health services means the timely use of personal health services to achieve the best health outcomes. It requires three distinct steps: 1) Gaining entry into the health care system; 2) Accessing a health care location where needed services are provided; and 3) Finding a health care provider with whom the patient can communicate and trust.

Office Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Barriers to Healthcare Access

To better understand healthcare access barriers, survey participants were asked whether any of the following barriers to access prevented them from seeing a physician or obtaining a needed prescription in the past year.

“Was there a time in the past 12 months when…

- ... you needed medical care, but had difficulty finding a doctor?”
- ... you had difficulty getting an appointment to see a doctor?”
- ... you needed to see a doctor, but could not because of the cost?”
- ... a lack of transportation made it difficult or prevented you from seeing a doctor or making a medical appointment?”
- ... you were not able to see a doctor because the office hours were not convenient?”
- ... you needed a prescription medicine, but did not get it because you could not afford it?”

The percentages shown in the following chart reflect the total population, regardless of whether medical care was needed or sought.

- Of the barriers to access shown below, the following are worse than the US findings: cost of doctor visit; cost of prescriptions; finding a doctor; and lack of transportation.
The following charts reflect the composite percentage of the total population experiencing problems accessing healthcare in the past year (indicating one or more of the aforementioned barriers or any other problem not specifically asked), again regardless of whether they needed or sought care.

- The parish composite is similar to the regional and national composites. The parish rate is comparable to the baseline report, though fluctuating considerably over time.

- Adults younger than 65 and lower-income residents are much more likely to have experienced delays in getting healthcare. There are no significant variances within the other groups.
Key Informant Input: Access to Healthcare Services

The following chart outlines key informants’ perceptions of the severity of Access to Healthcare Services as a problem in the community:

**Perceptions of Access to Healthcare Services as a Problem in the Community**
*(Key Informants, 2018)*

- **Major Problem**: 33.3%
- **Moderate Problem**: 33.3%
- **Minor Problem**: 33.3%
- **No Problem At All**: 33.3%

Sources:  PRC Online Key Informant Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.  
Notes:  Asked of all respondents.

---

**Experienced Difficulties or Delays of Some Kind in Receiving Needed Healthcare in the Past Year**
*(Winn Parish, 2018)*

The following chart outlines key informants’ perceptions of the severity of Access to Healthcare Services as a problem in the community:

**Perceptions of Access to Healthcare Services as a Problem in the Community**
*(Key Informants, 2018)*

- **Major Problem**: 33.3%
- **Moderate Problem**: 33.3%
- **Minor Problem**: 33.3%
- **No Problem At All**: 33.3%

Sources:  PRC Online Key Informant Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.  
Notes:  Asked of all respondents.

---

**Sources:**
- 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.  [Item 171]

**Notes:**
- Asked of all respondents.
- Represents the percentage of respondents experiencing one or more barriers to accessing healthcare in the past 12 months.
- Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. “Low Income” includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; “Mid/High Income” includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.
Primary Care Services

About Primary Care

Improving health care services depends in part on ensuring that people have a usual and ongoing source of care. People with a usual source of care have better health outcomes and fewer disparities and costs. Having a primary care provider (PCP) as the usual source of care is especially important. PCPs can develop meaningful and sustained relationships with patients and provide integrated services while practicing in the context of family and community.

Having a usual PCP is associated with:

- Greater patient trust in the provider
- Good patient-provider communication
- Increased likelihood that patients will receive appropriate care

Improving health care services includes increasing access to and use of evidence-based preventive services. Clinical preventive services are services that: prevent illness by detecting early warning signs or symptoms before they develop into a disease (primary prevention); or detect a disease at an earlier, and often more treatable, stage (secondary prevention).

- Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Access to Primary Care

This indicator is relevant because a shortage of health professionals contributes to access and health status issues.

- The proportion of primary care physicians in the parish is considerably lower than found across the state and nation but has increased significantly in recent years.

Trends in Access to Primary Care

(Number of Primary Care Physicians per 100,000 Population)


Notes: This indicator is relevant because a shortage of health professionals contributes to access and health status issues.

These figures represent all primary care physicians practicing patient care, including hospital residents.
Specific Source of Ongoing Care

Having a specific source of ongoing care includes having a doctor’s office, clinic, urgent care center, walk-in clinic, health center facility, hospital outpatient clinic, HMO or prepaid group, military/VA clinic, or some other kind of place to go if one is sick or needs advice about his or her health. This resource is crucial to the concept of “patient-centered medical homes” (PCMH).

“Is there a particular place that you usually go to if you are sick or need advice about your health?”

“What kind of place is it: a medical clinic, an urgent care center/walk-in clinic, a doctor’s office, a hospital emergency room, military or other VA healthcare, or some other place?”

The following chart illustrates the proportion of Winn Parish population with a specific source of ongoing medical care. Note that a hospital emergency room is not considered a specific source of ongoing care in this instance.

- Adults ages 18 to 64 are much less likely than seniors to have a specific source of ongoing care. Differences within the other groups are not statistically significant.

Have a Specific Source of Ongoing Medical Care
(Winn Parish, 2018)
Healthy People 2020 Target = 95.0% or Higher

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>18 to 64</th>
<th>65+</th>
<th>Low Income</th>
<th>Mid/High Income</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>69.8%</td>
<td>79.1%</td>
<td>70.9%</td>
<td>87.1%</td>
<td>70.8%</td>
<td>78.3%</td>
<td>74.0%</td>
<td>73.6%</td>
<td>74.1%</td>
<td>74.1%</td>
<td>74.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:
- 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 170]

Notes:
- *Asked of all respondents.*
- *Income categories reflect respondent's household income as a ratio to the federal poverty level (FPL) for their household size. “Low Income” includes households with incomes up to 200% of the federal poverty level; “Mid/High Income” includes households with incomes at 200% or more of the federal poverty level.*

Utilization of Primary Care Services

Adults: “A routine checkup is a general physical exam, not an exam for a specific injury, illness or condition. About how long has it been since you last visited a doctor for a routine checkup?”

- The rate of routine exams in Winn Parish is comparable to the regional, statewide, and national figures. The parish rate has not varied statistically over time.
Have Visited a Physician for a Checkup in the Past Year

Sources:
- 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 18]
- 2017 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes:
- Asked of all respondents.
Emergency Room Utilization

“In the past 12 months, how many times have you gone to a hospital emergency room about your own health? This includes ER visits that resulted in a hospital admission.” (Responses here reflect the percentage with two or more visits in the past year.)

“What is the main reason you used the emergency room instead of going to a doctor’s office or clinic?”

- Emergency visits in Winn Parish are considerably higher than found across the region and the US. The parish rate has increased significantly over time.

### Have Used a Hospital Emergency Room More Than Once in the Past Year

- **Winn Parish**: 21.2%
- **RFSA**: 12.9%
- **US**: 9.3%

Sources: 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Items 22-23]
Notes: Asked of all respondents.
Oral Health

About Oral Health

Oral health is essential to overall health. Good oral health improves a person’s ability to speak, smile, smell, taste, touch, chew, swallow, and make facial expressions to show feelings and emotions. However, oral diseases, from cavities to oral cancer, cause pain and disability for many Americans. Good self-care, such as brushing with fluoride toothpaste, daily flossing, and professional treatment, is key to good oral health. Health behaviors that can lead to poor oral health include: tobacco use; excessive alcohol use; and poor dietary choices.

The significant improvement in the oral health of Americans over the past 50 years is a public health success story. Most of the gains are a result of effective prevention and treatment efforts. One major success is community water fluoridation, which now benefits about 7 out of 10 Americans who get water through public water systems. However, some Americans do not have access to preventive programs. People who have the least access to preventive services and dental treatment have greater rates of oral diseases. A person’s ability to access oral healthcare is associated with factors such as education level, income, race, and ethnicity.

Barriers that can limit a person’s use of preventive interventions and treatments include: limited access to and availability of dental services; lack of awareness of the need for care; cost; and fear of dental procedures.

There are also social determinants that affect oral health. In general, people with lower levels of education and income, and people from specific racial/ethnic groups, have higher rates of disease. People with disabilities and other health conditions, like diabetes, are more likely to have poor oral health.

Potential strategies to address these issues include:

- Implementing and evaluating activities that have an impact on health behavior.
- Promoting interventions to reduce tooth decay, such as dental sealants and fluoride use.
- Evaluating and improving methods of monitoring oral diseases and conditions.
- Increasing the capacity of State dental health programs to provide preventive oral health services.
- Increasing the number of community health centers with an oral health component.
- Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov)

Dental Care

“About how long has it been since you last visited a dentist or a dental clinic for any reason?”

- Adults in Winn Parish are much less likely than adults across the nation to have had a recent dental visit.
- Men and lower-income adults are much less likely to have had a recent dental visit. There are no significant differences within the other demographic groups.
Key Informant Input: Oral Health

The following chart outlines key informants’ perceptions of the severity of Oral Health as a problem in the community:

Perceptions of Oral Health as a Problem in the Community
(Key Informants, 2018)

Top Concerns
Among those rating this issue as a “major problem,” the following reason was given:

Affordable Care/Insurance Issues

Poverty level is high, and adults do not have adequate Medicaid or private insurances to cover the needs. – Other Health Provider (Winn Parish)
Vision Care

“When was the last time you had an eye exam in which the pupils were dilated? This would have made you temporarily sensitive to bright light.” (Responses in the following chart represent those with an eye exam within the past 2 years.)

- Adults in Winn Parish are much less likely than adults across the region and nation to have had a recent eye exam. The parish rate is statistically similar to the 2002 baseline, though spiking in 2005.

Had an Eye Exam in the Past Two Years During Which the Pupils Were Dilated

Sources:  
- 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 19]  
- 2017 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes:  
- Asked of all respondents.
Local Resources

Perceptions of Local Healthcare Services

“How would you rate the overall health care services available to you? Would you say: excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor?”

- Parish adults are considerably more likely to rate local health care services as “fair” or “poor” than adults across the region and nation.

Sources: 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.  [Item 6]  
2017 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes: Asked of all respondents.

Perceive Local Healthcare Services as “Fair/Poor”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-20%</td>
<td>34.8%</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-40%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-80%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:
- 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 6]
- 2017 PRC National Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc.

Notes: Asked of all respondents.
Quality of Life

Life in Central Louisiana

“Now I would like to ask you some questions about this area in general. Would you say that the overall quality of life in Central Louisiana is: excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor?”

Rating of the Quality of Life in Central Louisiana
(Winn Parish, 2018)

- Excellent 5.6%
- Very Good 13.0%
- Good 38.6%
- Fair 24.1%
- Poor 18.7%

Sources: 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 333]
Notes: Asked of all respondents.

- Those in Winn Parish are much more likely than those across the service area to rate quality of life in Central Louisiana as “fair” or “poor.”

Quality of Life in Central Louisiana is “Fair” or “Poor”

Sources: 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 333]
Notes: Asked of all respondents.
Charitable Contribution

“How often do you work as a volunteer for charitable organizations or community groups? Would you say: frequently, sometimes, seldom, or never?”

Frequency of Volunteering for Charitable Organizations or Community Groups
(Winn Parish, 2018)

Sources: 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 339]
Notes: Asked of all respondents.

“How often do you contribute money to charitable organizations or community groups? Would you say: frequently, sometimes, seldom, or never?”

Frequency of Contributing Money to Charitable Organizations or Community Groups
(Winn Parish, 2018)

Sources: 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 341]
Notes: Asked of all respondents.
“In the past 12 months, have you received assistance from a local program, church, or charitable organization to help meet some of your basic needs such as food, clothing, transportation, or child care? Please do not include any government-sponsored program or service in your response.”

- The proportion of those receiving assistance in the parish is considerably higher than the regional proportion.

**Received Assistance from a Local Program, Church, or Charitable Organization in the Past Month**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 342]
Notes: Asked of all respondents. In this case, assistance does not include government-sponsored programs or services.

**Civic Participation**

“For the last five times you were eligible to vote in a local, state, or national election, about how many times did you actually go and vote?”

- Adults in Winn Parish are much more likely to vote than those across the service area.

**Voted in Each of the Past Five Voting Opportunities [Including Local, State, and National Elections]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Winn Parish</th>
<th>RFSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>68.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: 2018 PRC Community Health Survey, Professional Research Consultants, Inc. [Item 344]
Notes: Asked of all respondents.